

Add letrec to the interpreted language.

We only handle a special case of letrec, where all letrec variables are bound to procedures.

Concrete syntax: **(letrec ([var <lambda-exp>] ...) body body2 ...)**

Abstract syntax: a new variant for the expression datatype:

```
[letrec-exp
  (proc-names (list-of symbol?))
  (idss (list-of (list-of symbol?)))
  (bodiess (list-of (list-of expression?)))
  (letrec-bodies (list-of expression?))]
```

Notes on the even-odd example:

Today I include a lot of code from the slides so you can annotate it as we discuss it.

Letrec evaluation

- Closures are created and added to the letrec environment. Bodies of the letrec are evaluated in order.
- When one of the letrec closures is applied, new environment must extend the letrec environment
- If it were let instead of letrec, the new env when closure is applied would extend the enclosing environment instead

```
(define eval-exp
  (lambda (exp env)
    (cases expression exp
      . . .
      [letrec-exp
        (proc-names idss bodiess letrec-body)
        (eval-bodies letrec-bodies
          (extend-env-recursively
            proc-names idss bodiess env))])
```

So the question becomes: how do we implement extend-env-recursively?

0. Implement extend-env-recursively in terms of Scheme's letrec.

1. **No mutation:** A new kind of environment extension:
recursively-extended-env-record

2. **Mutation:** A normal extended environment, but it uses **vector-set!** to fix things up.

3. No-mutation details:

```
(define extend-env-recursively
  (lambda (proc-names idss bodies old-env)
    (recursively-extended-env-record
      proc-names idss bodies old-env)))
```

New case for apply-env

```
[recursively-extended-env-record
  (procnames idss bodies old-env)
  (let ([pos
    (list-find-position sym procnames)])
    (if (number? pos)
      (closure (list-ref idss pos)
        (list-ref bodies pos)
        env)
      (apply-env old-env sym))))))
```

```
(define-datatype environment environment?
  [empty-env-record]
  [extended-env-record
    (syms (list-of symbol?))
    (vals (list-of scheme-value?))
    (env environment?)]
  [recursively-extended-env-record
    (proc-names (list-of symbol?))
    (idss (list-of (list-of symbol?)))
    (bodiess (list-of (list-of expression?)))
    (env environment?)])
```

Next page: Mutation solutions: Modified ribcage approach, syntax-expand approach.

```

(define extend-env-recursively
  (lambda (proc-names idss bodiess old-env)
    (let ([len (length proc-names)])
      (let ([vec (make-vector len)])
        (let ([env (extended-env-record
                      proc-names vec old-env)])
          (for-each
            (lambda (pos ids bodies)
              (vector-set! vec
                           pos
                           (closure ids bodies env)))
              (iota len)
              idss
              bodiess)
            env))))))

```

Code to be syntax expanded:

```

(define odd?
  (letrec ([o? (lambda (n)
                  (if (zero? n)
                      #f
                      (e? (- n 1))))])
    [e? (lambda (m)
          (if (zero? m)
              #t
              (o? (- m 1))))])
    o?))

```

(If there is time) Add set! to the interpreted language.

Reference ADT: (deref ref) and (set-ref! ref val). If we have apply-env-ref, we get apply-env “almost for free”

The set! case of eval-exp is simple: [set!-exp (id exp)
 (set-ref! (apply-env-ref env id)
 (eval-exp exp env))]

One approach to implementing references: the *cell* ADT:

(cell value) creates a cell containing the value.
 (cell-ref cell) gives us the value in the cell.
 (cell-set! cell value) replaces the value in the cell.
 (cell? obj) asks if an object is a cell.

Use cells to implement the *reference* ADT. (i.e. to implement deref and set-ref!)

In the extend-env implementation, replace **vals** with (map cell vals)