

Let \mathfrak{M} be a first-order structure with domain D . A *variable assignment* in \mathfrak{M} is, by definition, some (possibly partial) function g defined on a set of variables and taking values in the set D . Thus, for example, if $D = \{a, b, c\}$ then the following would all be variable assignments in \mathfrak{M} :

1. the function g_1 which assigns b to the variable x
2. the function g_2 which assigns a, b , and c to the variables x, y , and z , respectively
3. the function g_3 which assigns b to all the variables of the language
4. the function g_4 which is the empty function, that is, does not assign values to any variables

The special case of the empty variable assignment g_4 is important, so we denote it by g_\emptyset .

Given a wff P , we say that the variable assignment g is *appropriate* for P if all the free variables of P are in the domain of g , that is, if g assigns objects to each free variable of P . Thus the four variable assignments g_1, g_2, g_3 , and g_4 listed above would have been appropriate for the following sorts of wffs, respectively:

1. g_1 is appropriate for any wff with the single free variable x , or with no free variables at all;
2. g_2 is appropriate for any wff whose free variables are a subset of $\{x, y, z\}$;
3. g_3 is appropriate for any wff at all; and
4. g_4 (which we just agreed to write as g_\emptyset) is appropriate for any wff with no free variables, that is, for sentences, but not for wffs with free variables.

~~quantifier, we need a way to modify a variable assignment.~~ For example, if g is defined on x and we want to say what it means for g to satisfy $\forall z \text{ Likes}(x, z)$, then we need to be able to take any object b in the domain of discourse and consider the variable assignment which is just like g except that it assigns the value b to the variable z . We will say that g satisfies our wff $\forall z \text{ Likes}(x, z)$ if and only if every such modified assignment g' satisfies $\text{Likes}(x, z)$. To make this a bit easier to say, we introduce the notation " $g[z/b]$ " for the modified variable assignment. Thus, in general, $g[v/b]$ is the variable assignment whose domain is that of g plus the variable v and which assigns the same values as g , except that the new assignment assigns b to the variable v .

Here are a couple examples, harking back to our earlier examples of variable assignments given above:

1. g_1 assigns b to the variable x , so $g_1[y/c]$ assigns b to x and c to y . By contrast, $g_1[x/c]$ assigns a value only to x , the value c .
2. g_2 assigns a, b, c to the variables x, y , and z , respectively. Then $g_2[x/b]$ assigns the values b, b , and c to x, y , and z , respectively. The assignment $g_2[u/c]$ assigns the values c, a, b , and c to the variables u, x, y , and z , respectively.
3. g_3 assigns b to all the variables of the language. $g_3[y/b]$ is the same assignment, g_3 , but $g_3[y/c]$ is different. It assigns c to y and b to every other variable.
4. g_4 , the empty function, does not assign values to any variables. Thus $g_4[x/b]$ is the function which assigns b to x . Notice that this is the same function as g_1 .

Definition (Satisfaction) Let P be a wff and let g be an assignment in \mathfrak{M} which is appropriate for P .

1. **The atomic case.** Suppose P is $R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where R is an n -ary predicate. Then g satisfies P in \mathfrak{M} if and only if the n -tuple $\langle [t_1]_g^{\mathfrak{M}}, \dots, [t_n]_g^{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle$ is in $R^{\mathfrak{M}}$.
2. **Negation.** Suppose P is $\neg Q$. Then g satisfies P in \mathfrak{M} if and only if g does not satisfy Q .
3. **Conjunction.** Suppose P is $Q \wedge R$. Then g satisfies P in \mathfrak{M} if and only if g satisfies both Q and R .
4. **Disjunction.** Suppose P is $Q \vee R$. Then g satisfies P in \mathfrak{M} if and only if g satisfies Q or R or both.
5. **Conditional.** Suppose P is $Q \rightarrow R$. Then g satisfies P in \mathfrak{M} if and only if g does not satisfy Q or g satisfies R or both.
6. **Biconditional.** Suppose P is $Q \leftrightarrow R$. Then g satisfies P in \mathfrak{M} if and only if g satisfies both Q and R or neither.
7. **Universal quantification.** Suppose P is $\forall v Q$. Then g satisfies P in \mathfrak{M} if and only if for every $d \in D^{\mathfrak{M}}$, $g[v/d]$ satisfies Q .
8. **Existential quantification.** Suppose P is $\exists v Q$. Then g satisfies P in \mathfrak{M} if and only if for some $d \in D^{\mathfrak{M}}$, $g[v/d]$ satisfies Q .

It is customary to write

$$\mathfrak{M} \models P [g]$$

to indicate that the variable assignment g satisfies wff P in the structure \mathfrak{M} .