

# Dynamic Scheduling and Division of Labor in Social Insects

Michael Frank  
Trenton Tabor

A series of horizontal lines in teal and light blue colors, located on the right side of the slide, extending from the left edge of the teal bar to the right edge of the slide.

# The problem

- Each truck must be painted a different color
- Multiple booths exist that can paint one truck
- Each booth can paint one different color
- A booth can switch, but it costs time and money
- A booth can randomly break down for a time

# Market based solution

- Booths follow four rules:
  - 1. Try to take another truck the same color as the current color.
  - 2. Take particularly important jobs.
  - 3. Take any job to stay busy.
  - 4. Do not take another job if paint booth is down or queue is full.
- Each booth is considered a separate agent and bids for each truck
- If no booths make a bid, then the truck is put in storage.

# Ant based solution

- Similar to the market based solution, except the method used to determine the optimal booth is different

$$P_k = \frac{D_{c_i}^2}{D_{c_i}^2 + \alpha \cdot \theta_{k,c_i}^2 + \Delta T^{2 \cdot \beta}} \quad P_{ij} = \frac{S_j^2}{S_j^2 + \alpha \theta_{i,j}^2 + \beta d_{z(i),j}^2}$$

$$\theta_{k,c_i} \leftarrow \theta_{k,c_i} - \xi$$

$$\theta_{m,c_i} \leftarrow \theta_{m,c_i} + \phi$$

# Genetic algorithm optimization

- The values  $P$ ,  $L$ ,  $C$  from the market solution, and the values  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\xi$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\theta_{\text{Min}}$ ,  $\theta_{\text{Max}}$  need to be determined.
- Each value was determined by a genetic algorithm.
- For the first 50 generations the *Fitness* is defined as 1000 minus the number of time steps over 420 needed until the last truck is painted
- In the remainder of the generations the *Fitness* is this number minus the number of flushes needed by the booths during the 30 test runs

# Results

Test set 1								
Booths	Market-Based				Ant-Based			
	Time		Flushes		Time		Flushes	
	Avg.	Std. Dev.	Avg.	Std. Dev.	Avg.	Std. Dev.	Avg.	Std. Dev.
8	5.21	3.02	326.82	10.85	5.20	3.44	315.65	16.19
10	3.01	1.13	298.39	11.17	2.88	0.87	260.96	11.89
12	2.72	1.04	263.52	13.15	2.60	1.13	220.06	12.18
15	2.27	1.31	211.49	13.74	2.14	1.34	162.12	12.92

Test set 2								
Booths	Market-Based				Ant-Based			
	Time		Flushes		Time		Flushes	
	Avg.	Std. Dev.	Avg.	Std. Dev.	Avg.	Std. Dev.	Avg.	Std. Dev.
6	5.94	6.80	122.94	16.13	6.82	7.86	114.46	21.95
7	1.82	2.13	95.72	12.87	2.24	1.76	77.52	12.36
8	1.19	1.95	79.15	11.29	1.81	1.58	61.94	9.53

# Conclusions

- The ant based solution performed similarly to the market based solution based on time
- The ant solution performed much better on the amount of times needed to switch paint
- Both solutions performed better than a static scheduling system



# Questions?