

**ECE-597: Probability, Random Processes, and Estimation**  
*Homework # 3*

Due: Tuesday March 29, 2016

**Exam 1:** Thursday March 31, 2016

1) Show that if  $\mu = E[\mathbf{X}_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  is known then

$$\hat{\Theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu)^2$$

is unbiased for estimating  $\sigma^2$ . The  $\mathbf{X}_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with  $Var(\mathbf{X}_i) = \sigma^2$ .

2) Consider the random variable  $\mathbf{X}$  that satisfies the binomial law, that is

$$P(\mathbf{X} = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

a) Show that

$$\hat{\Theta} = \frac{\mathbf{X}}{n}$$

is unbiased and for  $p$ .

b) Show that

$$\hat{\Theta} = \frac{\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X} - 1)}{n(n - 1)}$$

is unbiased for  $p^2$ .

**For this problem (Problem 2) you should explicitly evaluate the expected values, do not just look them up since you should know how to do this.**

3) Given the Gaussian density

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right)$$

with  $n$  i.i.d. observations

a) Compute the maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

b) Prove equations 6.7-10 and 6.7-11 by working out the details.

c) What are the MLE of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  when  $n = 1$ ? What conclusions can you draw from this result?

4) Let  $\mathbf{Z}_i = [\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{Y}_i]^T, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be  $n$  i.i.d. observations with

$$f_{\mathbf{Z}}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}\sigma_1\sigma_2} e^{-Q(z)}$$

where  $z = (x, y)^T$  and

$$Q(z) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \left[ \left( \frac{x}{\sigma_1} \right)^2 - 2\rho \frac{xy}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} + \left( \frac{y}{\sigma_2} \right)^2 \right]$$

Assume  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0, |\rho| < 1$ . Compute the MLE of  $\rho$  assuming  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are known.

*Hint: Since you assume both  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are known, set  $n = \sum(x_i/\sigma_1)^2 = \sum(y_i/\sigma_2)^2$ . The correct answer is  $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{n} \sum(x_i y_i / \sigma_1 \sigma_2)$ .*

5) An important thing to know is the **Cramer-Rao** bound for estimators. This provides a lower bound on the variance of the estimator.

Theorem If  $\hat{\Theta}$  is any unbiased estimate of parameter  $\theta$ , then

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\Theta}) \geq \left( E \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial \ln f_{x|\theta}(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 \right\} \right)^{-1}$$

or, equivalantly,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\Theta}) \geq \left\{ -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln f_{x|\theta}(x|\theta)}{\partial^2 \theta} \right] \right\}^{-1}$$

assuming the partial derivatives both exist and are absolutely integrable. Any estimator which satisfies this bound with an equality is said to be *efficient*.

For estimating the parameter  $p$  for a binomial distribution using  $n$  observations we get the unbiased estimator

$$\hat{\Theta} = X/n$$

Show that this estimator is efficient using the Theorem above.

*Hint:  $\text{Var}(\hat{\Theta}) = p(1 - p)/n, E[\mathbf{X}] = np, E[\mathbf{X}^2] = np(1 - p) + n^2 p^2$ . You can just use these relationships, you don't have to derive them in this problem.*