## CSSE 332 -- OPERATING SYSTEMS

## CPU Scheduling I

Name:	SOLUTION	$K_{\rm EV}$
name.	SOLUTION	IXEI

Question 1. (5 points) You are the lead designer of a new CPU process scheduler in the latest version of the RHIT Linux operating system. Unlike a regular general-purpose operating system, you know beforehand that the system you are designing only runs three processes:  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$ , and that we only have a single CPU.

To make things simple, we will make the following assumptions about these processes:

- 1.  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  run for 5 ms each.
- 2. All three processes are ready to run at boot time.
- 3. You cannot interrupt a process that is actively running on the CPU.
- 4. Once a process runs on the CPU, it will never block during its runtime. In other words, all three processes are *CPU-intensive* and never sit idle.

Based on the above assumptions, describe a policy by which you determine which process to run at what time. Make sure to note the time (in ms) when each process starts and when it ends.

**Solution:** A possible solution here given our assumptions is to just run each process until it completes, then pick another one and run that one, and so on.

Question 2. (5 points) Given you scheduling policy, for each process, calculate the time difference between when a process completes its execution, and the time when it arrives to your system (recall that they all arrive at time 0 for our current system). We refer to this value as the turnaround time for each process. Finally, calculate the average turnaround time for all three processes.

Average to	ırnaround	time:	

CPU Scheduling I Page 1 of 5

Process	Arrival time	Completion time (ms)	Turnaround time (ms)
$P_1$	0		
$P_2$	0		
$P_3$	0		

- **Question 3**. (5 points) Assume now that we drop our first assumption, i.e., that all three of the processes run for 5 ms each. Each process can now run for an arbitrary time. In other words, our assumptions now are:
  - 1.  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  run for 5 ms each.
  - 2. All three processes are ready to run at boot time.
  - 3. You cannot interrupt a process that is actively running on the CPU.
  - 4. Once a process runs on the CPU, it will never block during its runtime. In other words, all three processes are *CPU-intensive* and never sit idle.

Describe a scenario where your proposed solution in <b>Question 1</b> would fail to run all three of							
the processes, or would cause significant change to the average turnaround time.							

Question 4.	4. (5 points) Under the same assumptions, what would be a	way to solve the above
problem? time.	? Describe your proposed scheduling policy and then calculate t	the new average turnaround

Process	Arrival time	Completion time (ms)	Turnaround time (ms)
$P_1$	0		
$P_2$	0		
$P_3$	0		

Average turnaround	1 *		
A vorego furneround	timo.		
A verage curriaround	ыние.		

CPU Scheduling I Page 2 of 5

Question 5. (5 points) We will now relax our second assumption, which is that all three processes are ready to start at boot time (i.e., at time 0). Processes can now arrive at different times during the lifetime of our system. For your reference, here are our updated assumptions:

- 1.  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  run for 5 ms each.
- 2. All three processes are ready to run at boot time.
- 3. You cannot interrupt a process that is actively running on the CPU.
- 4. Once a process runs on the CPU, it will never block during its runtime. In other words, all three processes are *CPU-intensive* and never sit idle.

Describe a scenario in which the problem you uncovered in **Question 3** resurfaces. Again, calculate the turnaround time for each process and then the average turnaround time for all three processes. It might help you to think of the worst-case possible for arrival times of the processes.

Process	Arrival time	Completion time (ms)	Turnaround time (ms)
$P_1$			
$P_2$			
$P_3$			

F	Average 1	turnaround	time:	

CPU Scheduling I Page 3 of 5

Question 6. (5 points) Without relaxing our third assumption, there is really not much we can about the previous problem. Therefore, we will go ahead and relax that assumption and give the scheduler the ability to perform *context switching*. We will allow the scheduler to pause a process and remove it from the CPU to allow another process to start running. Processes removed from the CPU are stored in a data structure, and it is the job of the scheduler to implement the scheduling policy and decide which process gets to run when.

For your reference, here are our updated assumptions:

1.  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  run for 5 ms each.

Process

 $P_1$ 

Average turnaround time: \_\_

- 2. All three processes are ready to run at boot time.
- 3. You cannot interrupt a process that is actively running on the CPU.
- 4. Once a process runs on the CPU, it will never block during its runtime. In other words, all three processes are *CPU-intensive* and never sit idle.

If our goal is to **minimize** turnaround time, i.e., finish our processes as fast as possible. Describe a scheduling policy that would solve the problem revealed in **Question 5** and minimize the turnaround time. As usual, calculate the turnaround time for each process and the average turnaround time for your processes.

Turnaround time (ms)

	$P_2$		
	$P_3$		
ı			

Arrival time | Completion time (ms)

CPU Scheduling I Page 4 of 5

Question 7. (5 points) In addition to the turnaround time, we will introduce a new metric, the
response time. The response time is defined as the difference between when a process first runs
(i.e., the first time it goes on the CPU) and its arrival time. The response time is not concerned
with when a process terminates.

For your policy described in the previous question, calculate the response time for each process as well as the average response time over all three.

Process	Arrival time	Time of first run (ms)	Response time (ms)
$P_1$			
$P_2$			
$P_3$			

	uld your scheduli	ing policy chang	e? Suggest a new	me (rather than t scheduling policy age turnaround tin	that can ach
1					
Process	Arrival time	T <sub>firstrun</sub> (ms)	$T_{ ext{completion}}$ (ms)	$T_{ t turnaround}$ (ms)	Tresponse (m
$P_{1}$	Arrival time	T <sub>firstrun</sub> (ms)	$T_{ t completion}$ (ms)	$T_{ ext{turnaround}}$ (ms)	Tresponse (m
	Arrival time	Tfirstrun (ms)	$T_{ ext{completion}}$ (ms)	$T_{ ext{turnaround}}$ (ms)	Tresponse (m

CPU Scheduling I Page 5 of 5