

MA/CSSE 473

Day 07

Extended Euclid's
Algorithm

Modular Division

Fermat's little
theorem intro



MA/CSSE 473 Day 07

- **Student Questions**
- Be sure to read today's announcements, especially the last item.
- Extended Euclid Algorithm, the "calculate forward, substitute backward" approach
- Modular Division
- Fermat's Little Theorem
- Intro to primality testing.



Recap: Euclid's Algorithm for gcd

```
def euclid(a, b):  
    """ INPUT: Two integers a and b with a >= b >= 0  
        OUTPUT: gcd(a, b) """  
    if b == 0:  
        return a  
    return euclid(b, a % b)
```

Another place to read about modular arithmetic, including exponentiation and inverse: Weiss Sections 7.4-7.4.4



recap: gcd and linear combinations

- Lemma: If \mathbf{d} divides both \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} , and $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{ax} + \mathbf{by}$ for some integers x and y , then $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{gcd(a,b)}$
- Proof – we did it yesterday



recap: Extended Euclid Algorithm

```
def euclidExtended(a, b):  
    """ INPUT: Two integers a and b with a >= b >= 0  
        OUTPUT: Integers x, y, d such that d = gcd(a, b)  
            and d = ax + by"""  
    print ("    ", a, b) # so we can see the process.  
    if b == 0:  
        return 1, 0, a  
    x, y, d = euclidExtended(b, a % b)  
    return y, x - a//b*y, d
```

- Proof that it works
 - I decided that it is a bit advanced for students who just saw Modular Arithmetic for the first time yesterday.
 - If you are interested, look up “extended Euclid proof”
 - We’ll do a convincing example.



Forward-backward Example: gcd (33, 14)

- $33 = 2 \cdot 14 + 5$
- $14 = 2 \cdot 5 + 4$
- $5 = 1 \cdot 4 + 1$
- $4 = 4 \cdot 1 + 0$, so $\text{gcd}(33, 14) = 1$.
- **Now work backwards**
- $1 = 5 - 4$. Substitute $4 = 14 - 2 \cdot 5$.
- $1 = 5 - (14 - 2 \cdot 5) = 3 \cdot 5 - 14$. Substitute $5 = 33 - 2 \cdot 14$
- $1 = 3(33 - 2 \cdot 14) - 14 = 3 \cdot 33 - 7 \cdot 14$
- Thus $x = 3$ and $y = -7$ Done!

A good place to stop and check!



Calculate Modular Inverse (if it exists)

- Assume that $\gcd(a, N) = 1$.
- The extended Euclid's algorithm gives us integers x and y such that $ax + Ny = 1$
- This implies $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, so x is the inverse of a
- **Example:** Find $14^{-1} \pmod{33}$
 - We saw before that $3 \cdot 33 - 7 \cdot 14 = 1$
 - $-7 \equiv 26 \pmod{33}$ **Check:** $14 \cdot 26 = 364 = 11 \cdot 33 + 1$.
 - So $14^{-1} \equiv 26 \pmod{33}$
- Recall that Euclid's algorithm is $\Theta(k^3)$, where k is the number of bits of N .



Modular division

- We can only divide b by a (modulo N) if N and a are relatively prime
- In that case $b/a = b \cdot a^{-1}$
- What is the running time for modular division?



Primality Testing

- The numbers 7, 17, 19, 71, and 79 are primes, but what about 717197179 (a typical social security number)?
- There are some tricks that might help. For example:
 - If n is even and not equal to 2, it's not prime
 - n is **divisible by 3** iff the sum of its decimal digits is divisible by 3,
 - n is **divisible by 5** iff it ends in 5 or 0
 - n is **divisible by 7** iff $\lfloor n/10 \rfloor - 2 * n \% 10$ is divisible by 7
 - n is **divisible by 11** iff (sum of n 's odd digits) – (sum of n 's even digits) is divisible by 11.
 - when checking for factors, we only need to consider prime numbers as candidates
 - When checking for factors, we only need to look for numbers up to \sqrt{n}



Primality testing

- But this approach is not very fast. Factoring is harder than primality testing.
- Is there a way to tell whether a number is prime without actually factoring the number?

Like a few other things that we have done so far in this course, this discussion follows Dasgupta, *et. al.*, *Algorithms* (McGraw-Hill 2008)



Fermat's Little Theorem (1640 AD)

- **Formulation 1:** If p is prime, then for every number a with $1 \leq a < p$, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$
- **Formulation 2:** If p is prime, then for every number a with $1 \leq a < p$, $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$
- These are clearly equivalent.
 - How do we get from each to the other?
- We will examine a combinatorial proof of the first formulation.



Fermat's Little Theorem: Proof (part 1)

- **Formulation 1:** If p is prime, then for every number a with $1 \leq a < p$, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$
- Let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$
- **Lemma**
 - For any nonzero integer a , multiplying all of the numbers in S by $a \pmod{p}$ permutes S
 - I.e. $\{a \cdot n \pmod{p} : n \in S\} = S$
- **Example:** $p=7, a=3$.
- **Proof of the lemma**
 - Suppose that $a \cdot i \equiv a \cdot j \pmod{p}$.
 - Since p is prime and $a \neq 0$, a has an inverse.
 - Multiplying both sides by a^{-1} yields $i \equiv j \pmod{p}$.
 - Thus, multiplying the elements of S by $a \pmod{p}$ takes each element to a different element of S .
 - Thus (by the pigeonhole principle), every number $1..p-1$ is $a \cdot i \pmod{p}$ for some i in S .

i	1	2	3	4	5	6
3i	3	6	2	5	1	4



Fermat's Little Theorem: Proof (part 2)

- **Formulation 1:** If p is prime, then for every number a with $1 \leq a < p$,
$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
- Let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$
- **Recap of the Lemma:**
Multiplying all of the numbers in S by $a \pmod{p}$ permutes S
- **Therefore:**
$$\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\} = \{a \cdot 1 \pmod{p}, a \cdot 2 \pmod{p}, \dots, a \cdot (p-1) \pmod{p}\}$$
- Take the product of all of the elements on each side.
$$(p-1)! \equiv a^{p-1}(p-1)! \pmod{p}$$
- Since p is prime, $(p-1)!$ is relatively prime to p , so we can divide both sides by it to get the desired result:
$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$



Recap: Fermat's Little Theorem

- **Formulation 1:** If p is prime, then for every number a with $1 \leq a < p$, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$
- **Formulation 2:** If p is prime, then for every number a with $1 \leq a < p$, $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$

Memorize this one. Know how to prove it.



Easy Primality Test?

- Is N prime?
- Pick some a with $1 < a < N$
- Is $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$?
- If so, N is prime; if not, N is composite
- Nice try, but...
 - Fermat's Little Theorem is not an "if and only if" condition.
 - It doesn't say what happens when N is not prime.
 - N may not be prime, but we might just happen to pick an a for which $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$
 - **Example:** 341 is not prime (it is $11 \cdot 31$), but $2^{340} \equiv 1 \pmod{341}$
- **Definition:** We say that a number a **passes the Fermat test** if $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$
- We can hope that if N is composite, then many values of a will fail the test
- It turns out that this hope is well-founded
- If any integer that is relatively prime to N fails the test, then at least half of the numbers a such that $1 \leq a < N$ also fail it.

"composite"
means
"not prime"



How many "false positives"?

- If N is composite, suppose we randomly pick an a such that $1 \leq a < N$. I
- $\gcd(a, N) = 1$, how likely is it that $a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$?
- If $a^{N-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ for *some* a that is relatively prime to N , then this must also be true for at least half of the choices of $a < N$.
 - Let b be some number (if any exist) that passes the Fermat test, i.e. $b^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$.
 - Then the number $a \cdot b$ fails the test:
 - $(ab)^{N-1} \equiv a^{N-1}b^{N-1} \equiv a^{N-1}$, which is not congruent to 1 mod N .
 - Diagram on whiteboard.
 - For a fixed a , $f: b \rightarrow ab$ is a one-to-one function on the set of b 's that pass the Fermat test,
 - so there are at least as many numbers that fail the Fermat test as pass it.
- Continued next session ...

