Q1-3

# CSSE 230 Day 2 

Growable Arrays Continued Big-Oh and its cousins

Submit Growable Array exercise
Answer Q1-3 from today's in-class quiz.

## Agenda and goals

- Finish course intro
- Growable Array recap
- Big-Oh and cousins
- After today, you'll be able to
- Use the term amortized appropriately in analysis
- explain the meaning of big-Oh, big-Omega ( $\Omega$ ), and big-Theta ( $\theta$ )
- apply the definition of big-Oh to prove runtimes of functions


## Announcements and FAQ

- Job opportunity!
- Workstudy student to straighten up F217 and F225 labs each morning for $\sim 1$ hour/day. Let me or Darryl Mouck know if interested
- The game of Go: Al beating Lee Sodol, 2-0
- Compare with checkers, chess.
- https://deepmind.com/alpha-go.html
- Remind all do piazza post (3-4 students/section left)
- Late days?
- Test policy: Individual competence requirement

You must demonstrate programming competence on exams to succeed

- See syllabus for exam weighting and caveats.
- Think of every program you write as a practice test
- Especially HW4 and test 2a


## Warm Up and Stretching thoughts

- Short but intense! ~45 lines of code total in our solutions to all but Adder
- Be sure to read the description of how it will be graded. Note how style will be graded.
- Demo: Running the JUnit tests for test, file, package, and project

Demo: Run the Adder program

## Homework 1 help

Examples. How many times does sum++ run?
for $(i=4 ; i<n ; i++)$ sum++;
for $(i=1 ; i<=n ; i *=2)$ sum++;

## Questions?

- About Homework 1?
- Aim to complete tonight, since it is due after next class
- It is substantial
- About the Syllabus?


## Growable Arrays Exercise Daring to double

## Growable Arrays Table

| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{N}}$ | Answers for problem 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 7 | 5 | $5+6=11$ |
| 10 | 5 | $5+6+7+8+9=35$ |
| 11 | $5+10=15$ | $5+6+7+8+9+10=45$ |
| 20 | 15 | sum $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=5 . .19)=180 \quad$ using Maple |
| 21 | $5+10+20=35$ | $\operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=5 . .20)=200$ |
| 40 | 35 | $\operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=5 . .39)=770$ |
| 41 | $5+10+20+40=75$ | $\operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=5 . .40)=810$ |

## Doubling the Size

- Doubling each time:
- Assume that $\mathrm{N}=5\left(2^{\mathrm{k}}\right)+1$.
- Total \# of array elements copied:

| $k$ | N | \#copies |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 6 | 5 |
| 1 | 11 | $5+10=15$ |
| 2 | 21 | $5+10+20=35$ |
| 3 | 41 | $5+10+20+40=75$ |
| 4 | 81 | $5+10+20+40+80=155$ |
| $k$ | $=5\left(2^{k}\right)+1$ | $5\left(1+2+4+8+\ldots+2^{k}\right)$ |

Express as a closed-form expression in terms of K , then express in terms of N

## Adding One Each Time

- Total \# of array elements copied:

| $\mathbf{N}$ | \#copies |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 5 |
| 7 | $5+6$ |
| 8 | $5+6+7$ |
| 9 | $5+6+7+8$ |
| 10 | $5+6+7+8+9$ |
| $\mathbf{N}$ |  |

## Conclusions

- What's the average overhead cost of adding an additional string...
- in the doubling case?
- in the add-one case?

This is called the amortized
cost

- So which should we use?


## More math review

Q6

## Review these as needed

- Logarithms and Exponents
- properties of logarithms:
- properties of exponentials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log _{b}(x y)=\log _{b} x+\log _{b} y \\
& \log _{b}(x / y)=\log _{b} x-\log _{b} y \\
& \log _{b} x^{\alpha}=\alpha \log _{b} x \\
& \log _{b} x=\frac{\log _{a} x}{\log _{a} b}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}^{(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c})}=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{c}} \\
& \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{bc}}=\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}} \\
& \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{a}^{(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c})}} \\
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}^{\log _{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~b}} \\
& \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{c}=a^{c^{*} \log _{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~b}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Practice with exponentials and logs

 (Do these with a friend after class, not to turn in)Simplify: Note that $\log n$ (without a specified) base means $\log _{2} n$. Also, $\log n$ is an abbreviation for $\log (n)$.

1. $\log (2 \mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n})$
2. $\log (n / 2)$
3. $\log (\operatorname{sqrt}(n))$
4. $\log (\log (\mathbf{s q r t}(\mathbf{n})))$
5. $\log _{4} n$
6. $2^{2 \log n}$
7. if $n=2^{3 k}-1$, solve for $k$.

Where do logs come from in algorithm analysis?

## Solutions No peeking!

Simplify: Note that $\log n$ (without a specified) base means $\log _{2} n$. Also, $\log n$ is an abbreviation for $\log (n)$.

## 1. $1+\log n+\log \log n$

2. $\log n-1$
3. $1 / 2 \log n$
4. $-1+\log \log n$
5. $(\log n) / 2$
6. $n^{2}$
7. $n+1=2^{3 k}$

$$
\log (n+1)=3 k
$$

$$
k=\log (n+1) / 3
$$

A: Any time we cut things in half at each step (like binary search or mergesort)

## Running Times

- Algorithms may have different time complexity on different data sets
- What do we mean by "Worst Case"?
- What do we mean by "Average Case"?
- What are some application domains where knowing the Worst Case time complexity would be important?
- http://cacm.acm.org/magazines/2013/2/160173-the-tail-at-scale/fulltext


## Average Case and Worst Case



## Worst-case vs amortized cost for adding an element to an array using the doubling scheme

Worst-case:
$\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$



# Asymptotics: The "Big" <br> Three 

Big-Oh
Big-Omega
Big-Theta

## Asymptotic Analysis

- We only care what happens when N gets large
- Is the function linear? quadratic? exponential?

Figure 5.1
Running times for small inputs


Figure 5.2
Running times for moderate inputs


## Figure 5.3

Functions in order of increasing growth rate

|  |  | The answer to most big- <br> Function |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $c$ | Name | Constant |
| $\log N$ | Logarithmic questions is one of |  |

## Simple Rule for Big-Oh

- Drop lower order terms and constant factors
- $7 n-3$ is $O(n)$
- $8 n^{2} \operatorname{logn}+5 n^{2}+n$ is $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$


## Definition of Big-Oh

- Given functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, we say that $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n) \leq c g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$.
- Two constants: c $>0$ is a real number and $n_{0} \geq 0$ is an integer.
- $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are functions over non-negative integers.



## To prove Big Oh, find 2 constants

- A function $f(n)$ is (in) $O(g(n))$ if there exist two positive constants $c$ and $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n}) \leq \mathrm{c} \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n})$
- So all we must do to prove that $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ is produce two such constants.
- $f(n)=4 n+15, g(n)=? ? ?$.
- $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}+\sin (\mathrm{n}), \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n})=? ? ?$

Assume that all functions have non-negative values, and that we only care about $n \geq 0$. For any function $g(n), O(g(n))$ is a set of functions.

# Big-Oh, Big-Omega and Big-Theta O() 

- $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if $f(n) \leq c g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$
- So big-Oh (O) gives an upper bound
- $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$ if $f(n) \geq c g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$
- So big-omega ( $\Omega$ ) gives a lower bound
- $f(n)$ is $\theta(g(n))$ if it is both $O(g(n)$ and $\Omega(g(n))$


## Or equivalently:

- $f(n)$ is $\theta(g(n))$ if $c_{1} g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_{2} g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$ $\circ$ So big-theta ( $\theta$ ) gives a tight bound

We usually show algorithms (in code) are $\theta(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n})$ ). Next class, we'll also discuss how to show problems are $\theta(g(n))$.

- True or false: $3 n+2$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$
- True or false: $3 n+2$ is $\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$


## Big-Oh Style

- Give tightest bound you can
- Saying $3 n+2$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ is true, but not as useful as saying it's $\mathrm{O}(n)$
- On a test, we'll ask for $\Theta$ to be clear.
- Simplify:
- You could also say: $3 n+2$ is $\mathrm{O}(5 n-3 \log (n)+17)$
- And it would be technically correct...
- It would also be poor taste ... and your grade will reflect that.


## Efficiency in context

There are times when one might choose a higher-order algorithm over a lower-order one.

- Brainstorm some ideas to share with the class
C.A.R. Hoare, inventor of quicksort, wrote:

Premature optimization is the root of all evil.

