Q0, 1



CSSE 230 Day 4

Maximum Contiguous Subsequence Sum

After today's class you will be able to:

provide an example where an insightful algorithm can be much more efficient than a naive one.

Announcements

- Sit with your StacksAndQueues partner now
- Day 2 quizzes returned
- Why Math?



So why would we ever sort first to do binary search?

Q1

Recap: MCSS

Problem definition: Given a non-empty sequence of n (possibly negative) integers $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$, find the maximum consecutive subsequence $S_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j} A_k$, and the corresponding values of i and j.

Reminder: we use 0-based indexing.

Recap: Eliminate the most obvious inefficiency, get $\Theta(N^2)$

```
for( int i = 0; i < a.length; i++ ) {
    int thisSum = 0;
    for( int j = i; j < a.length; j++ ) {
        thisSum += a[ j ];

        if( thisSum > maxSum ) {
            maxSum = thisSum;
            seqStart = i;
            seqEnd   = j;
        }
    }
}
```

- Exhaustive search: find every $S_{i,j}$

MCSS is $O(n^2)$

- Is MCSS $\theta(n^2)$?
  - Showing that a problem is $\Omega(g(n))$ is much tougher. How do you prove that it is impossible to solve a problem more quickly than you already can?
  - Can we find a yet faster algorithm?
    - If so, it can't use exhaustive search. (Why?)

$f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if $f(n) \leq cg(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$
- So O gives an upper bound

$f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$ if $f(n) \geq cg(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$
- So Ω gives a lower bound

$f(n)$ is $\theta(g(n))$ if $c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$
- So θ gives a tight bound
- $f(n)$ is $\theta(g(n))$ if it is both $O(g(n))$ **and** $\Omega(g(n))$

Q2

Observations?

- Consider {-3, 4, 2, 1, -8, -6, 4, 5, -2}



- Any subsequences you can safely ignore?
  - Discuss with another student (2 minutes)

Q3

Observation 1

- We noted that a max-sum sequence $S_{i,j}$ cannot begin with a negative number.
- Generalizing this, it cannot begin with a prefix $A_{i,k}$ with $k<j$ whose sum is negative.
  - **Proof by contradiction.** Suppose that $S_{i,j}$ is a max-sum sequence and that $S_{i,k}$ is negative. In that case, a larger-sum contiguous sequence can be created by removing $S_{i,k}$. However, this violates our assumption that $S_{i,j}$ is a max-sum contiguous sequence.

Q4

Observation 2

- All contiguous subsequences that border the maximum contiguous subsequence must have negative or zero sums.
  - **Proof by contradiction.** Consider a contiguous subsequence that borders an MCSS sequence. Suppose it has a positive sum. We can then create a larger max-sum sequence by combining both sequences. This contradicts our assumption of having found a max-sum sequence.

Observation 3

- Imagine we are growing subsequences from a fixed left index *i*. That is, we compute the sums $S_{i,j}$ for increasing *j*.
- Claim: If there is such an $S_{i,j}$ that "just became negative" (for the first time, with the inclusion of the j^{th} term), any subsequence starting in between $i + 1$ and j cannot be a MaxCSS (unless its sum equals an already-found MaxCSS)!
- In other words, as soon as we find that $S_{i,j}$ is negative, we can skip all sums that begin with any of $A_{i+1}, \ldots, A_j$.
- We can "skip *i* ahead" to be $j + 1$.

Proof of Observation 3

- Proof by Contradiction. Suppose there is such a MaxCSS, namely $S_{p,q}$, where $i+1 \le p \le j$.



- Key point. What must be true of the following sums?

Case 1. $q > j$

Starts with a negative prefix. Violates Obs. 1!

Case 2. $q \le j$

Borders a subsequence with nonnegative sum. Violates Obs. 2, or there is a previous MaxCSS with the same sum.

New, improved code!

Q5, Q6

```
public static Result mcssLinear(int[] seq) {
    Result result = new Result();
    result.sum = 0;
    int thisSum = 0;

    int i = 0;
    for (int j = 0; j < seq.length; j++) {
        thisSum += seq[j];

        if (thisSum > result.sum) {
            result.sum = thisSum;
            result.startIndex = i;
            result.endIndex = j;
        } else if (thisSum < 0) {
            // advances start to where end
            // will be on NEXT iteration
            i = j + 1;
            thisSum = 0;
        }
    }
    return result;
}
```

$S_{i,j}$ is negative. So, skip ahead per Observation 3

Running time is O (?)
How do we know?

What have we shown?

- MCSS is O(n)!
- Is MCSS $\Omega(n)$ and thus $\theta(n)$?
  - Yes, intuitively: we must at least examine all n elements

Time Trials!

- From SVN, checkout **MCSSRaces**
- Study code in **`MCSS.main()`**
- For each algorithm, how large a sequence can you process on your machine in less than 1 second?

Q10-11

MCSS Conclusions

- The first algorithm we think of may be a lot worse than the best one for a problem
- Sometimes we need clever ideas to improve it
- Showing that the faster code is correct can require some serious thinking
- Programming is more about careful consideration than fast typing!

Interlude

- If GM had kept up with technology like the computer industry has, we would all be driving $25 cars that got 1000 miles to the gallon.
  – Bill Gates
- If the automobile had followed the same development cycle as the computer, a Rolls-Royce would today cost $100, get a million miles per gallon, and explode once a year, killing everyone inside.
  – Robert X. Cringely

Stacks and Queues

A preview of Abstract Data Types and Java Collections

This week's major program

Q7-9

Stacks and Queues assignment

Intro: Ideas for how to implement stacks and queues using arrays and linked lists

How to write your own growable circular queue:

1. Grow it as needed (like day 1exercise)
2. Wrap-around the array indices for more efficient dequeuing

Stacks and Queues implementation

Analyze implementation choices for Queues – much more interesting than stacks! (See HW)

Application: An exercise in writing cool algorithms that evaluate mathematical expressions:

Evaluate Postfix: 6 7 8 * +
(62. How?)
Convert Infix to Postfix: 6 + 7 * 8
(6 7 8 * + You'll figure out how)

Both using **stacks**.
Read assignment for hints on *how*.

Meet your partner

- Plan when you'll be working. We suggest that your first meeting should be today or tomorrow
- Review the pair programming video as needed
- Check out the code and read the specification together