## Completeness of Propositional Logic

**Definition**. A set *T* of sentences is *tt-satisfiable* if there is a single assignment *h* that makes each of the sentences in *T* true.

**Definition**. A set T is *formally complete* if for **any** sentence S of the language, either  $T \mid_{\neg T} S$  or  $T \mid_{\neg T} S$ .

**Definition.** A set of sentences T is *formally consistent* if and only  $T \mid / \neg_T \bot$ , that is, if and only if there is no proof of  $\bot$  from T in  $F_T$ .

**Lemma 5.** A set of sentences T is <u>formally complete</u> if and only if for every **atomic** sentence A,  $T \mid_{\neg T} A$  or  $T \mid_{\neg T} \neg A$ .

**Proposition 6.** Every <u>formally consistent</u> set of sentences T can be expanded to a <u>formally consistent</u>, <u>formally complete</u> set of sentences. (Use **Lemma 5**)

**Lemma 3.** Let *T* be a <u>formally consistent</u>, <u>formally complete</u> set of sentences, and let R and S be any sentences of the language. Then:

```
1. T \mid_{-T} (R \land S) \text{ iff } T \mid_{-T} R \text{ and } T \mid_{-T} S
```

2. 
$$T \mid -T (R \vee S) \text{ iff } T \mid -T R \text{ or } T \mid -T S$$

3. 
$$T \mid -_{T} \neg S \text{ iff } T \mid /_{-T} S$$

4. 
$$T \mid -_T (R \rightarrow S)$$
 iff  $T \mid /-_T R$  or  $T \mid -_T S$ 

5.  $T \mid_{-T} (R \leftrightarrow S)$  iff either  $T \mid_{-T} R$  and  $T \mid_{-T} S$  or  $T \mid_{-T} R$  and  $T \mid_{-T} S$ 

**Proposition 4.** Every <u>formally consistent</u>, <u>formally complete</u> set of sentences is <u>tt-satisfiable</u>. (Use **Lemma 3**)

```
Lemma 2. T \cup \{\neg S\} \mid \neg_T \perp \text{ if and only if } T \mid \neg_T S.
```

**Theorem** (Completeness of  $F_T$ ) If a sentence S is a tautological consequence of a set T of sentences then  $T \mid -_T S$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $T \mid /_{\neg T} S$ . Then by Lemma 2,  $T \cup \{\neg S\}$  is <u>formally consistent</u>. This set can be expanded to a <u>formally consistent</u>, <u>formally complete</u> set by <u>Proposition 6</u>, which by our <u>Proposition 4</u> is <u>tt-satisfiable</u>. Suppose h is a truth value assignment that satisfies this set. Clearly, h makes all the members of T true, but S false, showing that S is not a tautological consequence of T.

**Theorem** (Reformulation of Completeness) Every <u>formally consistent</u> set of sentences is <u>tt-satisfiable</u>.