



# CSSE 304 Days 27 - 29

## Receivers

Escape procedures

Intro to `call/cc`

`call/cc` examples



Receivers

Escape procedures

`call/cc` involves both receivers and escape procedures, so we look at both of those first.

**WARM-UP FOR CALL/CC**



What we'll do today and next time is loosely based the book *Scheme and the Art of Programming* by George Springer and Daniel Friedman.

# Review of Continuations



Consider the evaluation of the expression:

```
(let ([x (+ y 2)])  
  (if (< x 4) 5 (- x 6)))
```

What is the continuation of

(+ y 2) ?

6 ?


(- x 6) ?

(< x 4)



## Receivers

- A **receiver** is an argument (which also happens to also be a procedure) passed to a **procedure**, with the intention that the **procedure** will eventually pass values to that receiver.
- **Example:** The continuations that we pass to CPS procedures (with Scheme procedure continuations) are receivers.
- Sometimes receivers are called "callbacks"



## Old Receiver Example: call-with-values

- `> (call-with-values  
 (lambda () (values 3 4))  
 list)`  
`(3 4)`
- `list` is a receiver  
(we previously called it the *consumer*)



# new receiver example

From TSPL: The following shows the use of `call-with-output-file` to write a list of objects (the value of `list-to-be-printed`), separated by newlines, to the file named by "myfile.ss."

The receiver expects to receive an output port as its argument

```
(call-with-output-file "myfile.ss"
  (lambda (p) ; this is the "receiver"
    (let f ([ls list-to-be-printed])
      (if (not (null? ls))
          (begin
            (write (car ls) p)
            (newline p)
            (f (cdr ls)))))))

(define call-with-output-file
  (lambda (filename proc)
    (let ((p (open-output-file filename)))
      (let ((v (proc p)))
        (close-output-port p)
        v))))
```



## An escape procedure

- Pretend that we have a procedure `escape-+` that adds its arguments and returns this sum as the final answer, no matter what the context.

`(* (escape-+ 5 6) 3)` →

`(escape-+ (escape-+ 2 4) 5)` →






## An escape procedure

- Pretend that we have a procedure `escape-+` that adds its arguments and returns this sum as the final answer, no matter what the context.

`(* (escape-+ 5 6) 3)` → 11

`(escape-+ (escape-+ 2 4) 5)` → 6



## Escaper (a mostly fictitious procedure)

- More generally, suppose that we have a procedure `escaper` that takes a procedure as an argument and returns an equivalent escape procedure.
- `(escaper +)` creates a procedure that is equivalent to `escape-+`
- `(+ 3 ((escaper +) 4 5))` →
- `(+ ((escaper (lambda (x)  
                  (- (* x 3) 7)))  
      5)  
      4)` →



## Escaper (a mostly fictitious procedure)

- More generally, suppose that we have a procedure `escaper` that takes a procedure as an argument and returns an equivalent escape procedure.
- `(escaper +)` creates a procedure that is equivalent to `escape-+`
- `(+ 3 ((escaper +) 4 5))` → 9
- `(+ ((escaper (lambda (x)  
                  (- (* x 3) 7)))  
      5)  
      4)` → 8



# You can experiment with **escaper**

- You can define **escaper** by loading **escaper.ss** in the following way:

**escaper.ss** is linked from the schedule page

```
sliderule 1:12pm > petite escaper.ss
```

```
Petite Chez Scheme Version 6.7
```

```
Copyright (c) 1985-2001 Cadence Research Systems
```

```
> ((call/cc receiver-4))
```

```
"escaper is defined"
```

```
> (cdr ((escaper cdr) '(4 5 6)))
```

```
(5 6)
```



# Escape Procedures

- Let  $p$  be a procedure. If an application of  $p$  abandons the current continuation and does something else instead, we call  $p$  an *escape procedure*.
- An example of a Scheme escape procedure that we have already used:
- Is *escaper* an escape procedure?



## "call-with" procedures

- **(call-with-values producer consumer)**
  - The receiver is the **consumer**.
  - It receives the **values** returned by a call to the `producer`.
- **(call-with-input-file filename proc)**
  - The receiver is **proc**.
  - It receives the **input port** obtained by opening the input file whose name is `filename`.
- **(call-with-current-continuation receiver)**
  - The `receiver` receives the **current continuation**.



## dining out example

from Springer and Friedman, Part 5 intro

```
(define dine-out
  (lambda (restaurant)
    (enter restaurant)
    (read-menu)
    (let ([food-I-ordered
          (order-some-food)])
      (eat food-I-ordered)
      (pay-for food-I-ordered restaurant)
      (exit restaurant))))
```

Read excerpt from the book



# CALL/CC DEFINITION AND EXAMPLES





## call/cc

- **call/cc** is an abbreviation for call-with-current-continuation .
- **call/cc** is a procedure that takes one argument; the argument is a *receiver*.
- this **receiver** is a procedure that takes one argument; that argument (in this case) is a *continuation*.
- A **continuation** is a procedure (that takes one argument); that continuation embodies the context of the application of **call/cc**. The continuation is an escape procedure.
- The application (**call/cc receiver**) has the same effect as (**receiver continuation**), where the **continuation** is
  - an escape procedure that embodies the execution context of the entire **call/cc** expression.



## call/cc definition summary

- (call/cc receiver) → (receiver continuation),
- Hence the name:  
call-with-current-continuation.
- **Rephrasing it:** What is that continuation?

If `c` is a procedure that represents the execution context of this application of `call/cc`, then the continuation is equivalent to `(escaper c)`.



# call/cc example

`(call/cc receiver) → (receiver continuation)`

• Consider

`(+ 3 (call/cc (lambda (k) (* 2 (k 5))))))`

– The receiver is

– The context is

– The continuation is

– Thus `(+ 3 (call/cc (lambda (k) (* 2 (k 5)))))` is equivalent to



# call/cc example

`(call/cc receiver) → (receiver continuation)`

Consider

`(+ 3 (call/cc (lambda (k) (* 2 (k 5)))))`

- The receiver is  $k_1$   
the procedure that is created when we evaluate  $(\lambda(k) (* 2 (k 5)))$
- The context is  $c_1$   
the procedure  $(\lambda(v) (+ 3 v))$
- The continuation is  $k_1$  (escape  $c_1$ )

- Thus `(+ 3 (call/cc (lambda (k) (* 2 (k 5))))` is equivalent to

$\Rightarrow (+ 3 (r_1 k_1))$  def. of call/cc  
 $\Rightarrow (+ 3 (* 2 (k_1 5)))$  def. of procedure application  
 $\Rightarrow (k_1 5)$   $k_1$  is an escape procedure.  
 $\Rightarrow ((\lambda(v) (+ 3 v)) 5) \Rightarrow 8$

# More call/cc examples

`(call/cc receiver) → (receiver continuation)`


```
(+ 3 (call/cc (lambda (k) (* 2 (k 5))))))
```

a) `(+ 3 (call/cc (lambda (k) (* 2 5))))`

b) `(+ 3 (call/cc (lambda (k) (k (* 2 5))))))`

# More call/cc examples

`(call/cc receiver) → (receiver continuation)`



```
c) (define xxx #f)
    (+ 5 (call/cc (lambda (k)
                    (set! xxx k)
                    2))) ; xxx is equivalent to?
    (* 7 (xxx 4)))
```

# More call/cc examples

`(call/cc receiver) → (receiver continuation)`

```
c) (define xxx #f)
    (+ 5 (call/cc (lambda (k)
                   (set! xxx k)
                   2))) ; xxx is equivalent to?
    (* 7 (xxx 4))
```

**take the photograph**  
**save the photograph**  
**rub the photograph**

# A simple call/cc example



(call/cc receiver) → (receiver continuation)

d)(call/cc procedure?)



# List-index

- Standard approach:

```
(define (list-index item L)
  (cond
    [(null? L) -1]
    [(eq? (car L) item) 0]
    [else (+ 1 (list-index item
                        (cdr L)))]))
```

What is the problem with this?

One solution: accumulator approach

But "standard recursion" seems so much more natural!

Can we use call/cc to escape with the -1 answer?






```
e) (define list-index
    (lambda (sym L)
      (call/cc
        (lambda (answer)
          (let loop ([L L])
            (cond [(null? L) (answer -1)]
                  [(eqv? sym (car L)) 0]
                  [else (+ 1
                          (loop (cdr L)))]))))))
> (list-index 'a '(b a c))
1
> (list-index 'a '(b d c))
-1
```

```
f) ((car (call/cc list)) (list cdr 1 2 3))
```



```
e) (define list-index
    (lambda (sym L)
      (call/cc
        (lambda (answer)
          (let loop ([L L])
            (cond [(null? L) (answer -1)]
                  [(eqv? sym (car L)) 0]
                  [else (+ 1
                           (loop (cdr L)))]))))))
> (list-index 'a '(b a c))
1
> (list-index 'a '(b d c))
-1
```



`(call/cc receiver) → (receiver continuation)`

**f)** `((car (call/cc list))  
          (list cdr 1 2 3))`



## Interlude: quotes

- Premature optimization is the root of all evil in programming. - *C.A.R. Hoare*  
Do you know what he is famous for?
- There is no code so big, twisted, or complex that maintenance can't make it worse. - *Gerald Weinberg*
- Computer Science is the only discipline in which we view adding a new wing to a building as being maintenance. – *Jim Horning*

# All this from that short code?

(call/cc receiver) → (receiver continuation)

```
g) (let ([f 0] [i 0])
      (call/cc (lambda (k) (set! f k)))
      (printf "~a~n" i)
      (set! i (+ i 1))
      (if (< i 10) (f "ignore")))
```



# Strange indeed!

```
h) (define strange1
      (lambda (x)
        (display 1)
        (call/cc x)
        (display 2)))

(strange1
 (call/cc
  (lambda (k) k)))
```



## “mondo bizarro” example

i)

```
(define strange2
  (lambda (x)
    (display 1)
    (call/cc x)
    (display 2)
    (call/cc x)
    (display 3)))
```

We probably will not do this one in class; good practice for you.

```
(strange2 (call/cc (lambda (k) k)))
```