◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

# Symmetric surfaces with quasi-platonic PSL(2,q) action Preliminary report

S. Allen Broughton - Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology

Loyola University AMS meeting, October 4, 2015

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
Overview			

Overview of sections

- Symmetric Quasi-Platonic (QP) Actions.
- Mirrors of Symmetries.
- Symmetric QP PSL<sub>2</sub>(q) actions prior and new results (Macbeath, Singerman, Broughton et. al, Tyszkowska).

Motivations

- Why symmetries? Symmetries are complex conjugations of a surface defined over ℝ. The mirrors are real curves.
- Why *PSL*<sub>2</sub>(*q*)?

simple group, many low genus actions, numerous symmetries, nice group structure, easy calculations.

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
QP actions and syr	nmetric QP actions		
QP action	ons - definition		

#### Definition

• The finite group *G* acts conformally on the closed, orientable Riemann surface *S* if there is a monomorphism:

$$\epsilon: \mathbf{G} \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{S}),$$

where Aut(S) is the conformal automorphism group of S.

- An action is quasi-platonic if:
  - The quotient surface has genus zero:  $S/G \simeq P^1(\mathbb{C})$ .
  - The quotient map: π<sub>G</sub>: S → S/G = P<sup>1</sup>(ℂ) is ramified over three points.

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions ⊙●○○○○	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
QP actions and symmetric C	QP actions		

## Symmetric QP actions - example

- Discuss: QP-action in this picture.
- Explain the term quasi-platonic.
- Show symmetries, mirrors, and separation.



Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
QP actions and symmetric C	QP actions		

## Construction of actions - summary

- Let p, q, r be the reflections in the sides of a (hyperbolic) triangle on the surface with angles  $\frac{2\pi}{l}, \frac{2\pi}{m}, \frac{2\pi}{n}$ .
- Let a = pq, b = qr,c = rp be the rotations at the corners of the triangle. Define an action by identifying a triple (a, b, c) ∈ G<sup>3</sup> with the rotations of the same name.
- We call (*a*, *b*, *c*) a generating (*I*, *m*, *n*)-triple of *G*. The assignment defines an action as long as the following hold.

$$\boldsymbol{G} = \langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c} \rangle \tag{1}$$

$$o(a) = I, o(b) = m, o(c) = n$$
 (2)

$$abc = 1$$
 (3)

• The genus  $\sigma$  of *S* satisfies

$$2\sigma - 2 = |G| \left( 1 - \frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

Symmetric QP-actions

Mirrors

Symmetric *PSL*(2, *q*) actions

QP actions and symmetric QP actions

# Symmetries and QP actions

#### Definition

A symmetry or reflection on a surface *S* is an anti-conformal involution  $\varphi$  of *S*. A QP action  $\epsilon : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(S)$  is symmetric if there is a symmetry  $\varphi$  normalizing the action of *G*, namely  $\varphi \epsilon(G)\varphi = \epsilon(G)$ .

For a symmetric QP action:

• Denote by  $\theta$  the induced involutary automorphism of G

$$\theta(g) = \epsilon^{-1}(\varphi \epsilon(g) \varphi).$$

• Define  $G^* = \langle \theta \rangle \ltimes G$ , and extend the action  $\epsilon : G^* \to \operatorname{Aut}^*(S) = \langle \operatorname{Aut}(S), \varphi \rangle$  by  $\theta \to \varphi$ .

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
QP actions and symmetric C	P actions		

### Macbeath-Singerman symmetries

• If  $\varphi = q$  is a symmetry then automorphism  $\theta$  satisfies

$$\theta(a) = a^{-1}, \ \theta(b) = b^{-1}.$$

with similar formulas for p and q.

- The reflections *p*, *q*, *r* are sometimes called Macbeath Singerman symmetries.
- The local reflection *q* extends to symmetry of the entire surface if and only if an automorphism θ, satisfying the above equation, exists.

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
QP actions and syn	nmetric QP actions		
Types of	fsymmetries		

Symmetries come in two types, depending on whether  $\theta$  is an inner or outer automorphism.

 If θ is inner, then G<sup>\*</sup> ≃ G × Z<sub>2</sub> assuming G is centerless. In this case S has fixed point free symmetries.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

If θ is outer then S has no fixed point free symmetries. If
 G = PSL<sub>2</sub>(q) then G<sup>\*</sup> = PGL<sub>2</sub>(q)

$\sim$			
1	r۱		٨Λ.
$\sim$	I V	-	٧V

Symmetric QP-actions

Mirrors

Symmetric *PSL*(2, *q*) actions

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Mirrors

## **Mirrors - definitions**

#### Definition

Let  $\varphi$  be a *symmetry* or *reflection* on a surface *S*.

- The fixed point set M<sub>φ</sub> of φ is called the mirror of the symmetry.
- The mirror  $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$  is a disjoint union of circles called *ovals*.
- The symmetry φ is called separating if S M<sub>φ</sub> consists of two disjoint mirror image pieces, otherwise it is called non-separating.

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors ○●○○○○○○	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
Mirrors - ovals			
Number	of ovals		

The centralizer

$$\operatorname{Cent}_G(q) = \{g \in G : \theta(g) = g\}$$

acts transitively on the ovals of the mirror of q.

- The stabilizer of an oval is dihedral or cyclic (next slide).
- The subgroup of rotations of the stabilizer of an oval can be computed from the edge pattern of the oval (next slide).
- Similar results hold for *p* and *r*.
- The number of ovals can be computed using the orbit-stabilizer theorem.

)verview	-			
	r h	rs1	$\sim$	
	C 7 V	ιv		v٦

Symmetric QP-actions

Mirrors

Symmetric *PSL*(2, *q*) actions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Mirrors - ovals

## Edge patterns and oval rotations

- Discuss: Show PQR patterns of ovals.
- Describe oval rotation.



Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
Mirrors - ovals			

# Partial edge pattern table

#### • Four of eight patterns shown.

• 
$$l = 2\lambda + 1$$
 or  $l = 2\lambda$   
 $m = 2\mu + 1$  or  $m = 2\mu$   
 $n = 2\nu + 1$  or  $n = 2\nu$ 

even	odd	basic PQR pattern	oval rotation
exponents	exponents		
	<i>I</i> , <i>m</i> , <i>n</i>	$P^+Q^+R^+$	$a^{-\lambda}b^{-\mu}c^{- u}$
1	<i>m</i> , <i>n</i>	$Q^+R^+P^+P^-R^-Q^-$	$b^{-\mu}c^{- u}a^{-\lambda}c^{ u}b^{\mu}a^{\lambda}$
<i>I</i> , <i>m</i>	n	$Q^+Q^-$	$b^{\mu}a^{\lambda}$
		$R^{+}P^{+}P^{-}R^{-}$	$c^{- u}a^{\lambda}c^{ u}b^{\mu}$
I, m, n		P <sup>+</sup> P <sup>-</sup>	$a^{\lambda}c^{ u}$
		$Q^+Q^-$	$b^{\mu}a^{\lambda}$
		$R^+R^-$	$c^ u b^\mu$

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors ○○○○●○○○	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions				
Mirrors - separability							
Fixed po	int formula						

We have two ways of determining separability of mirrors. One way uses fixed point formulas. We first note a fixed point formula for  $g \in G$ .

#### Proposition

Fixed point formula for  $g \in G$ 

$$|S^{g}| = |N_{G}(\langle g \rangle)|(\delta_{I}(g)/I + \delta_{m}(g)/m + \delta_{n}(g)/n)$$
(4)

where  $\delta_l(g) = 1$  if g is conjugate to a power of a, and 0 otherwise. Similar definitions for  $\delta_m(g)$ ,  $\delta_n(g)$ .

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 00000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
Mirrors - separability			

## Mirrors - separability - 1

For each pattern  $B_i$  let  $\mathcal{M}(\varphi, B_i)$  be the union of all the ovals in  $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$  with edge pattern  $B_i$ .

#### Theorem

Suppose a has even order and let h be the involution  $a^{\lambda}$ . Then if q is separating we have the fixed point inequality:

$$\left| S^{h} \right| \leq 2 \left| \mathcal{M}(q, B_{1}) \right| + \cdots + 2 \left| \mathcal{M}(q, B_{s}) \right|.$$

#### Theorem

Suppose a has odd order. Then if q is separating we have the fixed point equality:

$$\frac{|N_G(a)|}{l} = |N_G(a) \cap \operatorname{Cent}_G(q)|$$

$\sim$				
· 1\/	$\alpha rr$	114	au	1
$ \sim $	<u> </u>	V I V	- V	v

Symmetric QP-actions

Mirrors

Symmetric *PSL*(2, *q*) actions

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Mirrors - separability

## Mirrors - separability - 2

Notes:

- If q is separating then all the fixed points of a or h must lie on the mirror M<sub>q</sub>. The right hand side of the equations count or estimate the number of these fixed points.
- Typically one proves that symmetries are non-separating by showing that the left hand sides are much larger than the right hand sides.
- The fixed point formulas don't always work.

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors ○○○○○○●	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
Mirrors - separabili	ty		
Mirrors	- separability - 3		

The second method involves counting triangles.

#### Theorem

There is an easily implemented computer algorithm that counts all the elements of  $G^*$  corresponding to triangles lying on one side of the mirror  $\mathcal{M}_q$ . The mirror  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is separating if and only if the count terminates with  $|G| = |G^*|/2$  elements.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions ●○○○○○○	
Some results and conjectures				
Prior results				

#### Theorem

(Macbeath) Every quasi-platonic action of  $PSL_2(q)$  has Macbeath-Singerman symmetries.

- (Broughton et al.) The symmetries of Hurwitz actions have been completely characterized. All symmetries with non-empty mirrors symmetries are non-separating. Trace arguments in SL(2, q) are used.
- (Tyszkowska)There is a Harnack like theorem describing the maximum number of ovals of a Macbeath-Singerman symmetry of a *PSL*(2, *q*) action. The oval rotations and trace arguments are used.

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions ○●○○○○○	
Some results and conjectures				
Easy results				

- (originally proven in Broughton et al. for Hurwitz actions) The Macbeath Singerman symmetries are all conjugate. Therefore every mirror has ovals of each pattern type.
- If q is not divisible 3 and q > 7 then all of the Macbeath-Singerman symmetries for hyperbolic (2, 3, n) and (3, 3, n) actions are non-separating.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric $PSL(2, q)$ actions	
Some results and co	njectures			
Conjectures				

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

#### Conjecture

All Macbeath-Singerman symmetries of  $PSL_2(q)$  are non-separating.

See next slide.

Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions	
results				
Sample results				

#### Show tables of Symmetric QP-actions



Overview	Symmetric QP-actions	Mirrors 0000000	Symmetric <i>PSL</i> (2, <i>q</i> ) actions
results			
Comput	ational Tools		

We used Magma to compute all the results shown. For faster computation and for general results we can try traces in  $SL_2(q)$  as follows (see Broughton et al, Glover-Sjerve and Tyszkowska).

- For each possible order *n* of an element in *u* ∈ *PSL*<sub>2</sub>(*q*) there is a universal polynomial *f<sub>n</sub>*(*τ*) ∈ ℤ[*τ*] such that ord(*u*) = *n* if and only if *f<sub>n</sub>*(*Tr*(*U*) = 0 for any covering element of *u*.
- The trace of any word in *A*, *B*, *C* can be easily computed as a polynomial in {α, β, γ}. In particular this can be done for oval rotations.

done

Symmetric QP-actions

Mirrors 00000000 Symmetric *PSL*(2, *q*) actions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

# Any Questions?

done

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

## References

- S.A Broughton, E. Bujalance, A.F. Costa, J.M. Gamboa, G, Gromadzki, *Symmetries of Riemann Surfaces on which PSL*(2, *p*) *acts as a Hurwitz automorphism group*, J. Pure Applied Algebra 1996) pp. 113-126
- H. Glover & D. Sjerve, *Representing PSL*<sub>2</sub>(*p*) on a Surface of Least Genus, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Vol. 31 (1985), pp. 305–325.
- H. Glover & D. Sjerve, *The Genus of PSL*<sub>2</sub>(q), J.reine angew. Math, Vol. 380 (1987), pp. 59–86.



## **References - continued**

- A.M. Macbeath, *Generators of the Linear Fractional Groups*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Vol. XII, Amer. Math. Soc. (1969), pp. 14–32.
- D. Singerman, *Symmetries of Riemann surfaces with large automorphism group*, Math. Ann. 210, (1974) 17-32.
- E. Tyszkowska, On Macbeath-Singerman Symmetries Of Belyi Surfaces with PSL(2, p) as Group of Automorphisms, Central European J. of Math. (2003) pp.208-220