## **Problem Statement Grading Rubric**

## CSSE 333-Intro. to Database Systems

Criteria (weight)	5	3	1	Score
	Exemplary	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	(Weighted)
Format (x1)	Document follows the required FFET model.	Document largely fol- lows the FFET model, but omits some sec- tions.	Document does not follow the required FFET model.	
Clarity and Con- ciseness (x1)	Writing is clear and un- ambiguous. It is not un- necessarily wordy.	Writing is mostly clear and unambiguous. Occasional instances of unclear or awkward writing.	Writing is unclear, am- biguous or excessively wordy as to detract from the points being made.	
Spelling, Gram- mar, Punctuation (x1)	Document is free of er- rors in spelling, grammar and punctuation.	Document has a small number of errors in spelling, grammar, or punctuation.	Document has many errors in spelling, grammar, and punctua- tion.	
Professionalism (x2)	Document presents a professional tone. It could be shared with a "real-world" customer without changes.	Document largely pre- sents a professional tone. It could be shared with a "real- world" customer with minor revisions.	Document is unprofes- sional. The majority of the prose would have to be rewritten before sharing the document with a "real-world" cus- tomer.	
Thoroughness (x2)	Document has the re- quired number of pages. All main topics of the FFET model are dis- cussed in sufficient detail so that a knowledgeable reader could understand all of the important issues involved.	Document has the re- quired number of pages. All main topics in the FFET model are discussed, however a knowledgeable reader might need some addi- tional information to understand the impor- tant issues involved.	Document does not have the required number of pages. Not all of the main topics in the FFET model are discussed. Those that are discussed lack suf- ficient detail to allow a knowledgeable reader to understand the is- sues involved.	
Depth of Analy- sis (x3)	Document demonstrates that author(s) have con- sidered the key issues for the project and under- stand the scope of the challenges they are likely to face.	Document demon- strates that author(s) have considered most of the key issues for the project and under- stand many of the challenges they are likely to face.	Document demon- strates only a superfi- cial consideration of the key issues for the project. It is not clear that the authors under- stand the challenges that they are likely to face.	