

# An identity of derangements

Le Anh Vinh  
School of Mathematics  
University of New South Wales  
Sydney 2052 NSW

## Abstract

In this note, we present a new identity for derangements. As a corollary, we have a combinatorial proof of the irreducibility of the standard representation of symmetric groups.

## 1 Introduction

A derangement is the permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  that there is no  $i$  satisfying  $\sigma(i) = i$ . It is well-known that the number  $d(n)$  of derangements equals:

$$d(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{n!}{k!}$$

and satisfies the following identity (since both sides are the number of permutations on  $n$  letters)

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} d(k) = n!. \quad (1)$$

The Stirling set number  $S(n, m)$  is the number of ways of partitioning a set of  $n$  elements into  $m$  nonempty sets. We define  $[x]_r = x(x-1)\dots(x-r+1)$  (by convention  $[x]_0 = 1$ ). Then (see [4])

$$x^n = \sum_{m=0}^n S(n, m) [x]_m. \quad (2)$$

The number of ways a set of  $n$  elements can be partitioned into nonempty subsets is called a Bell number and is denoted  $B_n$ . We use the convention that  $B_0 = 1$ . The integer  $B_n$  can also be define by the sum (see [3])

$$B_n = \sum_{m=0}^n S(n, m) \quad (3)$$

The main result of this note is the following generalization of (1).

**Theorem 1** *Suppose that  $n \geq m$  are two natural numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k^m \binom{n}{k} d(n-k) = B_m n!. \quad (4)$$

We use the convention that  $\binom{n}{m} = 0$  if  $m < 0$  or  $n < m$ . Also set  $d(k) = 0$  if  $k < 0$  and  $d(0) = 1$ . Note that taking  $m = 0$  in (4) implies (1) since  $B_0 = 1$ . Furthermore, by linearity we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1** *Suppose that  $n \geq m$  are two natural numbers. Let  $g(x) = a_m x^m + \dots + a_0$  be a polynomial with integer coefficients. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n g(k) \binom{n}{k} d(n-k) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m a_i B_i \right\} n!. \quad (5)$$

## 2 Some Lemmas

We define  $f_n(k)$  to be the number of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  that fix exactly  $k$  positions. By convention,  $f_n(k) = 0$  if  $k < 0$  or  $k > n$ . We have the following recursion for  $f_n(k)$ .

**Lemma 1** *Suppose that  $n, k$  are positive integers. Then*

$$f_{n+1}(k) = f_n(k-1) + (n-k)f_n(k) + (k+1)f_n(k+1).$$

**Proof** Let  $\sigma$  be any permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$  which has exactly  $k$  fixed points. We have two cases.

1. Suppose that  $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$ . Then  $\sigma$  corresponds to a restricted permutation on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  which fixes  $k-1$  points of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . This case applies to the first term in the statement of the lemma.
2. Suppose that  $\sigma(n+1) = i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\sigma(j) = n+1$ . There are two separate subcases.
  - (a) If  $i = j$  then we can obtain a correspondence between  $\sigma$  and a permutation  $\sigma'$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  from  $\sigma$  as follows:  $\sigma'(i) = i$  and  $\sigma'(t) = \sigma(t)$  for  $t \neq i$ . It is clear that  $\sigma'$  has  $k+1$  fixed points. Conversely, for each permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  that has  $k+1$  fixed points, we can choose  $i$  to be any of its fixed points and then swapping  $i$  and  $n+1$  to have a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$  that has  $k$  fixed points. This case applies to the third term in the statement of the lemma.
  - (b) If  $i \neq j$  then we can obtain a correspondence between  $\sigma$  and a permutation  $\sigma'$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  from  $\sigma$  as follows:  $\sigma'(j) = i$  and  $\sigma'(t) = \sigma(t)$  for  $t \neq j$ . It is clear that  $\sigma'$  has  $k$  fixed points. Conversely, for each permutation  $\sigma'$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  that has  $k$  fixed points, we can choose any  $j$  such that  $\sigma'(j) = i \neq j$ , and get back a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$  that has  $k$  fixed points by letting  $\sigma(t) = \sigma'(t)$  for  $t \neq j, n+1$ ,  $\sigma(j) = n+1$  and  $\sigma(n+1) = \sigma'(j) = i$ . This case applies to the second term in the statement of the lemma.

Hence  $f_{n+1}(k) = f_n(k-1) + (n-k)f_n(k) + (k+1)f_n(k+1)$  for all  $n, k$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Lemma 1 can be applied to obtain the following identity for  $f_n(k)$  (Note that  $f_n(k) = 0$  whenever  $k < 0$  or  $k > n$ ).

**Lemma 2** *Suppose that  $n, k, t$  are integers,  $t \geq -1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n [k]_{t+1} f_n(k) = \begin{cases} n! & \text{if } n \geq t+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Proof** We prove this using a double induction. The outer induction is on  $t$  and the inner one is on  $n$ . By convention,  $[k]_0 = 1$ . Also we have  $\sum_k f_n(k) = n!$  which is trivial from the definition of  $f_n(k)$ . Hence the claim holds for  $t = -1$ . Next, suppose that the claim holds for  $t-1$ . We prove that it holds for  $t$ . Define

$$F(n, t) := \sum_{k=0}^n [k]_{t+1} f_n(k) = \sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)\dots(k-t) f_n(k).$$

Suppose that  $n \leq t$ . If  $f_n(k) \neq 0$  then  $0 \leq k \leq n \leq t$ . But this implies that  $k(k-1)\dots(k-t) = 0$ . Hence  $F(n, t) = 0$  if  $n \leq t$ .

Suppose that  $n = t+1$ . Then

$$F(n, t) = \sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)\dots(k-(n-1)) f_n(k) = n! f_n(n) = n!$$

since all but the last term of the sum equal zero. Hence the claim holds for  $n = t+1$ . For the inner induction, suppose that  $F(n, t) = n!$  for some  $n \geq t+1$ . We will show that  $F(n+1, t) = (n+1)!$ . From Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(n+1, t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t) f_{n+1}(k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t) [f_n(k-1) + (n-k)f_n(k) + (k+1)f_n(k+1)] \\ &= nF(n, t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t) [f_n(k-1) - kf_n(k) + (k+1)f_n(k+1)] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_n(-1) = 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t) f_n(k-1) = \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)k\dots(k-t+1) f_n(k).$$

Similarly  $f_n(n+1) = 0$  implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t)kf_n(k) = \sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)\dots(k-t)kf_n(k).$$

And  $f_n(n+1) = f_n(n+2) = 0$  implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k(k-1)\dots(k-t)(k+1)f_n(k+1) = \sum_{k=0}^n (k-1)\dots(k-t-1)kf_n(k).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(n+1, t) &= nF(n, t) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)\dots(k-t+1)[(k+1) - (k-t)k + (k-t)(k-t-1)]f_n(k) \\ &= nF(n, t) + \sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)\dots(k-t+1)[(k+1) - (k-t)(t+1)]f_n(k) \\ &= nF(n, t) + \sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)\dots(k-t+1)[(t+1) - (k-t)t]f_n(k) \\ &= nF(n, t) + (t+1)F(n, t-1) - tF(n, t) \\ &= nn! + (t+1)n! - tn! \\ &= (n+1)!. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

To see (6), note that the claim is true for  $t-1$  by the outer induction. So  $F(n, t-1) = n!$ . Also  $F(n, t) = n!$  by the inner inductive hypothesis. Hence the claim holds for  $n+1$ . Therefore, it holds for every  $n, t$ . This concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that  $n \geq m$  are two natural numbers. From (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n k^m f_n(k) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m S(m, j)[k]_j f_n(k) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m S(m, j) \left( \sum_{k=0}^n [k]_j f_n(k) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m S(m, j)F(n, j-1). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From Lemma 2,  $F(n, j-1) = n!$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . Also, from (3)  $B_m = \sum_{j=0}^m S(m, j)$ . Thus, (7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n k^m f_n(k) &= \sum_{j=0}^m S(m, j)n! \\ &= B_m n!. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

To have a permutation with exactly  $k$  fixed points, we can first choose  $k$  fixed points in  $\binom{n}{k}$  ways. Then for each set of  $k$  fixed points, we have  $d(n-k)$  ways to arrange the  $n-k$  remaining numbers such that we have no more fixed points. Hence

$$f_n(k) = \binom{n}{k} d(n-k). \tag{9}$$

Substituting (9) into (8), we obtain (4). This concludes the proof of the theorem.

## 4 An application

In this section, we will apply Theorem 1 to prove the irreducibility of the standard representation of symmetric groups. Let  $G = S_n$  be the symmetric group on  $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the complex numbers. Let  $GL(d)$  stand for the group of all  $d \times d$  complex matrices that are invertible with respect to multiplication.

**Definition 1** *A matrix representation of a group  $G$  is a group homomorphism*

$$\rho : G \rightarrow GL(d).$$

*Equivalently, to each  $g \in G$  is assigned  $\rho(g) \in GL(d)$  such that*

1.  $\rho(1) = I$ , the identity matrix,
2.  $\rho(gh) = \rho(g)\rho(h)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ .

The parameter  $d$  is called the *degree* or *dimension* of the representation and is denoted by  $\deg(\rho)$ . All groups have the trivial representation of degree 1 which sends every  $g \in G$  to the matrix (1). We denote the trivial representation by 1. An important representation of the symmetric group  $S_n$  is the permutation representation  $\pi$ , which is of degree  $n$ . If  $\delta \in S_n$  then we let  $\pi(\delta) = (r_{i,j})_{n \times n}$  where

$$r_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \delta(j) = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $\rho$  be a matrix representation of  $G$ . Then the character of  $\rho$  is*

$$\chi_\rho(g) = \text{tr } \rho(g),$$

*where  $\text{tr}$  denotes the trace of a matrix.*

It is clear from Definition 2 that if  $\delta \in S_n$  then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1(\delta) &= 1, \\ \chi_\pi(\delta) &= \text{number of fixed points of } \delta.\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3** Let  $\chi$  and  $\phi$  be characters of a finite group  $G$ . Then

$$\langle \chi, \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \phi(g^{-1}).$$

A matrix representation  $\rho$  of a group is called irreducible if  $\langle \chi_\rho, \chi_\rho \rangle = 1$ . Maschke's Theorem (see [2, 5]) states that every representation of a finite group having positive dimension can be written as a direct sum of irreducible representations. The permutation representation  $\pi$  can be written as a direct sum of the trivial representation 1 and another representation  $\sigma$ . The representation  $\sigma$  is called the *standard representation* of  $S_n$ . We have  $\chi_\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_\sigma$  since for any  $\delta \in S_n$  then  $\pi(\delta) = 1(\delta) \oplus \sigma(\delta)$ . Thus, for all  $\delta \in S_n$  then

$$\chi_\sigma(\delta) = (\text{number of fixed points of } \delta) - 1.$$

Now we want to prove that  $\sigma$  is irreducible. In other words, we need to show  $\langle \chi_\sigma, \chi_\sigma \rangle = 1$ , which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (k-1)^2 f_n(k) = n! \tag{10}$$

Identity (10) can be obtained easily from Corollary 1 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^n (k-1)^2 f_n(k) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (k^2 - 2k + 1) f_n(k) \\ &= (2 - 2 + 1)n! = n!\end{aligned}$$

since  $B_0 = B_1 = 1$  and  $B_2 = 2$ . This implies the irreducibility of standard representation of symmetric groups.

## 5 Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Dr. Catherine Greenhill for careful reading of the manuscript and for suggesting valuable improvements. I also would like to thank the anonymous referee for suggesting a simplified statement of Theorem 1.

## References

- [1] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Boston, 1974.

- [2] D.S. Dummit and R.M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*, 3rd Ed, Wiley International Publishing, New York, 2004.
- [3] E.W. Weisstein, *Bell Number*, from MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource.  
*<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/BellNumber.html>*
- [4] E.W. Weisstein, *Stirling Number of the Second Kind*, from MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource.  
*<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/StirlingNumberoftheSecondKind.html>*
- [5] B.E. Sagan, *The Symmetric Group: Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions*, 2nd Ed, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.