


# MA/CSSE 474

Theory of Computation

Math Review  
More on Languages



## Questions?

- Syllabus
- Yesterday's discussion
- Reading Assignment

I often put more in the slides and quizzes than I think we'll get through before the end of class...  
... just in case things go faster than I expect.  
... as a preview of things to come.

## Leftovers from Day 1

### The big question:

Given a language description, which strings are in the language?

## Example Language Definitions

$L = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* : \text{all } a\text{'s precede all } b\text{'s}\}$   
 $\epsilon, a, aa, aabbb,$  and  $bb$  are in  $L$ .  
 $aba, ba,$  and  $abc$  are not in  $L$ .

$L = \{x : \exists y \in \{a, b\}^* : x = ya\}$   
 Simple English description?

$L = \{a^n : n \geq 0\}$   
 This definition uses replication

$L = \emptyset = \{\}$

$L = \{\epsilon\}$

Note that the last two  
are different  
languages

## The Perils of English descriptions

$L = \{x\#y: x, y \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}^*\}$  and, when  $x$  and  $y$  are viewed as the decimal representations of natural numbers,  $square(x) = y$ .

In L:            3#9    12#144

Not in L:        3#8    12        12#12#12

In L?            #

## Natural Languages are Tricky

$L = \{w: w \text{ is a sentence in English}\}$ .

Examples:

Kerry hit the ball.

Colorless green ideas sleep furiously.

The window needs fixed.

Ball the Stacy hit blue.

## A Halting Problem Language

$L = \{w: w \text{ is a C program that always halts, no matter what input it is given}\}.$

- Well-specified.
- But can we decide which strings L contains?

## Languages and Prefixes

What are the following languages:

$L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^*: \text{no prefix of } w \text{ contains } b\}$

$L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^*: \text{no prefix of } w \text{ starts with } a\}$

$L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^*: \text{every prefix of } w \text{ starts with } a\}$

Q1



# Sets and Relations



## Defining a (possibly infinite) Set

- Write a program that **enumerates** the elements of  $S$ .
- Write a program that **decides**  $S$  by implementing the **characteristic function** of  $S$ . Such a program returns *True* if run on an element that is in  $S$  and *False* if run on an element that is not in  $S$ .

Q2

## Cardinality

The cardinality of every set we will consider is:

- a natural number (if  $S$  is finite),
- “countably infinite” (if  $S$  has the same number of elements as there are integers), or
- “uncountably infinite” (if  $S$  has more elements than there are integers).

## Sets of Sets

- The **power set** of  $A$  is the set of all subsets of  $A$ .

Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then:

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}.$$

- $\Pi \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$  is a **partition** of a set  $A$  iff:
  - no element of  $\Pi$  is empty,
  - all pairs of elements of  $\Pi$  are disjoint, and
  - the union of all the elements of  $\Pi$  equals  $A$ .

Partitions of  $A$ :

$$\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\} \text{ or } \{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}\} \text{ or } \{\{1, 2, 3\}\}.$$

## Closure

- A set  $S$  is closed under binary operation  $op$  iff
$$\forall x, y \in S (x \text{ op } y \in S)$$
- $\mathbb{N}$  is closed under addition and multiplication but not subtraction or division.
- The set of finite sets is closed under union and intersection.

## Equivalence Relations

A relation  $R \subseteq A \times A$  is an **equivalence relation** iff it is:

- reflexive,
- symmetric, and
- transitive.

Examples:

- Equality
- Lives-at-Same-Address-As
- Same-Length-As

Q3, 4



## Operations on Languages



### Concatenation of Languages

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are languages over  $\Sigma$ :

$$L_1 L_2 = \{w \in \Sigma^* : \exists s \in L_1 (\exists t \in L_2 (w = st))\}$$

Examples:

$$L_1 = \{\text{cat, dog}\}$$

$$L_2 = \{\text{apple, pear}\}$$

$$L_1 L_2 = \{\text{catapple, catpear, dogapple, dogpear}\}$$

$$L_1 = a^*$$

$$L_2 = b^*$$

$$L_1 L_2 =$$



## Concatenation of Languages

$\{\epsilon\}$  is the identity for concatenation:

$$L\{\epsilon\} = \{\epsilon\}L = L$$

$\emptyset$  is a zero for concatenation:

$$L\emptyset = \emptyset L = \emptyset$$

$$L_1 = \{a^n : n \geq 0\}$$

$$L_2 = \{b^n : n \geq 0\}$$

$$L_1 L_2 = \{a^n b^m : n, m \geq 0\}$$

$$L_1 L_2 \neq \{a^n b^n : n \geq 0\}$$

Q5a

## Kleene Star

$$L^* = \{\epsilon\} \cup \{w \in \Sigma^* : \exists k \geq 1 (\exists w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k \in L (w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_k))\}$$

Example:

$$L = \{\text{dog, cat, fish}\}$$

$$L^* = \{\epsilon, \text{dog, cat, fish, dogdog, dogcat, fishcatfish, fishdogdogfishcat, ...}\}$$

Q5b

## The + Operator

$$L^+ = L L^*$$

$$L^+ = L^* - \{\epsilon\} \text{ iff } \epsilon \notin L$$

$L^+$  is the closure of  $L$  under concatenation.

## Concatenation and Reverse of Languages

**Theorem:**  $(L_1 L_2)^R = L_2^R L_1^R$ .

**Proof:**

$$\forall x (\forall y ((xy)^R = y^R x^R))$$

Theorem 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} (L_1 L_2)^R &= \{(xy)^R : x \in L_1 \text{ and } y \in L_2\} && \text{Definition of} \\ & && \text{concatenation of languages} \\ &= \{y^R x^R : x \in L_1 \text{ and } y \in L_2\} && \text{Lines 1 and 2} \\ &= L_2^R L_1^R && \text{Definition of} \\ & && \text{concatenation of languages} \end{aligned}$$

## Determining Language Membership

Computational approach:

- **Generator** (enumerator)  
When it is asked, it gives us the next element of the language.  
Any given element of the language will appear within a finite amount of time.
- **Recognizer**  
Given a string  $s$ , recognizer accepts  $s$  if it is in the language.  
If not, it either rejects  $s$  or keeps running forever.

## Enumeration

Enumeration:

- Arbitrary order
- More useful: **lexicographic order**
  - Shortest first
  - Within a length, dictionary order

The lexicographic enumeration of:

- $\{w \in \{a, b\}^* : |w| \text{ is even}\}$  :

## Review: How Large is a Language?

The smallest language over any  $\Sigma$  is  $\emptyset$ , with cardinality 0.

The largest is  $\Sigma^*$ . How big is it?

**Theorem:** If  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$  then  $\Sigma^*$  is countably infinite.

**Proof:** The elements of  $\Sigma^*$  can be lexicographically enumerated by the following procedure:

- Enumerate all strings of length 0, then length 1, then length 2, and so forth.
- Within the strings of a given length, enumerate them in dictionary order.

This enumeration is infinite since there is no longest string in  $\Sigma^*$ . Since there exists an infinite enumeration of  $\Sigma^*$ , it is countably infinite.

## How Many Languages Are There?

**Theorem:** If  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$  then the set of languages over  $\Sigma$  is uncountably infinite.

**Proof:** The set of languages defined on  $\Sigma$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$ .  $\Sigma^*$  is countably infinite. If  $S$  is a countably infinite set,  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  is uncountably infinite. So  $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  is uncountably infinite.

# Logic: Propositional and first-order

From Rich, Appendix A

Most of this material also appears in Grimaldi's Discrete Math book, Chapter 2

## Boolean (Propositional) Logic Wffs

A **wff** (well-formed formula) is any string that is formed according to the following rules:

1. A propositional symbol (variable or constant) is a wff.
2. If  $P$  is a wff, then  $\neg P$  is a wff.
3. If  $P$  and  $Q$  are wffs, then so are:

$P \vee Q$ ,  $P \wedge Q$ ,  $P \rightarrow Q$ ,  $P \leftrightarrow Q$ , and  $(P)$ .

$P$	$Q$	$\neg P$	$P \vee Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \rightarrow Q$	$P \leftrightarrow Q$
<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>
<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>
<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>

## When Wffs are True

- A wff is **valid** or is a **tautology** iff it is true for all assignments of truth values to the variables it contains.
- A wff is **satisfiable** iff it is true for at least one assignment of truth values to the variables it contains.
- A wff is **unsatisfiable** iff it is false for all assignments of truth values to the variables it contains.
- Two wffs  $P$  and  $Q$  are **equivalent**, written  $P \equiv Q$ , iff they have the same truth values for every assignment of truth values to the variables they contain.

$P \vee \neg P$  is a tautology:

$P$	$\neg P$	$P \vee \neg P$
<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>

Q6

## Entailment

A set  $S$  of wffs **logically implies** or **entails** a conclusion  $Q$  iff, whenever all of the wffs in  $S$  are true,  $Q$  is also true.

Example:

$\{A \wedge B \wedge C, D\}$  entails  $A \rightarrow D$

## Inference Rules

- An inference rule is **sound** iff, whenever it is applied to a set  $A$  of axioms, any conclusion that it produces is entailed by  $A$ .
- An entire proof is sound iff it consists of a sequence of inference steps each of which was constructed using a sound inference rule.
- A set of inference rules  $R$  is **complete** iff, given any set  $A$  of axioms, all statements that are entailed by  $A$  can be proved by applying the rules in  $R$ .

Q7

## Some Sound Inference Rules

- **Modus ponens:** From  $(P \rightarrow Q)$  and  $P$ , conclude  $Q$ .
- **Modus tollens:** From  $(P \rightarrow Q)$  and  $\neg Q$ , conclude  $\neg P$ .
- **Or introduction:** From  $P$ , conclude  $(P \vee Q)$ .
- **And introduction:** From  $P$  and  $Q$ , conclude  $(P \wedge Q)$ .
- **And elimination:** From  $(P \wedge Q)$ , conclude  $P$  or conclude  $Q$ .
- **Syllogism:** From  $(P \rightarrow Q)$  and  $(Q \rightarrow R)$ , conclude  $(P \rightarrow R)$ .

Q3

## Additional Sound Inference Rules

- **Quantifier exchange:**
  - From  $\neg\exists x (P)$ , conclude  $\forall x (\neg P)$ .
  - From  $\forall x (\neg P)$ , conclude  $\neg\exists x (P)$ .
  - From  $\neg\forall x (P)$ , conclude  $\exists x (\neg P)$ .
  - From  $\exists x (\neg P)$ , conclude  $\neg\forall x (P)$ .
- **Universal instantiation:** For any constant  $C$ , from  $\forall x (P(x))$ , conclude  $P(C)$ .
- **Existential generalization:** For any constant  $C$ , from  $P(C)$  conclude  $\exists x (P(x))$ .

## First-Order Logic

A **term** is a variable, constant, or function application.  
 A **well-formed formula (wff)** in first-order logic is an expression that can be formed by:

- If  $P$  is an  $n$ -ary predicate and each of the expressions  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is a term, then an expression of the form  $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is a wff. If any variable occurs in such a wff, then that variable occurs **free** in  $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ .
- If  $P$  is a wff, then  $\neg P$  is a wff.
- If  $P$  and  $Q$  are wffs, then so are  $P \vee Q$ ,  $P \wedge Q$ ,  $P \rightarrow Q$ , and  $P \leftrightarrow Q$ .
- If  $P$  is a wff, then  $(P)$  is a wff.
- If  $P$  is a wff, then  $\forall x (P)$  and  $\exists x (P)$  are wffs. Any free instance of  $x$  in  $P$  is **bound** by the quantifier and is then no longer free.

Q8



## Sentences

A wff with no free variables is called a **sentence** or a **statement**.

1.  $Bear(Smokey)$ .
2.  $\forall x (Bear(x) \rightarrow Animal(x))$ .
3.  $\forall x (Animal(x) \rightarrow Bear(x))$ .
4.  $\forall x (Animal(x) \rightarrow \exists y (Mother-of(y, x)))$ .
5.  $\forall x ((Animal(x) \wedge \neg Dead(x)) \rightarrow Alive(x))$ .

Which of these sentences are true in the everyday world?

A **ground instance** is a sentence that contains no variables, such as #1

Q9

## Interpretations and Models

- An **interpretation** for a sentence  $w$  is a pair  $(D, I)$ , where  $D$  is a universe of objects.  $I$  assigns meaning to the symbols of  $w$ : it assigns values, drawn from  $D$ , to the constants in  $w$  and it assigns functions and predicates (whose domains and ranges are subsets of  $D$ ) to the function and predicate symbols of  $w$ .
- A **model** of a sentence  $w$  is an interpretation that makes  $w$  true. For example, let  $w$  be the sentence:  

$$\forall x (\exists y (y < x))$$
- A sentence  $w$  is **valid** iff it is true in all interpretations.
- A sentence  $w$  is **satisfiable** iff there exists *some* interpretation in which  $w$  is true.
- A sentence  $w$  is **unsatisfiable** iff  $\neg w$  is valid.

Q10

## Examples

- $\forall x ((P(x) \wedge Q(\text{Smokey})) \rightarrow P(x)).$
- $\neg(\forall x (P(x) \vee \neg(P(x))).$
- $\forall x (P(x, x)).$

## A Simple Proof

Assume the following three axioms:

- [1]  $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x) \rightarrow R(x)).$
- [2]  $P(X_1).$
- [3]  $Q(X_1).$

We prove  $R(X_1)$  as follows:

- [4]  $P(X_1) \wedge Q(X_1) \rightarrow R(X_1).$  (Universal instantiation, [1].)
- [5]  $P(X_1) \wedge Q(X_1).$  (And introduction, [2], [3].)
- [6]  $R(X_1).$  (Modus ponens, [5], [4].)

Q11-12

## Definition of a Theory

- A first-order **theory** is a set of axioms and the set of all theorems that can be proved, using a set of sound and complete inference rules, from those axioms.
- A theory is logically **complete** iff, for every sentence  $P$  in the language of the theory, either  $P$  or  $\neg P$  is a theorem.
- A theory is **consistent** iff there is no sentence  $P$  such that both  $P$  and  $\neg P$  are theorems.
  - If there is such a sentence, then the theory contains a **contradiction** and is **inconsistent**.
- Let  $w$  be an interpretation of a theory. The theory is **sound** with respect to  $w$  if every theorem in the theory corresponds to a statement that is true in  $w$ .