

After today's class you will be able to:
state and solve the MCSS problem on small arrays by observation
find the exact runtimes of the naive MCSS algorithms
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In your class, I never thought I'd actually use big O notation, but now I find myself using it in my complaints to coworkers about how a previous developer would sort a list before doing a binary search to find a single element $O($ nlogn $)+O(\operatorname{logn})$ instead of just doing a linear search $O(n)$. I feel really nerdy now (as if I didn't before (P))

Like - Comment

So why would we ever do binary search?

## Homework 1

- Is it true that $\log _{a}(n)$ is $\theta\left(\log _{b}(n)\right)$ ?
- Complete homework 1 to find out the exciting conclusion!
- Here is the graph for $a=2$ and $b=10$ :
- Is it true that $3^{n}$ is $\theta\left(2^{n}\right)$ ?

Q1

## Maximum Contiguous Subsequence Sum

A deceptively deep problem with a surprising solution.

$$
\{-3,4,2,1,-8,-6,4,5,-2\}
$$



## Why do we look at this problem?

- It's interesting
- Analyzing the obvious solution is instructive
- We can make the program more efficient


## A Nice Algorithm Analysis Example

- Problem: Given a sequence of numbers, find the maximum sum of a contiguous subsequence.
, Consider:
- What if all the numbers were positive?

- What if they all were negative?
- What if we left out "contiguous"?


# Formal Definition: Maximum 

Q2-4 Contiguous Subsequence Sum

Problem definition: Given a non-empty sequence of $n$ (possibly negative) integers $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$, find the maximum consecutive subsequence $S_{i, j}=\sum_{k=i}^{j} A_{k}$, and the corresponding values of $i$ and $j$.

Quiz questions:

- In $\{-2,11,-4,13,-5,2\}, S_{2,4}=$ ?
$\circ$ In $\{1,-3,4,-2,-1,6\}$, what is MCSS?
- If every element is negative, what's the MCSS?

> 1-based indexing. We'll use when analyzing b/c easier

Write a simple correct algorithm now

- Must be easy to explain
- Correctness is KING. Efficiency doesn't matter yet.
- 3 minutes
- Examples to consider:
- $\{-3,4,2,1,-8,-6,4,5,-2\}$
- $\{5,6,-3,2,8,4,-12,7,2\}$



## First Algorithm

## Find the sums of

 a/l subsequencespublic final class MaxSubTest \{ private static int seqStart $=0$; private static int seqEnd $=0$;
/* First maximum contiguous subsequence sum algorithm. * seqStart and seqEnd represent the actual best sequence. */
public static int maxSubSum1 (int [ ] a ) \{
i: beginning of
subsequence int maxSum $=0$; subsequence for (int $i=0 ; i<a . l e n g t h ; i++$ )
j: end of
subsequence
k: steps through each element of subsequence
of for (int ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}<$ a.length; $\mathrm{j}++$ ) \{ $\xrightarrow[\text { int thissum }]{ }=0$;

$$
\text { for (int } k=i ; k<=j ; k++ \text { ) }
$$

enissum += a[k];

## Where

 will this algorithm spend the most time?```
        seqStart = i;
        seqEnd = j;
            }
        }
        return maxSum;
}
```

    if( thisSum \(>\) maxSum ) \{
        maxSum \(=\) thissum;
    How many times (exactly, as a function of $\mathrm{N}=$ a.length) will that statement execute?

## Analysis of this Algorithm

- What statement is executed the most often?
, How many times?
//In the analysis we use " n " as a shorthand for "a .length "
for ( int $i=0 ; i<a . l e n g t h ; i++$ )
for (int $j=i ; j<a . l e n g t h ; ~ j++) ~\{$
int thisSum $=0$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for ( int } k=i ; k<=j ; k++ \text { ) } \\
& \text { thisSum }+=a[k] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

## Interlude

- Computer Science is no more about computers than astronomy is about $\qquad$ .


## Donald Knuth

## Interlude

- Computer Science is no more about computers than astronomy is about telescopes.

Donald Knuth

## Where do we stand?

- We showed MCSS is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$.
- Showing that a problem is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n})$ ) is relatively easy - just analyze a known algorithm.
- Is MCSS $\Omega\left(n^{3}\right)$ ?
- Showing that a problem is $\Omega(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n})$ ) is much tougher. How do you prove that it is impossible to solve a problem more quickly than you already can?
- Or maybe we can find

```
f(n) is O(g(n)) if f(n) \leqcg(n) for all n\geq n
    So O gives an upper bound
f(n) is \Omega(g(n)) if f(n)\geqcg(n) for all n \geq no
    So }\Omega\mathrm{ gives a lower bound
f(n) is 0(g(n)) if c
    So }0\mathrm{ gives a tight bound
    f(n) is 0(g(n)) if it is both O(g(n)) and \Omega(g(n))
```

What is the main source of the simple algorithm's inefficiency?
//In the analysis we use " $n$ " as a shorthand for "a.length " for ( int $i=0 ; i<a . l e n g t h ; i++$ )
for (int j $=1 ; j<a . l e n g t h ; j++$ ) \{ int thisSum $=0$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for (int } k=i ; k<=j ; k++) \\
& \quad \text { thisSum +=a[k]; }
\end{aligned}
$$

- The performance is bad!


## Eliminate the most obvious inefficiency...

for (int $i=0 ; i<a . l e n g t h ; i++\}$ ( int thisSmm $=0$;
for ( int $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{i} ; \mathbf{j}$ (a.length; j++ ) ( thisSum += a[j]:
if ( thissum $>$ maxSum ) \{ maxSum = thisSum;
seqStart $=1$; seqEnd $=\mathbf{j}$;
)
)

## MCSS is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$

- Is MCSS $\Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ ?
- Showing that a problem is $\Omega(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}))$ is much tougher. How do you prove that it is impossible to solve a problem more quickly than you already can?
- Can we find a yet faster algorithm?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(n) \text { is } O(g(n)) \text { if } f(n) \leq c g(n) \text { for all } n \geq n_{0} \\
& \text { So } O \text { gives an upper bound } \\
& f(n) \text { is } \Omega(g(n)) \text { if } f(n) \geq c g(n) \text { for all } n \geq n_{0} \\
& \text { So } \Omega \text { gives a lower bound } \\
& f(n) \text { is } \theta(g(n)) \text { if } c_{1} g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_{2} g(n) \text { for all } n \geq n_{0} \\
& \text { So } \theta \text { gives a tight bound } \\
& f(n) \text { is } \theta(g(n)) \text { if it is both } O(g(n)) \text { and } \Omega(g(n))
\end{aligned}
$$

## Can we do even better?

Tune in next time for the exciting conclusion!

Think about the 7,2 on the other side of the -12 : $\{5,6,-3,2,8,4,-12,7,2\}$

