

## Instructor/Course Intro Tomorrow

- ...along with roll call and other "first day" stuff
- Today: A look at DFSMs to give you the flavor for some major course ingredients
- Turn in your reading quiz. Another one due tomorrow at start of class.
- Feel free to ask questions/make comments at any point. Don't wait until I stop and ask


## DFSM* Overview/Review

 - MA/CSSE 474 vs. MA375- Same concept
- Some different perspectives

We'll provide more context, formalisms, and "why's" later.

- Several different notations
DFSM: a formal mathematical model of computation
- A DFSM can remember only a fixed amount of info
- That info is represented by the DFSM's current state
- Its state changes in response to input symbols
- A transition function describes how the state changes
DFSM stands for Deterministic Finite State Machine, a.k.a. Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA)


## "Physical" DFSM Model

Input is finite, head moves right after reading each input symbol


Finite Control

## Scoring Tennis

- One person serves throughout a game
- To win, you must score at least 4 points
- You also must win by at least 2 points
- Inputs are:
s means "server wins a point" and o means "opponent wins a point"
- State names are pairs of scores (the names the scores are called in tennis:
love, $15,30,40, \ldots$ )



## Notation: Alphabet, String, Language

- Alphabet $\Sigma$ : finite set of symbols. Examples:
- ASCII, Unicode, signals, $\{0,1\},\{a, b, c\},\{s, o\}$
- String over an alphabet $\Sigma$ : a finite sequence of symbols. Examples: 011, abc, sooso, $\varepsilon$
- Note: 0 as string, 0 as symbol look the same
- Context determines the type
$-\varepsilon$ is the empty string (some authors use $\lambda$ )
- $\Sigma^{*}$ : the set of all strings over the alphabet $\Sigma$
- How large is $\Sigma^{*}$ ?
- A language over $\Sigma$ is any subset of $\Sigma^{*}$


## Convention: Strings and Symbols

- ... u, v, w, x, y, z will usually represent strings
- a, b, c,... will usually represent single input symbols
- When we write w=ua, we mean that $-\mathbf{a}$ is the last symbol of string w , and that
$-\mathbf{u}$ is the substring (a.k.a. a prefix of $\mathbf{w}$ ) consisting of everything in w that comes before that a


## More on the Transition Function

. $\delta$ takes two arguments: a state and an input symbol

- $\delta(q, a)=$ the state that the DFSM goes to next after it is in state $q$ and the tape head has read input $a$.
- Note: there is always a next state wherever there is no explicitly shown transition, we assume a transition to a dead state.

Example on next slide


## Example: strings without any 11 substrings

- $\left\{w \in\{0,1\}^{*}: w\right.$ does not have two consecutive 1's\}
- Can you draw the state diagram?

- This example and the following slides were inspired by Jeffrey Ullman; significantly modified by CWA.


## Extending the $\delta$ function

- If we consider (as in Python) a character to be a string of length 1 , we can extend $\delta$ to $\delta: K \times \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow K$ as follows
$-\delta(q, \varepsilon)=q$ for every state $q$
- If $u$ is a string and $a$ is a single symbol, $\delta(q, u a)=\delta(\delta(q, u), a)$
- Consider $\delta(q 0,010)$ for this DFSM:



## The Language of a DFSM

- If $M$ is an automaton (any variety of automaton), L(M) means
"the language accepted by M."
- If $\mathrm{M}=(\mathrm{K}, \Sigma, \delta, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{A})$ is a DFSM, then

$$
L(M)=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*}: \delta(s, w) \in A\right\}
$$

i.e., the set of all input strings that take the machine from its start state to an accepting state.


## Proving Set Equivalence

- Often, we need to prove that two sets $S$ and $T$ are in fact the same set. What is the general approach?
- Here, S is "the language accepted by this DFSM," and T is "the set of strings of 0's and 1 's with no consecutive pair of 1 's."



## Details of proof approach

- In general, to prove $S=T$, we need to prove: $S \subseteq T$ and $T \subseteq S$. That is:
A. If a string $w$ is in $S$, then $w$ is in $T$.
B. If $w$ is in T , then w is in S .
C. Those are usually two separate proofs.
- Here, $S=$ the language of our DFSM, and $T=$ "the set of all strings with no consecutive pair of 1 's."



## Part A: $S \subseteq T$

- To prove: if w is accepted by $M$, then w does not have consecutive 1's.
- Proof is by induction on $|w|$, the length of $w$.
- Important trick: Expand the inductive hypothesis to be more general than the statement you are trying to prove.



## Prove $\mathrm{S} \subseteq \mathrm{T}$ by induction on $|\mathrm{w}|$ :

 More general statement that we will prove: Both of the following statements are true:1. If $\delta(q 0, w)=q 0$, then $w$ does not end in 1 and $w$ has no pair of consecutive 1 's.
2. If $\delta(q 0, w)=q 1, w$ ends in 1 and $w$ has no pair of consecutive 1's. that (1) and

- Base case: $|w|=0$; i.e., $w=\varepsilon$.
(2) imply $\mathrm{S} \subseteq \mathrm{T}$ ?
- (1) holds since $\epsilon$ has no 1's at all.
- (2) holds vacuously, since $\delta(q 0, \epsilon)$ is not q1.

Important logic rule:
If the "if" part of any "if..then" statement is false, the whole statement is true.

## Part B: T $\subseteq$ S

Now, we must prove: if w has no 11 's, then $W$ is accepted by $M$

Contrapositive : If w is not accepted by M then $w$ has 11 as a substring. Key idea: contrapositive of "if $X$ then $Y$ " is the equivalent statement "if not $Y$ then not $X$."

## Using the Contrapositive

- Contrapositive : If w is not accepted by M then $w$ has 11 as a substring.
Base case is again vacuously true.
- Because there is a unique transition from every state on every input symbol, each w gets the DFSM to exactly one state.
- The only way w can not be accepted is if it takes the DFSM M to q2. How can this happen?



## Using the Contrapositive - (2)

Looking at the DFSM, there are two
possibilities: (recall that w=ua)

1. $\delta(q 0, u)=q 1$ and $a$ is 1 . We proved
earlier that if $\delta(q 0, u)=q 1$, then $u$ ends in 1 . Thus w ends in 11.
2. $\delta(q 0, u)=q 2$. In this case, the IH says that $u$ contains 11 as a substring. So does w=ua.


## Your 474 HW induction proofs

- Can be slightly less detailed
- Many of the details here were about how the induction process works in general, rather than about the proof itself.
- You can assume that the reader knows the proof techniques.
- Must always make it clear what the IH is, and where you apply it.
- When in doubt about whether to include a detail, include it!
- Well-constructed proofs often contain more words than symbols.


## This Proof as a 474 HW Problem

- An example of how I would write up this proof if it was a 474 HW problem will be linked from the schedule page this afternoon.
- You do not need to copy its style exactly in your proofs, but it gives an idea of the kinds of things to include or not include.
- Also, I will post another version of the slides that includes the parts that I wrote on the board today.

