

# MA/CSSE 473

## Day 08

Randomized  
Primality Testing

Carmichael  
Numbers

Miller-Rabin test



## MA/CSSE 473 Day 09

- Student questions
- Primality Testing
- Implications of Fermat's Little Theorem
  - What we can show and what we can't
- Frequency of "non-Fermat" numbers
- Carmichael numbers
- Randomized Primality Testing.

**Why a certain math prof who sometimes teaches  
this course does not like the Levitin textbook...**



## Recap: Fermat's Little Theorem

- **Formulation 1:** If  $p$  is prime, then for every number  $a$  with  $1 \leq a < p$ ,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$
- **Formulation 2:** If  $p$  is prime, then for every number  $a$  with  $1 \leq a < p$ ,  $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$



## Easy Primality Test?

- Is  $N$  prime?
- Pick some  $a$  with  $1 < a < N$
- Is  $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ ?
- If so,  $N$  is prime; if not,  $N$  is composite
- Nice try, but...
  - Fermat's Little Theorem is not an "if and only if" condition.
  - It doesn't say what happens when  $N$  is not prime.
  - $N$  may not be prime, but we might just happen to pick an  $a$  for which  $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$
  - **Example:** 341 is not prime (it is  $11 \cdot 31$ ), but  $2^{340} \equiv 1 \pmod{341}$
- **Definition:** We say that a number  $a$  **passes the Fermat test** if  $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$
- We can hope that if  $N$  is composite, then many values of  $a$  will fail the test
- It turns out that this hope is well-founded
- If any integer that is relatively prime to  $N$  fails the test, then at least half of the numbers  $a$  such that  $1 \leq a < N$  also fail it.

"composite"  
means  
"not prime"



## How many "Fermat liars"?

- If  $N$  is composite, and we randomly pick an  $a$  such that  $1 \leq a < N$ , and  $\gcd(a, N) = 1$ , how likely is it that  $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ?
- If  $a^{N-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  for *some*  $a$  that is relatively prime to  $N$ , then this must also be true for at least half of the choices of  $a < N$ .
  - Let  $b$  be some number (if any exist) that passes the Fermat test, i.e.  $b^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ .
  - Then the number  $a \cdot b$  fails the test:
    - $(ab)^{N-1} \equiv a^{N-1}b^{N-1} \equiv a^{N-1}$ , which is not congruent to 1 mod  $N$ .
  - Diagram on whiteboard.
  - For a fixed  $a$ ,  $f: b \rightarrow ab$  is a one-to-one function on the set of  $b$ 's that pass the Fermat test,
  - so there are at least as many numbers that fail the Fermat test as pass it.



## Carmichael Numbers

- A Carmichael number is a composite number  $N$  such that
- $\forall a \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$  (if  $\gcd(a, N)=1$  then  $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ )  
i.e. every possible  $a$  passes the Fermat test.
  - The smallest Carmichael number is 561
  - We'll see later how to deal with those
  - How rare are they? Let  $C(X)$  = number of Carmichael numbers that are less than  $X$ .

$n$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
$C(10^n)$	1	7	16	43	105	255	646	1547	3605	8241	19279	44706	105212	246683	585355	1401644	3381806	8220777

- For now, we pretend that we live in a Carmichael-free world



## Where are we now?

- For a moment, we pretend that Carmichael numbers do not exist.
- If  $N$  is prime,  $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  for all  $0 < a < N$
- If  $N$  is not prime, then  $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  for at most half of the values of  $a < N$ .
- $\Pr(a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \text{ if } N \text{ is prime}) = 1$   
 $\Pr(a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \text{ if } N \text{ is composite}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$
- How to reduce the likelihood of error?



## The algorithm (modified)

- To test  $N$  for primality
  - Pick positive integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k < N$  at random
  - For each  $a_i$ , check for  $a_i^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ 
    - Use the Miller-Rabin approach, (next slides) so that Carmichael numbers are unlikely to thwart us.
    - If  $a_i^{N-1}$  is not congruent to  $1 \pmod{N}$ , or Miller-Rabin test produces a non-trivial square root of  $1 \pmod{N}$ 
      - return false
  - return true

**Does this work?**

Note that this algorithm may produce a “false prime”, but the probability is very low if  $k$  is large enough.



## Miller-Rabin test

- A **Carmichael number**  $N$  is a composite number that passes the Fermat test for all  $a$  with  $1 \leq a < N$  and  $\gcd(a, N) = 1$ .
- **A way around the problem (Rabin and Miller):**  
Note that for some  $t$  and  $u$  ( $u$  is odd),  $N-1 = 2^t u$ .
- As before, compute  $a^{N-1} \pmod{N}$ , but do it this way:
  - Calculate  $a^u \pmod{N}$ , then repeatedly square, to get the sequence  
 $a^u \pmod{N}, a^{2u} \pmod{N}, \dots, a^{2^t u} \pmod{N} \equiv a^{N-1} \pmod{N}$
- Suppose that at some point,  $a^{2^i u} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ , but  $a^{2^{i-1} u}$  is not congruent to 1 or to  $N-1 \pmod{N}$ .
  - then we have found a nontrivial square root of 1  $\pmod{N}$ .
  - We will show that if 1 has a nontrivial square root  $\pmod{N}$ , then  $N$  cannot be prime.



## Example (first Carmichael number)

- $N = 561$ . We might randomly select  $a = 101$ .
  - Then  $560 = 2^4 \cdot 35$ , so  $u=35, t=4$
  - $a^u \equiv 101^{35} \equiv 560 \pmod{561}$  which is  $-1 \pmod{561}$   
(we can stop here)
  - $a^{2u} \equiv 101^{70} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$
  - ...
  - $a^{16u} \equiv 101^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$
  - So 101 is not a witness that 561 is composite (we say that 101 is a *liar for 561*, if indeed 561 is composite)
- Try  $a = 83$ 
  - $a^u \equiv 83^{35} \equiv 230 \pmod{561}$
  - $a^{2u} \equiv 83^{70} \equiv 166 \pmod{561}$
  - $a^{4u} \equiv 83^{140} \equiv 67 \pmod{561}$
  - $a^{8u} \equiv 83^{280} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$
  - So 83 is a witness that 561 is composite, because 67 is a non-trivial square root of 1  $\pmod{561}$ .

