Kulkarni's Theorem and finite groups acting on a surface of genus g with g - 1 prime.

Thomas Tucker Colgate University, Hamilton, New York, 13346

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Throughout this talk, surfaces are closed and orientable, all groups are finite, and all group actions preserve orientation.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Throughout this talk, surfaces are closed and orientable, all groups are finite, and all group actions preserve orientation. This talk motivated by work done with Conder and Širà ň (2010, *JEMS* on regular maps on surfaces of genus p + 1.

Groups actions on surfaces are governed by the Riemann-Hurwitz equation.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Throughout this talk, surfaces are closed and orientable, all groups are finite, and all group actions preserve orientation. This talk motivated by work done with Conder and Širà ň (2010, *JEMS* on regular maps on surfaces of genus p + 1.

Groups actions on surfaces are governed by the Riemann-Hurwitz equation. If G acts on the surface of genus g with quotient surface of genus h, containing branch points of order  $r_1, r_2, \ldots$  then

Throughout this talk, surfaces are closed and orientable, all groups are finite, and all group actions preserve orientation. This talk motivated by work done with Conder and Širà ň (2010, *JEMS* on regular maps on surfaces of genus p + 1.

Groups actions on surfaces are governed by the Riemann-Hurwitz equation. If G acts on the surface of genus g with quotient surface of genus h, containing branch points of order  $r_1, r_2, \ldots$  then

$$2g - 2 = |G|(2h - 2 + \Sigma(1 - 1/r_i))$$

Throughout this talk, surfaces are closed and orientable, all groups are finite, and all group actions preserve orientation. This talk motivated by work done with Conder and Širà ň (2010, *JEMS* on regular maps on surfaces of genus p + 1.

Groups actions on surfaces are governed by the Riemann-Hurwitz equation. If G acts on the surface of genus g with quotient surface of genus h, containing branch points of order  $r_1, r_2, \ldots$  then

$$2g - 2 = |G|(2h - 2 + \Sigma(1 - 1/r_i))$$

Given G with Sylow p-subgroups  $G_p$  of exponent  $p^{e_p}$ , let

$$D' = |G| \Pi_{p||G|} \frac{1}{p^{e_p}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Given G with Sylow p-subgroups  $G_p$  of exponent  $p^{e_p}$ , let

$$D' = |G|\Pi_{p||G|} \frac{1}{p^{e_p}}$$

If  $|G_2| > 1$  and the elements of  $G_2$  of order less than  $2^{e_2}$  do not form an index two subgroup, let  $D_G = D'/2$ . Otherwise, let  $D_G = D'$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Given G with Sylow p-subgroups  $G_p$  of exponent  $p^{e_p}$ , let

$$D' = |G|\Pi_{p||G|}\frac{1}{p^{e_p}}$$

If  $|G_2| > 1$  and the elements of  $G_2$  of order less than  $2^{e_2}$  do not form an index two subgroup, let  $D_G = D'/2$ . Otherwise, let  $D_G = D'$ .

Then we have:

**Theorem** (Kulkarni, *Topology*, 1987). If G acts on the surface of genus g, then  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{D_G}$ . The converse also holds for all but finitely many g.

Given G with Sylow p-subgroups  $G_p$  of exponent  $p^{e_p}$ , let

$$D' = |G|\Pi_{p||G|}\frac{1}{p^{e_p}}$$

If  $|G_2| > 1$  and the elements of  $G_2$  of order less than  $2^{e_2}$  do not form an index two subgroup, let  $D_G = D'/2$ . Otherwise, let  $D_G = D'$ .

Then we have:

**Theorem** (Kulkarni, *Topology*, 1987). If G acts on the surface of genus g, then  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{D_G}$ . The converse also holds for all but finitely many g.

Proof of necessity for odd G:

Given G with Sylow p-subgroups  $G_p$  of exponent  $p^{e_p}$ , let

$$D' = |G|\Pi_{p||G|}\frac{1}{p^{e_p}}$$

If  $|G_2| > 1$  and the elements of  $G_2$  of order less than  $2^{e_2}$  do not form an index two subgroup, let  $D_G = D'/2$ . Otherwise, let  $D_G = D'$ .

Then we have:

**Theorem** (Kulkarni, *Topology*, 1987). If G acts on the surface of genus g, then  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{D_G}$ . The converse also holds for all but finitely many g.

Proof of necessity for odd G: Rewrite RH as:

$$2g - 2 = |G|(2h - 2) + \Sigma(|G| - |G|/r_i)$$

Given G with Sylow p-subgroups  $G_p$  of exponent  $p^{e_p}$ , let

$$D' = |G|\Pi_{p||G|}\frac{1}{p^{e_p}}$$

If  $|G_2| > 1$  and the elements of  $G_2$  of order less than  $2^{e_2}$  do not form an index two subgroup, let  $D_G = D'/2$ . Otherwise, let  $D_G = D'$ .

Then we have:

**Theorem** (Kulkarni, *Topology*, 1987). If G acts on the surface of genus g, then  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{D_G}$ . The converse also holds for all but finitely many g.

Proof of necessity for odd G: Rewrite RH as:

$$2g - 2 = |G|(2h - 2) + \Sigma(|G| - |G|/r_i)$$

Note that highest power of p dividing  $r_i$  is  $e_p$ 

Given G with Sylow p-subgroups  $G_p$  of exponent  $p^{e_p}$ , let

$$D' = |G|\Pi_{p||G|}\frac{1}{p^{e_p}}$$

If  $|G_2| > 1$  and the elements of  $G_2$  of order less than  $2^{e_2}$  do not form an index two subgroup, let  $D_G = D'/2$ . Otherwise, let  $D_G = D'$ .

Then we have:

**Theorem** (Kulkarni, *Topology*, 1987). If G acts on the surface of genus g, then  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{D_G}$ . The converse also holds for all but finitely many g.

Proof of necessity for odd G: Rewrite RH as:

$$2g - 2 = |G|(2h - 2) + \Sigma(|G| - |G|/r_i)$$

Note that highest power of p dividing  $r_i$  is  $e_p$  Thus all terms on right are divisible by  $D_G$  making  $2g - 2 \equiv 0 \mod(D_G)$ 

Given G with Sylow p-subgroups  $G_p$  of exponent  $p^{e_p}$ , let

$$D' = |G|\Pi_{p||G|}\frac{1}{p^{e_p}}$$

If  $|G_2| > 1$  and the elements of  $G_2$  of order less than  $2^{e_2}$  do not form an index two subgroup, let  $D_G = D'/2$ . Otherwise, let  $D_G = D'$ .

Then we have:

**Theorem** (Kulkarni, *Topology*, 1987). If G acts on the surface of genus g, then  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{D_G}$ . The converse also holds for all but finitely many g.

Proof of necessity for odd G: Rewrite RH as:

$$2g - 2 = |G|(2h - 2) + \Sigma(|G| - |G|/r_i)$$

Note that highest power of p dividing  $r_i$  is  $e_p$  Thus all terms on right are divisible by  $D_G$  making  $2g - 2 \equiv 0 \mod(D_G)$  Since  $D_G$  is odd, we can divide congruence by 2.

Given G with Sylow p-subgroups  $G_p$  of exponent  $p^{e_p}$ , let

$$D' = |G|\Pi_{p||G|}\frac{1}{p^{e_p}}$$

If  $|G_2| > 1$  and the elements of  $G_2$  of order less than  $2^{e_2}$  do not form an index two subgroup, let  $D_G = D'/2$ . Otherwise, let  $D_G = D'$ .

Then we have:

**Theorem** (Kulkarni, *Topology*, 1987). If G acts on the surface of genus g, then  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{D_G}$ . The converse also holds for all but finitely many g.

Proof of necessity for odd G: Rewrite RH as:

$$2g - 2 = |G|(2h - 2) + \Sigma(|G| - |G|/r_i)$$

Note that highest power of p dividing  $r_i$  is  $e_p$  Thus all terms on right are divisible by  $D_G$  making  $2g - 2 \equiv 0 \mod(D_G)$  Since  $D_G$  is odd, we can divide congruence by 2.

# Almost Sylow cyclic groups

The group G is called *almost Sylow cyclic* if  $G_p$  is cyclic for odd p and  $G_2$  contains a subgroup of index two.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Almost Sylow cyclic groups

The group G is called *almost Sylow cyclic* if  $G_p$  is cyclic for odd p and  $G_2$  contains a subgroup of index two.

**Corollary to Kulkarni's Theorem** *G* acts on almost all surfaces if and only if *G* is almost Sylow cyclic and does not contain  $C_2 \times C_4$ .

**Theorem** Suppose that p is an odd prime. If G acts on the surface of genus p + 1 with p > 5, then G acts on almost all surfaces.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

**Theorem** Suppose that *p* is an odd prime. If *G* acts on the surface of genus p + 1 with p > 5, then *G* acts on almost all surfaces. On the other hand,  $C_3 \times C_3$  acts on the surface of genus 3 + 1 and  $C_5 \times C_5$  acts on the surface of genus 5 + 1

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**Theorem** Suppose that *p* is an odd prime. If *G* acts on the surface of genus p + 1 with p > 5, then *G* acts on almost all surfaces. On the other hand,  $C_3 \times C_3$  acts on the surface of genus 3 + 1 and  $C_5 \times C_5$  acts on the surface of genus 5 + 1 and in both cases the only surfaces *G* acts on have genus  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**Theorem** Suppose that p is an odd prime. If G acts on the surface of genus p + 1 with p > 5, then G acts on almost all surfaces. On the other hand,  $C_3 \times C_3$  acts on the surface of genus 3 + 1 and  $C_5 \times C_5$  acts on the surface of genus 5 + 1 and in both cases the only surfaces G acts on have genus  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

Proof. By Kulkarni, we have  $g - 1 = p \equiv 0 \mod (D_G)$ .

**Theorem** Suppose that p is an odd prime. If G acts on the surface of genus p + 1 with p > 5, then G acts on almost all surfaces. On the other hand,  $C_3 \times C_3$  acts on the surface of genus 3 + 1 and  $C_5 \times C_5$  acts on the surface of genus 5 + 1 and in both cases the only surfaces G acts on have genus  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

Proof. By Kulkarni, we have  $g - 1 = p \equiv 0 \mod (D_G)$ . Thus either  $D_G = 1$  and hence G acts on almost all surfaces

**Theorem** Suppose that p is an odd prime. If G acts on the surface of genus p + 1 with p > 5, then G acts on almost all surfaces. On the other hand,  $C_3 \times C_3$  acts on the surface of genus 3 + 1 and  $C_5 \times C_5$  acts on the surface of genus 5 + 1 and in both cases the only surfaces G acts on have genus  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

Proof. By Kulkarni, we have  $g - 1 = p \equiv 0 \mod (D_G)$ . Thus either  $D_G = 1$  and hence G acts on almost all surfaces Or  $D_G = p$ .

**Theorem** Suppose that p is an odd prime. If G acts on the surface of genus p + 1 with p > 5, then G acts on almost all surfaces. On the other hand,  $C_3 \times C_3$  acts on the surface of genus 3 + 1 and  $C_5 \times C_5$  acts on the surface of genus 5 + 1 and in both cases the only surfaces G acts on have genus  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

Proof. By Kulkarni, we have  $g - 1 = p \equiv 0 \mod (D_G)$ . Thus either  $D_G = 1$  and hence G acts on almost all surfaces Or  $D_G = p$ . That means  $|G_p| \ge p^2$ .

**Theorem** Suppose that p is an odd prime. If G acts on the surface of genus p + 1 with p > 5, then G acts on almost all surfaces. On the other hand,  $C_3 \times C_3$  acts on the surface of genus 3 + 1 and  $C_5 \times C_5$  acts on the surface of genus 5 + 1 and in both cases the only surfaces G acts on have genus  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

Proof. By Kulkarni, we have  $g - 1 = p \equiv 0 \mod (D_G)$ . Thus either  $D_G = 1$  and hence G acts on almost all surfaces Or  $D_G = p$ . That means  $|G_p| \ge p^2$ . We have that  $G_p$  also acts, so we discard G and only consider  $G_p$ .

**Theorem** Suppose that p is an odd prime. If G acts on the surface of genus p + 1 with p > 5, then G acts on almost all surfaces. On the other hand,  $C_3 \times C_3$  acts on the surface of genus 3 + 1 and  $C_5 \times C_5$  acts on the surface of genus 5 + 1 and in both cases the only surfaces G acts on have genus  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

Proof. By Kulkarni, we have  $g - 1 = p \equiv 0 \mod (D_G)$ . Thus either  $D_G = 1$  and hence G acts on almost all surfaces Or  $D_G = p$ . That means  $|G_p| \ge p^2$ . We have that  $G_p$  also acts, so we discard G and only consider  $G_p$ .

We have for RH applied to the p group  $G_p$ ;

$$2p = p^n \left(2h - 2 + \Sigma(1 - 1/r_i)\right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where each  $r_i$  is a power of p and n > 1.

We have for RH applied to the p group  $G_p$ ;

$$2p = p^n \left(2h - 2 + \Sigma(1 - 1/r_i)\right)$$

where each  $r_i$  is a power of p and n > 1.

With h = 1, there must be branch points, so right side is at least  $p^n(1-1/3)$  so  $3 \ge p^{n-1}$  forcing p = 3, n = 2. (there is none with only one branch point)

We have for RH applied to the p group  $G_p$ ;

$$2p = p^n \left(2h - 2 + \Sigma(1 - 1/r_i)\right)$$

where each  $r_i$  is a power of p and n > 1.

With h = 1, there must be branch points, so right side is at least  $p^n(1-1/3)$  so  $3 \ge p^{n-1}$  forcing p = 3, n = 2. (there is none with only one branch point) With h = 0 and p > 3, since there must be at least 3 branch points, right side is at least (-2 + 3(1 - 1/5)) = 2/5.

We have for RH applied to the p group  $G_p$ ;

$$2p = p^n \left(2h - 2 + \Sigma(1 - 1/r_i)\right)$$

where each  $r_i$  is a power of p and n > 1.

With h = 1, there must be branch points, so right side is at least  $p^n(1-1/3)$  so  $3 \ge p^{n-1}$  forcing p = 3, n = 2. (there is none with only one branch point) With h = 0 and p > 3, since there must be at least 3 branch points, right side is at least (-2 + 3(1 - 1/5)) = 2/5. Thus  $2p \ge p^n(2/5)$  so  $5 \ge p^{n-1}$  pause forcing n = 2 and p = 5. (there is one with  $C_5 \times C_5$ )

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

We have for RH applied to the p group  $G_p$ ;

$$2p = p^n \left(2h - 2 + \Sigma(1 - 1/r_i)\right)$$

where each  $r_i$  is a power of p and n > 1.

With h = 1, there must be branch points, so right side is at least  $p^n(1-1/3)$  so  $3 \ge p^{n-1}$  forcing p = 3, n = 2. (there is none with only one branch point) With h = 0 and p > 3, since there must be at least 3 branch points, right side is at least (-2 + 3(1 - 1/5)) = 2/5. Thus  $2p \ge p^n(2/5)$  so  $5 \ge p^{n-1}$  pause forcing n = 2 and p = 5. (there is one with  $C_5 \times C_5$ )

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

There cannot be just three branch points of order 3 (or else right side is 0),

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

There cannot be just three branch points of order 3 (or else right side is 0), so either three with at least one of order 9 or four or more each of order at least 3.

There cannot be just three branch points of order 3 (or else right side is 0), so either three with at least one of order 9 or four or more each of order at least 3.

For first we get  $6 \ge 3^n(-2/3 + 1 - 1/9)$ , so  $27 \ge 3^n$ .

There cannot be just three branch points of order 3 (or else right side is 0), so either three with at least one of order 9 or four or more each of order at least 3.

For first we get  $6 \ge 3^n(-2/3 + 1 - 1/9)$ , so  $27 \ge 3^n$ . But there is no group of order 27 or 9 generated by two elements of order 3 whose product has order 9.

There cannot be just three branch points of order 3 (or else right side is 0), so either three with at least one of order 9 or four or more each of order at least 3.

For first we get  $6 \ge 3^n(-2/3 + 1 - 1/9)$ , so  $27 \ge 3^n$ . But there is no group of order 27 or 9 generated by two elements of order 3 whose product has order 9. For second, we have  $6 \ge 3^n(-2/3)$  so n = 2 and this works with  $C_3 \times C_3$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)