Q1-3, 4a



CSSE 230 Day 3

Maximum Contiguous Subsequence Sum

After today's class you will be able to:

state and solve the MCSS problem on small arrays by observation find the exact runtimes of the naive MCSS algorithms

https://openclipart.org/image/2400px/svg_to_png/169467/bow_tie.png

Announcements

Homework 1 due tonight

 Lots of help available today if still working. Instructors, Lab TAs, CampusWire

WarmUpAndStretching due after next class
 Iterators? Read code comments, or Weiss Ch. 1-4.

Reading for Day 4: Why Math?

Agenda and goals

Finish up big-O, so you can

- explain the meaning of big-O, big-Omega (Ω), and big-Theta (Θ)
- apply the definition of big-O to asymptotically analyze functions, and running time of algorithms
- Analyze algorithms for a sample problem, Maximum Contiguous Subsequence Sum (MCSS), so you can
 - state and solve the MCSS problem on small arrays by observation
 - find the exact runtimes of the naive MCSS algorithms

Asymptotics: The "Big" Three

Big-O Big-Omega Big-Theta

Big-O, Big-Omega, Big-Theta O() Ω() Θ() f(n) is O(g(n)) if there exist c, n₀ such that:

- $f(n) \le cg(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$
- So big-Oh (O) gives an upper bound
- f(n) is $\Omega(g(n))$ if there exist c, n_0 such that: $f(n) \ge cg(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$
 - So big-omega (Ω) gives a lower bound
- f(n) is Θ(g(n)) if it is both O(g(n)) and Ω(g(n))
 Or equivalently:
- f(n) is $\Theta(g(n))$ if there exist c_1 , c_2 , n_0 such that: $c_1g(n) \le f(n) \le c_2g(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$
 - So big-theta (Θ) gives a tight bound

Big-Oh Style

Give tightest bound you can

- Saying 3n + 2 is O(n³) is true*, but not as precise as saying it's O(n)
- *When we ask for true/false, use the definitions.
- And when analyzing code, we'll just ask for Θ to be clear.
- Simplify:
 - You could also say: 3n + 2 is $O(5n 3\log(n) + 17)$
 - And it would be technically correct...
 - It would also be poor taste ... and your grade will reflect that.

Uses of O, Ω , Θ

By definition, applied to *functions*. " $f(n) = n^2/2 + n/2 - 1$ is $\Theta(n^2)$ "

- Can also be applied to an *algorithm*, referencing its running time: e.g., when f(n) describes the number of executions of the most-executed line of code.
 "selection sort is Θ(n²)"
- Finally, can be applied to a *problem*, referencing its complexity: the running time of the best algorithm that solves it.

"The sorting problem is O(n²)"

Q6

Efficiency in context

- There are times when one might choose a higher-order algorithm over a lower-order one.
- Brainstorm some ideas to share with the class
- C.A.R. Hoare, inventor of quicksort, wrote: *Premature optimization is the root of all evil.*

Thoughts on Teaming

Next week's programming assignment is with a partner

Two Key Rules

No prima donnas

- Working way ahead, finishing on your own, or changing the team's work without discussion:
 - harms the education of your teammates
- No laggards
 - Coasting by on your team's work:
 - harms your education
- Both extremes
 - are selfish
 - may result in a failing grade for you on the project

Grading of Team Projects

- We'll assign an overall grade to the project
- Grades of individuals will be adjusted up or down based on team members' assessments
- At the end of the project each of you will:
 - Rate each member of the team, including yourself
 - Write a short Performance Evaluation of each team member with evidence that backs up the rating
 - Positives
 - Key negatives

Ratings

- Excellent—Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do, very well prepared and cooperative, actively helped teammate to carry fair share of the load
- Very good—Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do, very well prepared and cooperative
- Satisfactory—Usually did what he/she was supposed to do, acceptably prepared and cooperative
- Ordinary—Often did what he/she was supposed to do, minimally prepared and cooperative
- Marginal—Sometimes failed to show up or complete tasks, rarely prepared
- **Deficient**—Often failed to show up or complete tasks, rarely prepared
- Unsatisfactory—Consistently failed to show up or complete tasks, unprepared
- Superficial—Practically no participation
- No show—No participation at all

Maximum Contiguous Subsequence Sum

A deceptively deep problem with a surprising solution.



A Nice Algorithm Analysis Example

- Problem: Given a sequence of numbers, find the maximum sum of a contiguous subsequence.
- Why study?
- Positives and negatives make it interesting. Consider:
 - What if all the numbers were positive?
 - What if they all were negative?
 - What if we left out "contiguous"?
- Analysis of obvious solution is neat
- We can make it more efficient later.

Formal Definition of MCSS

Problem definition: given a nonempty sequence of *n* (possibly negative) integers A₀, A₁, A₂, ..., A_{n-1}, find the maximum contiguous subsequence

$$S_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j} A_k$$

and the corresponding values of *i* and *j*.

Quiz questions:

- In $\{-2, 11, -4, 13, -5, 2\}, S_{1,3} = ?$
- In {1, -3, 4, -2, -1, 6}, what is MCSS?
- If every element is negative, what's the MCSS?

Write a simple correct algorithm Q11 now

- Must be easy to explain
- Correctness is KING. Efficiency doesn't matter yet.
- 3 minutes
- Examples to consider:
 {-3, 4, 2, 1, -8, -6, 4, 5, -2}
 {5, 6, -3, 2, 8, 4, -12, 7, 2}





Analysis of this Algorithm

- What statement is executed the most often?
- How many times?

}

```
for(int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
    for(int j = i; j < a.length; j++) {
        int thisSum = 0;
        for (int k = i; k <= j; k++) {
            thisSum += a[k];
        }
        // update max if thisSum is better
}</pre>
```

Where do we stand?

• We showed MCSS is O(n³).

 Showing that a problem is O(g(n)) is relatively easy – just analyze a known algorithm.

• Is MCSS $\Omega(n^3)$?

- Showing that a problem is Ω (g(n)) is much tougher. How do you prove that it is impossible to solve a problem more quickly than you already can?
- Or maybe we can find a faster algorithm?

f(n) is O(g(n)) if f(n) \leq cg(n) for all $n \geq n_0$ • So O gives an upper bound f(n) is $\Omega(g(n))$ if f(n) \geq cg(n) for all $n \geq n_0$ • So Ω gives a lower bound f(n) is $\theta(g(n))$ if $c_1g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$ • So θ gives a tight bound • f(n) is $\theta(g(n))$ if it is both O(g(n)) and $\Omega(g(n))$

What is the main source of the simple algorithm's inefficiency?

```
for(int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
    for(int j = i; j < a.length; j++) {
        int thisSum = 0;
        for (int k = i; k <= j; k++) {
            thisSum += a[k];
        }
        // update max if thisSum is better
    }
}</pre>
```

The performance is bad!

Eliminate the most obvious inefficiency...

```
for(int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
    int thisSum = 0;
    for(int j = i; j < a.length; j++) {
        thisSum += a[j];
        // update max if thisSum is better
    }
}</pre>
```

Remember the previous sum so we don't have to recompute it!



MCSS is O(n²)

• Is MCSS $\Omega(n^2)$?

 Showing that a problem is Ω (g(n)) is much tougher. How do you prove that it is impossible to solve a problem more quickly than you already can?

Can we find a yet faster algorithm?

f(n) is O(g(n)) if f(n) ≤ cg(n) for all n ≥ n₀ ^o So O gives an upper bound f(n) is Ω(g(n)) if f(n) ≥ cg(n) for all n ≥ n₀ ^o So Ω gives a lower bound f(n) is θ(g(n)) if c₁g(n) ≤ f(n) ≤ c₂g(n) for all n ≥ n₀

 $C_1(\Omega)$ is $\Theta(Q(\Omega))$ if $C_1Q(\Omega) \le I(\Omega) \le C_2Q(\Omega)$ for all C_2

So θ gives a tight bound

• f(n) is $\theta(g(n))$ if it is both O(g(n)) and $\Omega(g(n))$

Q14-15

Can we do even better?

Tune in next time for the exciting conclusion!