

Term Project Milestone 1 Evaluation
--

Evaluation Criteria Categories	Specific Criteria	Comments	Score
Consistency with higher level specifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Given the semantics of the Assembly Language (AL) specification, the sample program can be implemented <input type="checkbox"/> Every instruction allowed by the assembly language (AL) specification has a unique machine language (ML) representation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Each instruction type includes enough fields to represent the information specified in the corresponding AL statements <input type="checkbox"/> Each field is allocated enough bits to represent all values allowed by the AL specification <input type="checkbox"/> For each instruction type, the total number of bits allocated to fields is not greater than the number of bits available <input type="checkbox"/> Sample program is translated into binary as described in ML specification 		(3)
Self-consistency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Sample program uses the syntax described in AL specification <input type="checkbox"/> Sample program uses the registers described in AL specification (number and type) <input type="checkbox"/> Sample program uses the representation given in the ML specification, including correct values for fields specifying branch and jump targets 		(3)
Demonstration of design principles 1. Simplicity favors regularity 2. Smaller is faster 3. Good design demands good compromises 4. Make the common case fast	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> AL instructions are easy to understand and are not overly specialized <input type="checkbox"/> Number of instructions is minimized <input type="checkbox"/> Number of registers is minimized <input type="checkbox"/> Where the above criteria conflict, good compromises are made (to make the common case fast) <input type="checkbox"/> Number of instruction types is small <input type="checkbox"/> Instruction types have regularity 		(3)

Evaluation Criteria Categories	Specific Criteria	Comments	Score
<p>Documentation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Organization <input type="checkbox"/> Completeness <input type="checkbox"/> Conciseness <input type="checkbox"/> Grammar and style • Memo <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objective assessment of design and status • Design Documentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstration of conceptual understanding • Highlights interesting features • Design Process Journal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alternatives considered • Tradeoffs • Decisions • Website 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Clear English specifications <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Instruction set (incl. prototypical AL statements) ○ Registers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number of general purpose registers ▪ Specification of special purpose registers (if applicable) ▪ Naming conventions ▪ Usage conventions ○ Instruction types ○ Representation of each instruction 		(16)