

## MA/CSSE 474 Day 38 Summary

### 1) Review from recent sessions:

a) Language  $L_1$  (over alphabet  $\Sigma_1$ ) is **mapping reducible** to language  $L_2$  (over alphabet  $\Sigma_2$ ) and we write  $L_1 \leq L_2$  if there is a Turing-computable function  $f : \Sigma_1^* \rightarrow \Sigma_2^*$  such that  $\forall x \in \Sigma_1^*, x \in L_1$  if and only if  $f(x) \in L_2$

b) **Using reduction:** If  $P_1$  is reducible to  $P_2$ ,

i) If  $P_2$  is decidable, so is  $P_1$ .

ii) If  $P_1$  is not decidable, neither is  $P_2$ .

c) **A framework for using reduction to show undecidability.**

To show language  $L_2$  undecidable:

i) Choose a language  $L_1$  that is already known not to be in D, and show that  $L_1$  can be reduced to  $L_2$ .

(1) Define the reduction  $R$  and show that it can be implemented by a TM.

ii) Describe the composition  $C$  of  $R$  with *Oracle* (the purported TM that decides  $L_1$ ).

(1) Show that  $C$  correctly decides  $L_1$  iff *Oracle* exists. We do this by showing that  $C$  is correct. I.e., if  $x \in L_1$ , then  $C(x)$  accepts, and if  $x \notin L_1$ , then  $C(x)$  rejects.

Another way to say it: **mapping reduction**  $R$  from language  $L_1$  to language  $L_2$  is one or more Turing machines such that if there exists a Turing machine *Oracle* that decides (or semidecides)  $L_2$ , then the TMs in  $R$  can be composed with *Oracle* to build a deciding (or semideciding) TM for  $L_1$ .

2)  $H_{\text{ANY}} = \{ \langle M \rangle : \text{there exists at least one string on which TM } M \text{ halts} \}$  is not in D

i) Two different reductions from H: Details on slides. A place for your notes:

3) Undecidable problems and languages (there is a table of problems and languages in the previous day's class notes.

4)  $H_{\text{ALL}} = \{ \langle M \rangle : \text{TM } M \text{ halts on all inputs} \}$  is not in D. Details on slides. A place for your notes:

5)  $A = \{ \langle M, w \rangle : w \in L(M) \}$  is not in D. Details on slides. A place for your notes:

6)  $\text{EqTMs} = \{ \langle M_a, M_b \rangle : L(M_a) = L(M_b) \}$  is not in D. Details on slides. A place for your notes:

a) "Reduction" from  $A_{\text{ANY}}$

b) Reduction from  $A_{\text{ALL}}$

7) Practice: Show that these languages are not in D.

**Note:** Each can be shown to be undecidable using a reduction from H.

a)  $A_{\text{ANY}} = \{ \langle M \rangle : \text{TM } M \text{ accepts at least one string} \}$

b)  $A_{\text{ALL}} = \{ \langle M \rangle : L(M) = \Sigma^* \}$

c)  $REJ = \{ \langle M, w \rangle : \text{Turing machine } M \text{ rejects string } w \}$