MA/CSSE 474 Day 35 Summary

- 1) An example of a program for which it is difficult to tell whether it always halts.
- 2) The language H = {<*M*, *w*> : TM *M* halts on input string *w*} Is H decidable?
 - a) Of course we can decide halting for specific simple TMs. Or can we? (Collatz conjecture, 1937, still no proof).
 - b) It's easy to see that H is semidecidable.
 - M'_H(<M, w>) =
 - 1. Run *M* on *w*.
 - 2. Accept.
 - i) M'_{H} accepts $\langle M, w \rangle$ iff M halts on input w.
 - ii) So M'_{H} semidecides H
 - iii) Can there be a TM that decides H?
- 3) The language $H = \{ < M, w > : TM M \text{ halts on input string } w \}$ is not decidable.
 - a) Proof

- b) This proof can be viewed as a diagonalization argument
- 4) If H were in D, then SD would equal D

5) Every CF language is in D.

- 6) D is closed under complement
- 7) SD is *not* closed under complement.

8) A language L is in D iff both L and its complement are in SD.

- 9) The language
 - $\neg H = \{ < M, w > : TM M \text{ does not halt on input} \\ string w \}$ is not in SD.
- 10) A language is **Turing-enumerable** iff there is a Turing machine that enumerates it.
 - a) Another term that is often used is recursively enumerable.
- 11) A language is SD iff it is Turing-enumerable.
- 12) A language is in D iff it is lexicographically Turing-enumerable.

