

473 Levitin problems and hints HW 09

Problems 1 and 2 are not from the textbook.

1. (8) (Nim strategy) In class (Day 19 in the Fall, 2014 schedule) we stated that in n -pile Nim, a player is guaranteed to be able to win if and only if the Nim sum (as defined in class) is nonzero at the beginning of that player's turn.

We proved three lemmas (Slide 10) that can be used to prove this statement (see the ICQ solution from that day for details). Use one or more of these lemmas to construct a proof by induction (on the total number of chips in all of the piles?) that the above statement is correct for any nonnegative number of piles and any non-negative number of chips.

These are the lemmas:

- Let x_1, \dots, x_n be the sizes of the piles before a move, and y_1, \dots, y_n be the sizes of the piles after that move.
 - Let $s = x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n$, and $t = y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_n$.
 - **Lemma 1:** $t = s \oplus x_k \oplus y_k$, where the removed stones are from pile k .
 - **Lemma 2:** If $s = 0$, then $t \neq 0$.
 - **Lemma 3:** If $s \neq 0$, it is possible to make a move such that $t=0$.
2. (5) Using the algorithm from class (and from Section 4.5 [5.6] and referenced in the previous problem) consider the following situation:

| Pile # | Chips |
|--------|-------|
| 1 | 77 |
| 2 | 46 |
| 3 | 27 |
| 4 | 74 |

Which pile should the player take chips from and how many chips should be taken in order to guarantee a win? Show your work.

Problem 3: 4.4.8 [5.5.2]

2. Consider *ternary search*—the following algorithm for searching in a sorted array $A[0..n-1]$: if $n = 1$, simply compare the search key K with the single element of the array; otherwise, search recursively by comparing K with $A[\lfloor n/3 \rfloor]$, and if K is larger, compare it with $A[\lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor]$ to determine in which third of the array to continue the search.
- a. What design technique is this algorithm based on?
 - b. Set up a recurrence relation for the number of key comparisons in the worst case. (You may assume that $n = 3^k$.)
 - c. Solve the recurrence for $n = 3^k$.
 - d. Compare this algorithm's efficiency with that of binary search.

Author's Hints:

2. The algorithm is quite similar to binary search, of course. In the worst case, how many key comparisons does it make on each iteration and what fraction of the array remains to be processed?

Problem 4: 4.4.10[5.5.3]

3. a. Write a pseudocode for the divide-into-three algorithm for the fake-coin problem. (Make sure that your algorithm handles properly all values of n , not only those that are multiples of 3.)
- b. Set up a recurrence relation for the number of weighings in the divide-into-three algorithm for the fake-coin problem and solve it for $n = 3^k$.
- c. For large values of n , about how many times faster is this algorithm than the one based on dividing coins into two piles? (Your answer should not depend on n .)

Author's Hints:

3. While it is obvious how one needs to proceed if $n \bmod 3 = 0$ or $n \bmod 3 = 1$, it is somewhat less so if $n \bmod 3 = 2$.

Problem 5: 4.4.13 [5.5.7] Find $J(40)$ —the solution to the Josephus problem for $n = 40$

Author's Hints: The fastest way to the answer the question is to use the formula that exploits the binary representation of n , which is mentioned at the end of the section.

Problem 6: 4.4.15 [5.5.9] For the Josephus problem,

- a. compute $J(n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 15$. (**Instructor note:** We started this table in class)
- b. discern a pattern in the solutions for the first fifteen values of n and prove its general validity.

Author's hints:

15. a. Use forward substitutions (see Appendix B) into the recurrence equations given in the text.
- b. On observing the pattern in the first 15 values of n obtained in part (a), express it analytically. Then prove its validity by mathematical induction.

4.5.11a: This problem may be harder than first appears to be. You should provide an analysis in terms of m , n , and the (i, j) position of the moldy square. For some values of (m, n, i, j) , the first player can always win; for others the second player can always win. What is the winning strategy?

However, if you can't solve the general case, you may get some partial credit by solving the cases that you can solve, and writing about what you tried for other cases.

"Transform and conquer" is a good way to find a complete solution, so you may want to look ahead to Chapter 6 to give you some ideas of how "T & C" works.

In the past, several students said that this problem took them longer than any previous problem in the course.

Problem 7: 4.5.11a[5.6.10a]

10. ▷a. *Moldy chocolate* Two players take turns by breaking an m -by- n chocolate bar, which has one spoiled 1-by-1 square. Each break must be a single straight line cutting all the way across the bar along the boundaries between the squares. After each break, the player who broke the bar last eats the piece that does not contain the spoiled corner. The player left with the spoiled square loses the game. Is it better to go first or second in this game?

10. Play several rounds of the game on the graphed paper to become comfortable with the problem. Considering special cases of the spoiled square's location should help you to solve it.

Author's Hints:

Problems 8-10: 4.5.4-4.5.6 [5.6.4-4.6.6]

4. Derive the formula underlying interpolation search.
5. ▷ Give an example of the worst-case input for interpolation search and show that the algorithm is linear in the worst case.
6. a. Find the smallest value of n for which $\log_2 \log_2 n + 1$ is greater than 6.
b. Determine which, if any, of the following assertions are true:
 - i. $\log \log n \in o(\log n)$
 - ii. $\log \log n \in \Theta(\log n)$
 - iii. $\log \log n \in \Omega(\log n)$.

Author's Hints:

4. Write an equation of the straight line through the points $(l, A[l])$ and $(r, A[r])$ and find the x coordinate of the point on this line whose y coordinate is v .
5. Construct an array for which interpolation search decreases the remaining subarray by one element on each iteration.
6. a. Solve the inequality $\log_2 \log_2 n + 1 > 6$.
b. Compute $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}$. Note that to within a constant multiple, you can consider the logarithms to be natural, i.e., base e .

Instructor's notes:

- 4.5.5 Show that the worst case is $\Theta(N)$
- 4.5.6 For part b, use the "limit of the ratio" approach.