

# On the existence of maximal group actions on compact Riemann surfaces

Jakub Szmelter-Tomczuk

University of Gdańsk

28.03.2026



## Actions of finite groups on closed oriented surfaces

By an action of a finite group  $G$  on a closed oriented surface  $S$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  we understand a monomorphism

$$G \hookrightarrow \text{Homeo}^+(S).$$

## Actions of finite groups on closed oriented surfaces

By an action of a finite group  $G$  on a closed oriented surface  $S$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  we understand a monomorphism

$$G \hookrightarrow \text{Homeo}^+(S).$$

Actions of groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are *topologically equivalent* if and only if their images in  $\text{Homeo}^+(S)$  are conjugate. We say that an action of  $G_2$  *extends* an action of  $G_1$  if there is a subgroup  $H \leq G_2$  such that the induced action of  $H$  is topologically equivalent to the action of  $G_1$ .

## Actions of finite groups on closed oriented surfaces

By an action of a finite group  $G$  on a closed oriented surface  $S$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  we understand a monomorphism

$$G \hookrightarrow \text{Homeo}^+(S).$$

Actions of groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are *topologically equivalent* if and only if their images in  $\text{Homeo}^+(S)$  are conjugate. We say that an action of  $G_2$  *extends* an action of  $G_1$  if there is a subgroup  $H \leq G_2$  such that the induced action of  $H$  is topologically equivalent to the action of  $G_1$ .

Finally, we say that an action of  $Z_p$  is *finitely maximal* if and only if it does not properly extend.

## Generating vectors

A sequence  $(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_h, b_h; x_1, \dots, x_r)$  of elements of a finite group  $G$  is called a  $(h; m_1, \dots, m_r)$ -*generating vector* defining an action of  $G$  on a compact surface of genus  $g$  if and only if

- its elements generate  $G$ ,
- the order of  $x_i$  is equal to  $m_i$ ,
- $x_1 \dots x_r [a_1, b_1] \dots [a_h, b_h] = 1$ ,
- $2(g - 1) = |G| (2(h - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - 1/m_i))$ .

## Topological equivalence via generating vectors

### Theorem (J. Nielsen 1944)

Let

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_h, b_h; x_1, \dots, x_r) \quad \text{and} \quad (a'_1, b'_1, \dots, a'_h, b'_h; x'_1, \dots, x'_r),$$

where  $r > 0$  be two generating vectors for  $Z_p$ . These vectors define topologically equivalent actions of  $Z_p$  if and only if there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in S_r$  and an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $Z_p$  such that

$$(\varphi(x_{\sigma(1)}), \dots, \varphi(x_{\sigma(r)})) = (x'_1, \dots, x'_r).$$

Moreover, there is only one, up to topological equivalence, action of  $Z_p$  with the signature  $(h; -)$ . □

## Necessary conditions

**Theorem (V. Peterson et al. 2017)**

*Suppose that the group  $Z_p$  acts on a compact Riemann surface with the signature  $(h; p, \dots, p)$ , such that  $h \geq (p - 3)/2$ . Then, the action of  $Z_p$  extends to an action of a group of order  $2p$ .  $\square$*

## Necessary conditions

### Theorem (V. Peterson et al. 2017)

*Suppose that the group  $Z_p$  acts on a compact Riemann surface with the signature  $(h; p, \dots, p)$ , such that  $h \geq (p - 3)/2$ . Then, the action of  $Z_p$  extends to an action of a group of order  $2p$ .  $\square$*

### Theorem (V. Peterson et al. 2017)

*Suppose that the group  $Z_p$  acts on a compact Riemann surface with the signature  $(h; p, \dots, p)$ , such that  $h < (p - 3)/2$ . Moreover, suppose that the action of  $Z_p$  is not finitely maximal. Then, this action extends to an action of a group  $G$  of order  $pq$ , for some prime number  $q$ . Furthermore, we may assume that  $Z_p$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .  $\square$*

## A criterion for being finitely maximal

If the orbit genus of an action of  $Z_p$  is smaller than  $(p - 3)/2$ , then we only need to check if this action extends to an action of a group of order  $pq$ , containing it as a normal subgroup.

## A criterion for being finitely maximal

If the orbit genus of an action of  $Z_p$  is smaller than  $(p-3)/2$ , then we only need to check if this action extends to an action of a group of order  $pq$ , containing it as a normal subgroup.

**Lemma (G. Gromadzki and J. S-T, 2026)**

*Suppose that  $G$  is a group of order  $pq$  containing  $Z_p$  as a normal subgroup and acting on a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . Then, the signature of the action of  $G$  equals*

$$(k; q, \dots, q, p, \dots, p, pq, \dots, pq),$$

*where  $u \leq 2h + 2$ , and if  $q > 2$ , then  $u \leq h + 2$ .*



## Known sufficient condition

**Theorem (V. Peterson et al. 2017)**

*Let  $p \geq 5$  be a prime,  $0 \leq h \leq (p - 5)/2$  and  $r > p + 7$  be integers. Then, there exists a finitely maximal action of  $Z_p$  with the signature  $(h; p, \dots, p)$ .* □

## Usefull terminology

Let

$$(1, \overset{2h}{\dots}, 1; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r), \quad (1)$$

be a generating vector for an action of  $Z_p$ . Recall that a permutation of  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  gives rise to a topologically equivalent action of  $Z_p$ . Thus, given a prime number  $q$ , we may assume that the action of  $Z_p$  is defined by a vector

$$(1, \overset{2h}{\dots}, 1; b_1, \overset{qn_1}{\dots}, b_1, \dots, b_t, \overset{qn_t}{\dots}, b_t, c_1, \dots, c_u),$$

for some  $u \geq 0$ ,  $n_1, \dots, n_t > 0$  such that

- $b_i$  are pairwise distinct,
- each  $c_i$  appears less than  $q$  times,
- $u + (n_1 + \dots + n_t)q = r$

called a  $q$ -form of the vector (1).

## Usefull terminology

$$(1, \dots, 1; b_1, q^{n_1}, b_1, \dots, b_t, q^{n_t}, b_t, c_1, \dots, c_u)$$

## Usefull terminology

$$(1, \dots, 1; b_1, q^{n_1}, b_1, \dots, b_t, q^{n_t}, b_t, c_1, \dots, c_u)$$

The sequences  $(b_1, q^{n_1}, b_1, \dots, b_t, q^{n_t}, b_t)$  and  $(c_1, \dots, c_u)$  will be called the *q-block* and *q-tail* of the vector  $(1)$  respectively.

## Usefull terminology

$$(1, \dots, 1; b_1, q^{n_1}, b_1, \dots, b_t, q^{n_t}, b_t, c_1, \dots, c_u)$$

The sequences  $(b_1, q^{n_1}, b_1, \dots, b_t, q^{n_t}, b_t)$  and  $(c_1, \dots, c_u)$  will be called the *q-block* and *q-tail* of the vector (1) respectively.

With this terminology we have the following

### Lemma

*Let  $\tau$  be a generating vector for an action of  $Z_p$ , such that the length  $u$  of its 2-tail does not exceed  $2h + 2$ . Then, the action of  $Z_p$  extends to an action of  $Z_{2p}$ .* □

## What needs to be done

We need to determine for which triples  $(p, h, r)$ , there exists a sequence  $(1, \dots, 1; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  of elements of  $Z_p$ , such that

## What needs to be done

We need to determine for which triples  $(p, h, r)$ , there exists a sequence  $(1, \dots, 1; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  of elements of  $Z_p$ , such that

- its  $q$ -tail is sufficiently long for any prime number  $q$ .

## What needs to be done

We need to determine for which triples  $(p, h, r)$ , there exists a sequence  $(1, \dots, 1; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  of elements of  $Z_p$ , such that

- its  $q$ -tail is sufficiently long for any prime number  $q$ .
- the sequence  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  cannot be decomposed into orbits of nontrivial action of any  $Z_q$  on  $Z_p$ ,

## What needs to be done

We need to determine for which triples  $(p, h, r)$ , there exists a sequence  $(1, \dots, 1; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  of elements of  $Z_p$ , such that

- its  $q$ -tail is sufficiently long for any prime number  $q$ .
- the sequence  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  cannot be decomposed into orbits of nontrivial action of any  $Z_q$  on  $Z_p$ ,
- the sequence  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  cannot be permuted into a sequence of the form  $(b_1, \dots, b_1, \dots, b_t, \dots, b_t)$ .

# Main theorem

## Theorem

*There exists a finitely maximal action of  $Z_p$  with the signature  $(h; p, r, \dots, p)$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds*

- $h \leq (p - 9)/2$  and  $r \geq 2h + 3$ ,
- $h = (p - 7)/2$ ,  $p > 7$  and  $r = 2h + 3$  or  $r \geq 2h + 5$ ,
- $h = (p - 5)/2$ ,  $p \geq 7$  and  $r = p, p + 2$  or  $r \geq 2h + 9$ ,
- $h = 0$ ,  $p = 7$  and  $r \geq 5$ ,
- $h = 0$ ,  $p = 5$  and  $r = 7$  or  $r \geq 9$ .



## Sketch of the proof

### Theorem

*Let  $p \geq 7$  be a prime,  $h \leq (p - 7)/2$  and  $r \geq 2h + 5$  be integers. Then, there exists a finitely maximal action of  $Z_p$  with the signature  $(h; p, \dots, p)$ .*

## Sketch of the proof

### Theorem

*Let  $p > 7$  be a prime,  $h \leq (p - 9)/2$ , and  $r \geq 2h + 3$ . Then, there exists a finitely maximal action of  $Z_p$  with the signature  $(h; p, \dots, p)$ .* □

By the previous theorem, here we only need to consider the cases  $r = 2h + 3$  and  $r = 2h + 4$ .

## Sketch of the proof

### Theorem

*Let  $p > 7$  be a prime,  $h \leq (p - 9)/2$ , and  $r \geq 2h + 3$ . Then, there exists a finitely maximal action of  $Z_p$  with the signature  $(h; p, \dots, p)$ .* □

By the previous theorem, here we only need to consider the cases  $r = 2h + 3$  and  $r = 2h + 4$ . We will choose pairwise different elements  $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $(1, \dots, 1; a^{n_1}, a^{n_2}, \dots, a^{n_r})$  is a well-defined generating vector. Observe that we only need to make sure that it cannot be decomposed into orbits of a nontrivial action of any  $Z_q$  on  $Z_p$ .

## Sketch of the proof

We start by taking  $n_1 = -1$ ,  $n_2 = -2$ ,  $n_3 = 3$ . Let  $S$  be a set of generators of all prime-ordered subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  whose orders divide  $r$ . We may assume that 2 and  $p - 3$  are not elements of  $S$ .

## Sketch of the proof

We start by taking  $n_1 = -1$ ,  $n_2 = -2$ ,  $n_3 = 3$ . Let  $S$  be a set of generators of all prime-ordered subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  whose orders divide  $r$ . We may assume that 2 and  $p - 3$  are not elements of  $S$ . Let  $S_1 = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} = S \setminus \{-1, -2, 3\}$  and observe that the set  $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \setminus \{1, 2, 3, -1, -2, -3\}$  may be decomposed into sets  $S_1$ ,  $-S_1$  and  $B$ , where  $B$  has the property that  $x \in B$  if and only if  $-x \in B$ .

## Sketch of the proof

We start by taking  $n_1 = -1$ ,  $n_2 = -2$ ,  $n_3 = 3$ . Let  $S$  be a set of generators of all prime-ordered subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  whose orders divide  $r$ . We may assume that 2 and  $p - 3$  are not elements of  $S$ . Let  $S_1 = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} = S \setminus \{-1, -2, 3\}$  and observe that the set  $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \setminus \{1, 2, 3, -1, -2, -3\}$  may be decomposed into sets  $S_1$ ,  $-S_1$  and  $B$ , where  $B$  has the property that  $x \in B$  if and only if  $-x \in B$ .

## Sketch of the proof

We start by taking  $n_1 = -1$ ,  $n_2 = -2$ ,  $n_3 = 3$ . Let  $S$  be a set of generators of all prime-ordered subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  whose orders divide  $r$ . We may assume that 2 and  $p - 3$  are not elements of  $S$ . Let  $S_1 = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} = S \setminus \{-1, -2, 3\}$  and observe that the set  $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \setminus \{1, 2, 3, -1, -2, -3\}$  may be decomposed into sets  $S_1$ ,  $-S_1$  and  $B$ , where  $B$  has the property that  $x \in B$  if and only if  $-x \in B$ . Now we take

$$n_4 = \lambda_1, \dots, n_{3+m} = \lambda_m, n_{4+m} = -\lambda_1, \dots, n_{3+2m} = -\lambda_m,$$

the remaining elements can be chosen from the set  $B$  by taking suitably many pairs  $x, -x$ . □

## Sketch of the proof

Consider a signature  $(10; 31, 24, 31)$ . We will construct a finitely maximal action of  $Z_{31}$  with this signature. Observe that there are two prime ordered subgroups of  $Z_{31}^*$  whose orders divide the number of fixed points i.e. the subgroups of orders 2 and 3.

## Sketch of the proof

Consider a signature  $(10; 31, \overset{24}{.}, 31)$ . We will construct a finitely maximal action of  $Z_{31}$  with this signature. Observe that there are two prime ordered subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_{31}^*$  whose orders divide the number of fixed points i.e. the subgroups of orders 2 and 3. Let  $S = \{5, 30\}$  be a set of generators of these groups. Then the set  $\mathbb{Z}_{31}^* \setminus \{1, 2, 3, p-6, -1, -2, -3, 6\}$  can be decomposed into sets  $S_1 = \{5\}$ ,  $-S_1 = \{26\}$  and  $B = \{4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27\}$ . So, we take the following

$$n_1 = 30, n_2 = 29, n_3 = 28, n_4 = 6, n_5 = 5, n_6 = 26.$$

The remaining elements we may choose from the set  $B$  by taking sufficiently many pairs  $(x, -x)$ .

Thank you for your attention!