After today, you should be able to...
...explain how hash tables perform insertion in amortized $O(1)$ time given enough space.

```
“ate” → hashCode() → 48594983 → mod → 83
```
Announcements and questions

- EditorTrees M1 discussion
  - Unit test points and commits
- HW6 discussion
- Questions on HW6?
Announcements and questions

1. Section 1: 25 min with CSSE Board of Advisors, looking for candid student feedback about the CSSE department

2. Then:
   1. Finish red-black trees
   2. EditorTrees M1 discussion
      Unit test points and commits
   3. HW6 discussion
   4. Test Tuesday, 7 pm
      I have all programming assignment solutions printed in my office if you want to check and discuss.
   5. Look at HW7
   6. Questions on test or HW6?
Hashing

Efficiently putting 5 pounds of data in a 20 pound bag
Implementation choices:
- **TreeSet** (and **TreeMap**) uses a balanced tree: $$O(\log n)$$
  - Uses a red–black tree
- **HashSet** (and **HashMap**) uses a hash table: amortized $$O(1)$$ time

Related: maps allow insertion, retrieval, and deletion of items by **key**:
Since keys are unique, they form a set.
The values just go along for the ride.
We’ll focus on sets.
Big ideas of hash tables

1. The underlying storage? Growable array

2. Calculate the index to store an item from the item itself. How? Hashcode. Fast but un-ordered.

3. What if that location is already occupied with another item? Collision. Two methods to resolve
A hash table gives fast set operations

- Insertion and lookup in amortized $O(1)$ time!
- Need two things:
  - A good “hash function”
  - A large enough storage array

- Doesn’t keep items ordered
  - So NOT for sorted data
  - So finding the maximum element is very slow.
Direct Address Tables

- Array of size $m$
- $n$ elements with unique keys
- If $n \leq m$, then use the key as an array index.
  - Clearly $O(1)$ lookup of keys

Issues?
- Keys must be unique.
- Often the range of potential keys is much larger than the storage we want for an array
  - Example: RHIT student IDs vs. # Rose students

Diagram from John Morris, University of Western Australia
We attempt to create unique keys by applying a .hashCode() function ...

**key → hashCode() → integer**

Objects that are .equals() **MUST** have the same hashCode values
A good hashCode() also is **fast** to calculate and **distributes** the keys, like:

hashCode("ate") = 48594983
hashCode("ape") = -76849201 (can be negative if overflows)
hashCode("awe") = 14893202
...and then take it mod the table size \((m)\) to get an index into the array.

- **Example:** if \(m = 100\):

  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>String</th>
<th>Hash Code</th>
<th>(\text{mod})</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“ate”</td>
<td>48594983</td>
<td>(\text{mod}) 83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ape”</td>
<td>-76849201</td>
<td>(\text{mod}) 46</td>
<td>46*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“awe”</td>
<td>1489036</td>
<td>(\text{mod}) 36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: since the hash code is an integer, it might be negative, and negative numbers have negative remainders.

**Trick:** If it is negative, add `Integer.MAX_VALUE` to make it positive before you mod.
Index calculated from the object itself, not from a comparison with other objects

How Java’s `hashCode()` is used:

- Unless this position is already occupied

  “ate” → `hashCode()` → 48594983 → `mod` → 83

  a “collision”
Some `hashCode()` implementations

- Default if you inherit `Object`’s: memory location

- Many JDK classes override `hashCode()`
  - Integer: the value itself
  - Double: XOR first 32 bits with last 32 bits
  - String: we’ll see shortly!
  - Date, URL, ...

- Custom classes should override `hashCode()`
  - Use a combination of `final` fields.
  - If key is based on mutable field, then the hashcode will change and you will lose it!
  - People usually use strings if possible.
A simple hash function for Strings is a function of every character

```java
// This could be in the String class
public static int hash(String s) {
    int total = 0;
    for (int i=0; i<s.length(); i++)
        total = total + s.charAt(i);
    return total;
}
```

- Advantages?
- Disadvantages?
A better hash function for Strings uses place value

// This could be in the String class
public static int hash(String s) {
    int total = 0;
    for (int i=0; i<s.length(); i++)
        total = total*256 + s.charAt(i);
    return total;
}

- Spreads out the values more, and anagrams not an issue.
- What about overflow during computation?
  - What happens to first characters?
A better hash function for Strings uses place value with a base that’s prime

// This could be in the String class
public static int hash(String s) {
    int total = 0;
    for (int i=0; i<s.length(); i++)
        total = total*31 + s.charAt(i);
    return total;
}

- Spread out, anagrams OK, overflow OK.
- This is String’s hashCode() method.
- The \( x = 31x + y \) pattern is a good one to follow.
A good hashcode distributes keys evenly, but collisions will still happen

hashCode() are ints $\rightarrow$ only $\sim$4 billion unique values.
  ◦ How many 16 character ASCII strings are possible?

If $n$ is small, tables should be much smaller
  ◦ mod will cause collisions too!

Solutions:
  ◦ Chaining
  ◦ Probing (Linear, Quadratic)
Java’s HashMap uses chaining and a table size that is a power of 2.

Examples: .get(“at”), .get(“him”), (hashcode=18), .add(“him”), .delete(“with”)
m array slots, n items.
Load factor, $\lambda = n/m$.

Runtime $= O(\lambda)$

Space–time trade–off
1. If $m$ constant, then this is $O(n)$. Why?

2. If keep $m \sim 0.5n$ (by doubling), then this is amortized $O(1)$. Why?
Alternative: Store collisions in other array slots.

- No need to grow in second direction

- No memory required for pointers
  - Historically, this was important!
  - Still is for some data...

- Will still need to keep load factor ($\lambda=n/m$) low or else collisions degrade performance
  - We’ll grow the array again
Collision Resolution: Linear Probing

- Probe H (see if it causes a collision)
- Collision? Also probe the next available space:
  - Try H, H+1, H+2, H+3, ...
  - Wraparound at the end of the array
- Example on board: .add() and .get()

Problem: Clustering

Animation:
Figure 20.4
Linear probing hash table after each insertion

Good example of clustering and wraparound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

hash (89, 10) = 9
hash (18, 10) = 8
hash (49, 10) = 9
hash (58, 10) = 8
hash (9, 10) = 9
Linear probing efficiency also depends on load factor, $\lambda = n/m$

- For probing to work, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

- For a given $\lambda$, what is the expected number of probes before an empty location is found?
Rough Analysis of Linear Probing

- Assume all locations are equally likely to be occupied, and equally likely to be the next one we look at.
- Then the probability that a given cell is full is $\lambda$ and probability that a given cell is empty is $1-\lambda$.
- What’s the expected number?

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1}(1 - \lambda)p = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}$$
Better Analysis of Linear Probing

- **Clustering!**
  - Blocks of occupied cells are formed
  - Any collision in a block makes the block bigger

- **Two sources of collisions:**
  - Identical hash values
  - Hash values that hit a cluster

- **Actual average number of probes for large \( \lambda \):**

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda)^2} \right)
\]

Why consider linear probing?

- Easy to implement
- Works well when load factor is low
  - In practice, once \( \lambda > 0.5 \), we usually double the size of the array and rehash
  - This is more efficient than letting the load factor get high
Reminder: Linear probing:
- Collision at H? Try H, H+1, H+2, H+3, ...

New: **Quadratic** probing:
- Collision at H? Try H, H+1², H+2², H+3², ...
- Eliminates primary clustering. “Secondary clustering” isn’t as problematic
Quadratic Probing works best with low $\lambda$ and prime $m$

- Choose a prime number for the array size, $m$
- Then if $\lambda \leq 0.5$:
  - Guaranteed insertion
    - If there is a “hole”, we’ll find it
  - So no cell is probed twice

- Can show with $m=17$, $H=6$.

For a proof, see Theorem 20.4:
Suppose that we repeat a probe before trying more than half the slots in the table
See that this leads to a contradiction
Contradicts fact that the table size is prime
Quadratic Probing runs quickly if we implement it correctly

- **Use an algebraic trick to calculate next index**
  - Difference between successive probes yields:
    - Probe i location, \( H_i = (H_{i-1} + 2i - 1) \mod M \)

1. Just use bit shift to multiply i by 2
   - \( \text{probeLoc} = \text{probeLoc} + (i << 1) - 1; \)
     ...faster than multiplication

2. Since i is at most \( M/2 \), can just check:
   - if (\( \text{probeLoc} \geq M \))
     \( \text{probeLoc} -= M; \)
     ...faster than mod
No one has been able to analyze it!

Experimental data shows that it works well
  ◦ Provided that the array size is prime, and $\lambda < 0.5$

If you are interested, you can do the optional HashSet exercise.
  ◦ [http://www.rose-hulman.edu/class/csse/csse230/201430/InClassExercises/](http://www.rose-hulman.edu/class/csse/csse230/201430/InClassExercises/)

This week’s homework takes a couple questions from there.
No one has been able to analyze it!

Experimental data shows that it works well

- Provided that the array size is prime, and $\lambda < 0.5$
**Summary:**
Hash tables are fast for some operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Insert</th>
<th>Find value</th>
<th>Find max value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsorted array</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorted array</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanced BST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hash table</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Finish the quiz.
- Then check your answers with the next slide.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>insert</th>
<th>Find value</th>
<th>Find max value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsorted array</td>
<td>Amortized $\Theta(1)$</td>
<td>$\Theta(n)$</td>
<td>$\Theta(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorted array</td>
<td>$\Theta(n)$</td>
<td>$\Theta(\log n)$</td>
<td>$\Theta(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanced BST</td>
<td>$\Theta(\log n)$</td>
<td>$\Theta(\log n)$</td>
<td>$\Theta(\log n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hash table</td>
<td>Amortized $\Theta(1)$</td>
<td>$\Theta(1)$</td>
<td>$\Theta(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why use 31 and not 256 as a base in the String hash function?

Consider chaining, linear probing, and quadratic probing.
- What is the purpose of all of these?
- For which can the load factor go over 1?
- For which should the table size be prime to avoid probing the same cell twice?
- For which is the table size a power of 2?
- For which is clustering a major problem?
- For which must we grow the array and rehash every element when the load factor is high?
In practice

- Constants matter!

- 727MB data, ~190M elements
  - Many inserts, followed by many finds
  - Microsoft's C++ STL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>build (seconds)</th>
<th>Size (MB)</th>
<th>100k finds (seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hash map</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6,150</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree map</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorted array</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Why?
  - Sorted arrays are nice if they don’t have to be updated frequently!
  - Trees still nice when interleaved insert/find