

Announcements (more may be added on-line after this is printed):

1. **Regular expressions.** A way of describing languages in a "by example" way. Each regular expression over alphabet Σ defines language over Σ . We will prove (tomorrow?) that every language so-defined is regular.
 - a. The alphabet of "regular expressions over Σ " is $\Sigma \cup \{\emptyset, \epsilon, \cdot, \cup, *, +, \}$.
 - b. If r is a reg. exp. (RE), then $L(r)$, *the language denoted by r* , is defined recursively:

RE r	Language $L(r)$ defined by r
\emptyset	\emptyset
ϵ	$\{\epsilon\}$
a (a a symbol from Σ)	$\{a\}$
$\alpha\beta$ (α and β are REs)	$L(\alpha)L(\beta)$ (concatenation)
$\alpha\cup\beta$	$L(\alpha) \cup L(\beta)$
α^*	$L(\alpha)^*$
α^+	$L(\alpha)^+$
(α)	$L(\alpha)$

- c. $^+$ and ϵ are very convenient REs, but can be defined in terms of the other ones (syntactic sugar).
2. **Precedence of operators:** (1) $*$ and $^+$, (2) concatenation, (3) union. Use parentheses as needed to override.
3. **Examples:**
 - a. $L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : |w| \text{ is even}\}$
 - b. $L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* : w \text{ is a binary representation of a positive multiple of 4}\}$
 - c. $L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : w \text{ contains an odd number of } a\text{'s}\}$
 - d. $L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : \text{there is no more than one } b \text{ in } w\}$
 - e. $L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : \text{no two consecutive letters in } w \text{ are the same}\}$
 - f. $a^* \cup b^* \neq (a \cup b)^*$
 - g. $(ab)^* \neq a^*b^*$
 - h. $L((aa^*) \cup \epsilon) =$
 - i. $L((a \cup \epsilon)^*) =$
 - j. $L_1 = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : \text{every } a \text{ is immediately followed a } b\}$
 - k. $L_2 = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : \text{every } a \text{ has a matching } b \text{ somewhere}\}$

4. **Kleene's Theorem:** Finite state machines and regular expressions define the same class of languages.
 - a. How we will show it:
 - i. If $L = L(r)$ for some RE r , then $L=L(M)$ for some NDFSM M . [fairly easy]
 - ii. If $L=L(M)$ for some DFSM M , then $L = L(r)$ for some RE r . [a bit more complicated]

5. For Every Regular Expression there is a Corresponding NDFSM. Show it by construction.

- a. \emptyset :
- b. ϵ
- c. A single element c of Σ :
- d. Union

e. Concatenation

f. Kleene Star

6. For Every DFSM, there is an equivalent regular expression (different algorithm than the textbook's):

- a. Number the states q_1, \dots, q_n .
- b. Define R_{ijk} to be the set of all strings $x \in \Sigma^*$ such that $(q_i, x) \xrightarrow{-M^*} (q_j, \epsilon)$, and if $(q_i, y) \xrightarrow{-M^*} (q_\ell, \epsilon)$, for any prefix y of x (except $y = \epsilon$ and $y = x$), then $\ell \leq k$
- c. That is, R_{ijk} is the set of all strings that take us from q_i to q_j without passing through any intermediate states numbered higher than k .
 - i. In this case, "passing through" means both entering and leaving.
 - ii. Note that either i or j (or both) may be greater than k .

