## DTTF/NB479: Dszquphsboqiz <br> Day 26

## Announcements:

1. HW6 due now
2. HW7 posted

|  | Chapter | Topic | People |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-Apr | --- | Bitcoin | kampernj | mcdonamp | oliverr | shinnsm |
| 18-Apr |  | Elliptic cur | richarnj | strullsd | wallersb | yochmake |
| 18-Apr | $10 ?$ | Protocols | abdelroh | hopkinaj | mercermt | michaeaj |
| 19-Apr | 15 | Info Theory | kraevam | reynolza | taos | trammin |
| 19-Apr |  | Dig Cash | chenaurj | dingx | graetzer | riechelp |
| 19-Apr | 19 | Quantum | earlesja | gartzkds | kessledi | priceha |
| Late | 14/18 | OKnow, EC | cooperra | zhangr1 |  |  |

3. Will pick pres dates Friday

- Questions?
- This week:
- Discrete Logs, Diffie-Hellman, ElGamal
- Hash Functions, SHA1, Birthday attacks

Name: $\qquad$

## ElGamal

Bob publishes ( $\alpha, p, \beta$ ), where $1<m<p$ and $\beta=\alpha^{a}$
Alice chooses secret $k$, computes and sends to Bob the pair $(r, t)$ where
$r=\alpha^{k}(\bmod p)$
$\mathrm{t}=\beta^{\mathrm{k}} m(\bmod \mathrm{p})$
Bob finds: $t r^{-a}=m(\bmod p)$

## Notes:

1. Show that Bob's decryption works Plug in values for $t$, $r$, and $\beta$.
2. Eve would like to know $k$. Show that knowing $k$ allows decrpytion. Why?

$$
m=\beta^{-k t}
$$

3. Why can't Eve compute $k$ from $r$ or $t$ ?

Need to calculate a discrete log to do so, which is hard when $p$ is large
4. Challenge: Alice should randomize $k$ each time. If not, and Eve gets hold of a plaintext / ciphertext $\left(m_{1}, r_{1}, t_{1}\right)$, she can decrypt other ciphertexts $\left(m_{2}, r_{2}, t_{2}\right)$. Show how.

Use $m_{1}, t_{1}$ to solve for $\beta^{k}$. Then use $\beta^{-k}$ and $t_{2}$ to find $m_{2}$
5. If Eve says she found $m$ from $(r, t)$, can we verify that she really found it, using only the public key (and not $k$ or a)? Explain.

Not easily (see next slide)

## Known plaintext attack

Bob publishes $(\alpha, p, \beta)$, where $1<m$ $<p$ and $\beta=\alpha^{\mathrm{a}}$
Alice chooses secret $k$, computes and sends to Bob the pair $(r, t)$ where

- $r=\alpha^{k}(\bmod p)$
- $t=\beta^{k} m(\bmod p)$

Bob finds: $t r^{-a}=m(\bmod p)$

- Why does this work?
- If Eve got hold of a plaintext/ciphertext $\left(m_{1}, r_{1}, t_{1}\right)$, she can decrypt other ciphertexts $\left(m_{2}, r_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ :

Answer:

- $r=\alpha^{k}(\bmod p), t_{1}=\beta^{k} m_{1}(\bmod p), t_{2}=\beta^{k} m_{2}$ (mod p)
- So

$$
\frac{t_{1}}{m_{1}} \equiv \beta^{k} \equiv \frac{t_{2}}{m_{2}}(\bmod p)
$$

- You can solve for $m_{2}$, since everything else in the proportion is known.

Alice should randomize $k$ each time.

## Tying everything together

Bob publishes ( $\alpha, p, \beta$ ), where $1<m<p$ and $\beta=\alpha^{a}$
Alice chooses secret $k$, computes and sends to Bob the pair $(r, t)$ where

- $r=\alpha^{k}(\bmod p)$
- $t=\beta^{*} m(\bmod p)$

Bob finds: tr-a $=m(\bmod p)$

- Why does this work?
- If Eve says she found $m$ from $(r, t)$, can we verify that she really found it, using just $m, r, t$ and the public key?


## Not easily!

Decision D-H $\leftrightarrow$ Validity of (mod p) ElGamal ciphertexts.
Computational D-H $\leftrightarrow$ Decrypting (mod p) ElGamal ciphertexts.

## Cryptographic hash functions shrink messages into a digest

Message m Message digest, y
Cryptographic hash (Shorter fixed length)
Function, h
Shrinks data, so 2 messages can have the same digest: $m_{1}!=m_{2}$, but $h\left(m_{1}\right)=h\left(m_{2}\right)$
Goal: to provide a unique "fingerprint" of the message.

## Cryptographic hash functions must satisfy three properties to be useful and secure

| Message m <br> (long) | Cryptographic hash <br> Function, $h$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Shrinks data, so 2 messages can <br> have the same digest: $m_{1}!=m_{2}$, but <br> $h\left(m_{1}\right)=h\left(m_{2}\right)$ |  |
|  |  |

1. Fast to compute $y$ from $m$.
2. One-way: given $y=h(m)$, can't find any $m$ ' satisfying $h\left(m^{\prime}\right)=y$ easily.
3. Strongly collision-free: Can't find any pair $m_{1} \neq m_{2}$ such that $h\left(m_{1}\right)=h\left(m_{2}\right)$ easily
4. (Sometimes we can settle for weakly collision-free: given $m$, can't find $m^{\prime} \neq m$ with $h(m)=h\left(m^{\prime}\right)$.

Hash functions can be used for digital signatures and error detection

3 properties:

1. Fast to compute
2. One-way: given $\mathrm{y}=$ $h(m)$, can't find any $m^{\prime}$ satisfying $h\left(m^{\prime}\right)=$ y easily.
Strongly collisionfree: Can't find $m_{1} \neq$ $m_{2}$ such that $h\left(m_{1}\right)=h\left(m_{2}\right)$

Why do we care about these properties?

Use \#1: Digital signatures

- If Alice signs $h(m)$, what if Bob could find $m^{\prime} \neq m$, such that $h(m)=$ $h\left(m^{\prime}\right) ?$
- He could claim Alice signed $m$ '!
- Consider two contracts...

Use \#2: Error detection - simple example:
Alice sends $(m, h(m)$ ), Bob receives $(M, H)$. Bob checks if $H=h(M)$. If not, there's an error.

## Hash function examples

3 properties:

1. Fast to compute

2 One-way: given $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{m})$, can't find any m' satisfying $h\left(m^{\prime}\right)=y$ easily.
3. Strongly collision-free:

Can't find $m_{1} \neq m_{2}$ such that $h\left(m_{1}\right)=h\left(m_{2}\right)$

## Examples:

1. $\quad h(m)=m(\bmod n)$
2. $h(m)=\alpha^{m}(\bmod p)$ for large prime $p$, which doesn't divide $\alpha$
3. Discrete log hash

Given large prime $p$, such that $q=(p-1) / 2$ is also prime, and primitive roots $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for $p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(m) \equiv \alpha^{m_{0}} \beta^{m_{1}}(\bmod p) \\
& \text { where } m=m_{0}+m_{1} q
\end{aligned}
$$

- EHA (next)
- SHA-1 (tomorrow)
- MD4, MD5 (weaker than SHA; won't discuss)

For first 2 examples, please check properties 2-3.

## Easy Hash Algorithm (EHA) isn't very secure!

- Break $m$ into $n$-bit blocks, append zeros to get a multiple of $n$.
- There are $L$ of them, where $L=|\mathrm{m}| / \mathrm{n}$
- Fastl But not very secure.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
m=\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{1} \\
m_{2} \\
\cdots \\
m_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
m_{11} & m_{12} & \cdots & m_{11} \\
m_{21} & m_{22} & \cdots & m_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
m_{11} & m_{12} & \cdots & m_{1 n}
\end{array}\right] \\
\\
\oplus
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\oplus \\
\hline
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Does performing a left shift on the rows first help?
- Define $m \downarrow \downharpoonleft y$ as leftshifting $m$ by $y$ bits
- Then $m_{i}^{\prime}=m_{i} \downarrow(i-1)$
$\left[\begin{array}{cccc}m_{11} & m_{12} & \cdots & m_{1 n} \\ m_{22} & m_{23} & \cdots & m_{21} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{l l} & m_{l, l+1} & \cdots & m_{l, l-1}\end{array}\right]$


## Easy Hash Algorithm (EHA) isn't very secure!

## 3 properties:

1. Fast to compute
2. One-way: given $y=$ $h(m)$, can't find any $m^{\prime}$ satisfying $h\left(m^{\prime}\right)=$ y easily.
Strongly collision-
free: Can't find $m_{1} \neq$ $m_{2}$ such that $h\left(m_{1}\right)=h\left(m_{2}\right)$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
m= & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{1} \\
m_{2} \\
\cdots \\
m_{l}
\end{array}\right]=}
\end{array} \begin{array}{cccc}
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
m_{11} & m_{12} & \cdots & m_{1 n} \\
m_{21} & m_{22} & \cdots & m_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
m_{l 1} & m_{l 2} & \cdots & m_{\ln }
\end{array}\right]} \\
\oplus & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus \\
\Downarrow & \Downarrow & \Downarrow & \Downarrow
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{~m}) \text { }
$$

## Exercise:

1. Show that the basic (unrotated) version doesn't satisfy properties 2 and 3.
2. What about the version that uses rotations?
