The Logic of Atomic Sentences

Valid and Sound Arguments

- An argument is a series of statements in which one, called the conclusion, is meant to be a consequence of the others, called the premises.
- An argument is valid if the conclusion must be true in any circumstance in which the premises are true. We say that the conclusion of a logically valid arguments is a logical consequence of its premises.
- An argument is sound if it is valid and the premises are true.

Methods of Proof

- A proof of a statement S from premises P₁, ...,
 P_n is a step-by-step demonstration which shows that S must be true in any circumstances in which the premises P₁, ..., P_n are all true.
- Informal and formal proofs differ in style, not in rigor.

Proofs Involving the Identity Symbol

- = Elim: If b = c, then whatever holds of b holds of c. This is also known as the indiscernibility of identicals.
- Intro: Sentences of the form b = b are always true (in FOL). This is also known as the reflexivity of identity.
- Symmetry of Identity: If b = c, then c = b.
- Transitivity of Identity: If a = b and b = c, then a = c.

Formal Proofs

```
egin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{P} & & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{Q} & & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{R} & & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{S}_1 & & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{Justification} \ 1 & & & & & \\ \mathsf{S}_n & & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{S}_n & & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{Justification} \ n & & & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{Justification} \ n + 1 & & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & & \\ \mathsf{S} & & \\ \mathsf{S
```

Rule: Identity Introduction (= Intro)

Identity Introduction (= Intro):

Identity Elimination (= Elim)

Identity Elimination (= Elim):

```
P(n)

:

n = m

:

P(m)
```

Reiteration (Reit)

Reiteration (Reit):

P : ⊳ P

Fitch Style Proofs

Demonstration of the program Fitch

Demonstrating Nonconsequence

To demonstrate the invalidity of an argument with premises P₁,...,P_n and conclusion Q, find a counterexample: a possible circumstance that makes P₁,...,P_n true but Q false. Such a counterexample shows that Q is not a consequence of P₁,...,P_n.