

Problem Set 1 – Solutions

1. Use the markup pricing formula, $P = MC/[1+(1/\varepsilon)]$, remembering to insert ε as a negative number.
 - a. $P = 5$
 - b. Rise (become more elastic) as substitutes become available.

2.
 - a. $Q_{\text{monopoly}} = 5, P_{\text{monopoly}} = 7.5$ (See slide #1 on notes 1.1 for relevant diagram.)
 - b. $Q_{\text{monopsony}} = 4, P_{\text{monopsony}} = 4$ (See slide #3 on notes 1.3 for relevant diagram.)

3.
 - a. Market demand curve has vertical intercept of 266.67 and horizontal intercept of 800. Fringe supply curve has vertical intercept of 100 and a slope of +0.5.
 - b. Dominant firm's MC and AC is constant (horizontal line) at 80.
 - c. 200
 - d. 100
 - e. This is a straight line with vertical intercept at 200 and intersecting the market demand curve at $P = 100, Q = 500$. The full dominant firm demand curve has a kink at this point.
 - f. 300
 - g. 140
 - h. 18,000

4.
 - a. $P = 120 - 0.18Q$
 - b. $2q + 10$
 - c. Set $MC = P$
 - d. $25P - 250$
 - e. $P = 30, Q = 500$
 - f. $q = 10$ (at this level, firm profits = 0 because $TR = TC = 300$.)

5.
 - a. $Q = 990, P = 10$ (The supply curve is horizontal.)
 - b. Supply is still perfectly elastic. Demand does not change. Same outcome as (a).
 - c. No. (But new firm does earn profit = 10.)
 - d. Existing firms vary output, thus MC of last unit = 10.
 - e. 0
 - f. Yes.

6.
 - a. 2,950 (or 2,850 if you exclude "others" from the computation.)
 - b. 4,950 (or 4,850 if you exclude "others" from the computation.)

7. $\theta = [(1 - \xi)/\xi]*(1/H) = [(1 - 0.814)/0.814]*[1/0.125] = 1.828$. See Cabral's table on p. 161.

8.
 - a. 45
 - b. 220
 - c. 310
 - d. $Q = 90, P = 220$
 - e. Double marginalization

9. a. $w = 4,000 - 0.0001Q$
b. $w = 2,000$, $Q = 20$ million, $\pi = 40$ billion
c. $P = 3,000$, $Q = 20$ million, $\pi = 40$ billion
d. All market power is in the upstream business. No advantage to integrating downstream.
e. $P = 3,000 + 0.5w$
f. $w = 1,500$, $Q = 12.5$ million, $\pi = 18.75$ billion
10. a. 22.5
b. The entrant's profit function, $\pi_E = 90q_E - 2q_Iq_E - 2q_E^2 - F$. It can be shown that this profit function is decreasing in $q_I \forall q_I < 45$.
c. The incumbent must set q_I s.t. $\pi_E = 0$. Therefore, $q_I = 2(22.5 - \sqrt{F/2})$.
d. $L = 1 - [10/(10 + \sqrt{8F})]$. This implies that concentration rises with the amount of fixed costs.