Only very simple problems can be solved analytically. Usually we need to use a numerical procedure. We will do this using the Mayer formulation of the problem. We have been using the Bolza formulation. Both of these methods are equivalent, and are just different methods of looking at the same thing.

In the Mayer formulation, the state vector is augmented by one state $q(i)$ that is the cumulative sum of $L$ up to step $i$ :

$$
q(i+1)=q(i)+L[x(i), u(i), i]
$$

The Bolza performance index

$$
J=\Phi[x(N)]+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} L[x(i), u(i), i]
$$

becomes the Mayer performance index

$$
J=\Phi[x(N)]+q(N)=\bar{\Phi}[\bar{x}(N)]
$$

where

$$
\bar{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
q
\end{array}\right]
$$

We usually drop the bar on $x$ and $\Phi$ and the problem is stated as finding a sequence $u(i), i=$ $0, \ldots, N-1$ to minimize (or maximize)

$$
J=\Phi[x(N)]
$$

subject to

$$
x(i+1)=f[x(i), u(i), i]
$$

and $x(0)$ and $N$ are specified.
We will be utilizing the routine dop0.m in this assignment. This routine solves discrete-time optimization problems of the form: find the input sequence $u(i), i=0, . ., N-1$ to minimize

$$
J=\Phi[x(N)]+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} L[x(i), u(i), i]
$$

subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(i+1) & =f[x(i), u(i), i] \\
x(0) & =x_{0}(\text { known })
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we cannot put any hard terminal constraints on this problem. That is, we cannot force $x(N)$ to be anything in particular.

In order to use the routine dop0.m, you need to write a routine that returns one of three things depending on the value of the variable flg. The general form of your routine will be as follows:

```
function [f1,f2] = bobs_dop0(u,s,dt,t,flg)
```

Here $u$ is the current input, $u(i)$, and $s$ contains the current state (including the augmented state), $s(i)$, so $s(i+1)=f(s(i), u(i), \Delta T)$. $d t$ is the time increment $\Delta T$, and $t$ is the current time. You can compute the current index $i$ using the relationship $i=\frac{t}{\Delta T}+1$. Your routine should compute the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { if } \mathbf{f g}=1 & \mathrm{f} 1=s(i+1)=f(s(i), u(i), \Delta T) \\
\text { if } \mathbf{f g}=2 & \mathrm{f} 1=\bar{\Phi}[\bar{x}(N)], \mathrm{f} 2=\bar{\Phi}_{s(N)}[\bar{x}(N)] \\
\text { if } \mathbf{f g}=3 & \mathrm{f} 1=f_{s}, \mathrm{f} 2=f_{u}
\end{array}
$$

An example of the usage is:
[u,s,la0] = dop0('bobs_dop0',u,s0,tf,k,tol,mxit)
The (input) arguments to dop0.m are the following:

- the function you just created (in single quotes).
- the initial guess for the value of $u$ that minimizes $J$. This initial size is used to determine the time step: if there are $n$ components in $u$, then $\Delta T=\frac{t_{f}}{n}$
- an initial guess of the states, $s 0$. Note that you must include and initial guess for the "cumulative" state $q$ also.
- the final time, $t f$.
- $k$, the step size parameter, $k>0$ to minimize. Often you need to play around with this one.
- tol, the tolerance (a stopping parameter), when $|\Delta u|<t o l$ between iterations, the programs stops.
- mxit, the maximum number of iterations to try.
dop0.m returns the following:
- $u$ the optimal input sequence
- $s$ the corresponding states
- la0 the Lagrange multipliers

It is usually best to start with a small number of iterations, like 5 , and see what happens as you change $k$. Start with small values of $k$ and gradually increase them. It can be very difficult to make this program converge, especially if your initial guess is far away from the true solution.

Note!! If you are using the dop0.m file, and you use the maximum number of allowed iterations, assume that the solution has NOT converged. You must usually change the value of $k$ and/or increase the number of allowed iterations. Do not set tol to less than about 5e-5. Also try to make $k$ as large as possible and still have convergence.

Example A From Example 2.1.1. Assume we have the discretized equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(i+1) & =v(i)+\Delta T \sin (\gamma(i)) \\
x(i+1) & =x(i)+\Delta l(i) \cos (\gamma(i)) \\
\Delta l(i) & =\Delta T v(i)+\frac{1}{2} \Delta T^{2} \sin (\gamma(i))
\end{aligned}
$$

We can rewrite the second equation as

$$
x(i+1)=x(i)+\Delta T v(i) \cos (\gamma(i))+\frac{1}{4} \Delta T^{2} \sin (2 \gamma(i))
$$

For this problem we want to maximize the final position, so we have

$$
\Phi[x(N)]=x(N), \quad L=0
$$

Hence $q(i)=0$ for all $i$ and we do not need to augment the state.
Hence we can write

$$
f=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1} \\
f_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v(i)+\Delta T \sin (\gamma(i)) \\
x(i)+\Delta T v(i) \cos (\gamma(i))+\frac{1}{4} \Delta T^{2} \sin (2 \gamma(i))
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let's define the state variables as

$$
s=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v \\
x
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then

$$
\Phi_{s}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_{v} & \Phi_{x}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
f_{s}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial v(i)} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x(i)}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial(i)} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x(i)} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial v(i)} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x(i)}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\Delta T \cos (\gamma(i)) & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
f_{u}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \gamma(i)} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \gamma(i)}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Delta T \cos (\gamma(i)) \\
-\Delta T v(i) \sin (\gamma(i))+\frac{1}{2} \Delta T^{2} \cos (2 \gamma(i))
\end{array}\right]
$$

For this problem we will assume $T_{f}=1$. This is implemented in the routine bobs_dop0_a.m on the class web site, and it is run using the driver file dop0_example_a.m.

Example B We would like to solve the following discrete-time problem:
The Bolza formulation:
We want to find the input sequence $u(0), u(1), \ldots, u(N-1)$ to minimize

$$
J=\Phi[x(N)]+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} L[x(i), u(i), i]=x(N)^{T} x(N)+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} u^{2}(i)
$$

subject to the constraint

$$
x(i+1)=g x(i)+h u(i) \text { for } i=0 . . N-1
$$

We will assume $N=5, T_{f}=2, x(0)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right]^{T}, a=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2\end{array}\right], b=\left[\begin{array}{c}-5 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$, and $g=I+a \Delta T$, $h=b \Delta T$.

We now need to convert this to the Mayer formulation. We first identify $L(i)=u(i)^{2}$ and $\Phi[x(N)]=x(N)^{T} x(N)$.

Next we define $q(0)=0$ and $q(i+1)=q(i)+L(i)$. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(1) & =L(0)=u(0)^{2} \\
q(2) & =q(1)+L(1)=q(1)+u(1)^{2} \\
q(3) & =q(2)+L(2)=q(2)+u(2)^{2} \\
q(4) & =q(3)+L(3)=q(3)+u(3)^{2} \\
q(5) & =q(4)+L(4)=q(4)+u(4)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
J=\Phi[x(5)]+q(5)=\bar{\Phi}[\bar{x}(5)]=x(5)^{T} x(5)+q(5)
$$

and

$$
s=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
q
\end{array}\right]
$$

We then need to compute the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(s) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
g x+h u \\
q+u^{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
\bar{\Phi}[\bar{x}(N)] & =x+q \\
\bar{\Phi}_{s(N)}[\bar{x}(N)] & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 x^{T} & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
f_{s} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
g & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
f_{u} & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
h \\
2 u
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This is implemented in the routine bobs_dop0_b.m on the class web site, and it is run using the driver file dop0_example_b.m. Note that it is very difficult to get dop0.m to converge for this case unless you have a good initial guess for the control input!
$\underline{\text { Example C (Problem 2.2.7) In this problem we want to find the input sequence } \theta(i), i=}$ $0, \ldots, N-1$ to minimize the function

$$
\frac{D}{\pi q}=C_{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\left[r(N)^{2}\right]+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} C_{p}(\theta(i))\left\{r^{2}(i)-r^{2}(i+1)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{p}(\sigma) & =2 \sin ^{2}(\sigma) \\
r(i+1) & =r(i)-\frac{l}{N} \tan (\theta(i))=r(i)-\Delta T \tan (\theta(i)) \\
\Delta T & =\frac{l}{N} \\
r(0) & =a \text { (known) }
\end{aligned}
$$

We first have to convert this to the Mayer formulation. Let's let

$$
s=\left[\begin{array}{l}
r \\
q
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(0) & =0 \\
q(i+1) & =q(i)+C_{p}(\theta(i))\left\{r^{2}(i)-[r(i)-\Delta T \tan (\theta(i))]^{2}\right\} \\
& =q(i)+2 \sin ^{2}(\theta(i))+\left\{r^{2}(i)-\left[r^{2}(i)-2 \Delta T r(i) \tan (\theta(i))+\Delta T^{2} \tan ^{2}(\theta(i))\right]\right\} \\
& =q(i)+2 \sin ^{2}(\theta(i))+\left\{2 \Delta T r(i) \tan (\theta(i))-\Delta T^{2} \tan ^{2}(\theta(i))\right\} \\
& =q(i)+2 \sin ^{2}(\theta(i)) \Delta T \tan (\theta(i))\{2 r(i)-\Delta T \tan (\theta(i))\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
J=\bar{\Phi}[\bar{x}(N)]=2 r^{2}(N)+q(N)
$$

We then need to compute the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(s) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
r-\Delta T \tan (u) \\
q+2 \Delta T \sin ^{2}(u) \tan (u)(2 r-\Delta T \tan (u))
\end{array}\right] \\
\bar{\Phi}[\bar{x}(N)] & =2 r^{2}+q \\
\left.\bar{\Phi}_{s(N)} \bar{x}(N)\right] & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 r & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
f_{s} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
4 \Delta T \sin ^{2}(u) \tan (u) & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
f_{u} & =\left[\begin{array}{c} 
\\
\Delta T 4 r\left\{3 \sin (u)^{2}+\sin ^{2}(u) \tan ^{2}(u)\right\}-4 \Delta T^{2}\left\{2 \sin ^{2}(u) \tan (u)+\tan ^{3}(u) \sin ^{2}(u)\right\}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This is implemented in the routine bobs_dop0_c.m on the class web site, and it is run using the driver file dop0_example_c.m.

1) In this problem, we will first derive a somewhat complicated analytical solution, and then simulate the system.

Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} J & =\frac{1}{2}(x(N)-d)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w u(i)^{2} \\
\text { subject to } & =x(i+1)=a x(i)+b u(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x(0)=x_{0}, N$, and the desired final point, $d$ are given.
a) Show that the discrete Euler Lagrange equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(i) & =a \lambda(i+1) \\
\lambda(N) & =x(N)-d \\
H_{u(i)} & =w u(i)+\lambda(i+1) b=0
\end{aligned}
$$

b) Show that we can use these equations to write

$$
x(i+1)=a x(i)-\gamma \lambda(i+1)
$$

where $\gamma=b^{2} / w$.
c) Assuming the form $\lambda(i)=c r^{i}$, show that we get the equation

$$
\lambda(i)=\lambda(N) a^{N-i}
$$

and that, combining with the answer to (b) we get

$$
x(i+1)=a x(i)-\gamma a^{N-i-1} \lambda(N)
$$

By taking $z$ transforms (the EE's should be able to do this!), the above equation becomes

$$
X(z)=\frac{z}{z-a} x_{0}-\gamma a^{N-1} \lambda(N)\left[\frac{z}{(z-a)\left(z-\frac{1}{a}\right)}\right]
$$

Taking the inverse $z$-transforms, we get

$$
x(i)=a^{i} x_{0}-\gamma \lambda(N) a^{N-i} \frac{\left(1-a^{2 i}\right)}{1-a^{2}}
$$

d) At the final time $N$, we have

$$
x(N)=a^{N} x_{0}-\lambda(N) \Lambda
$$

where

$$
\Lambda=\gamma \frac{1-a^{2 N}}{1-a^{2}}
$$

Using the boundary condition for $\lambda$, show that we get

$$
\lambda(N)=\frac{1}{1+\Lambda}\left(a^{N} x_{0}-d\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\lambda(i)=\frac{1}{1+\Lambda}\left(a^{N} x_{0}-d\right) a^{N-i}
$$

e) Finally, show that we get the optimal input

$$
u(i)=\frac{b}{w(1+\Lambda)}\left(d-a^{N} x_{0}\right) a^{N-i-1}
$$

f) We will examine the specific problem with $a=-0.8$ and $b=0.2$ in all that follows. Write a MATLAB script file (or two files) to use the dop0.m routine to find the optimal u's. Your subroutine 'name' that is passed to dop0 will not use the variables $d t$ or $t$. Run the simulation using tol $=5 \mathrm{e}-8$, mxit $=5000, \mathrm{k}=0.1, \mathrm{w}=0.5, \mathrm{~d}=0.3, x_{0}=1$ for one step. Show that the routine agrees with the analytical value for the optimal $u$. (I get $\mathrm{u}=0.4074$ )
g) Now run the dop0 routine and estimate the first 8 values of $u$, and compare to your analytical values.
h) Now run dop0 for $N=25$ (twenty five control signals) with $w=0.05,0.5$ and 5 . Plot the values of the states versus time, as well as the control signal. Turn in your plots. Be sure to turn in your code and the matlab output.
2) Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} J= & \frac{1}{2}(x(N)-d)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{k=N-1} r x(k)^{2}+w u(k)^{2} \\
\text { subject to } \quad & x(i+1)=a x(i)+b u(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we will use the same values of $a, b$, and $d$ as we used above, with the same initial conditions.
a) Write the code you need to use the dop0 routine to find the optimal values of $u$.
b) Plot the control signal and the state for $N=25$ for $w=0.001, r=0.001, w=1, r=10$, and $w=0.1, r=0.001$ Turn in your code and your plots.
3) Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} J= & \frac{1}{2}\left(x\left(t_{f}\right)^{2}+y\left(t_{f}\right)^{2}\right) \\
\text { subject to } & \dot{x}(t)=t+u(t) \\
& \dot{y}(t)=x(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x(0)=1, y(0)=1, t_{f}=0.5$. We want to transform this system into a discrete time system and use $N=50$ time steps.
a) Show that an equivalent discrete-time system is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} J= & \frac{1}{2}\left(x(N)^{2}+y(N)^{2}\right) \\
\text { subject to } \quad & x(k+1)=x(k)+\frac{1}{2} \Delta T^{2}+\Delta T u(k) \\
& y(k+1)=y(k)+\Delta T x(k)+\frac{1}{6} \Delta T^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \Delta T^{2} u(k)
\end{array}
$$

b) Simulate the system using dop0. You may need to make the input variable $k$ equal to about 50 or so to get convergence. Using the optimal control values, determine the values of $x$ and $y$ from 0 to 0.5 , and plot the values of the states on one graph. (It should be clear that the final values should be very near to zero.) Also plot the value of the control signal on another graph. (Use the subplot command to get them both on one page.) Turn in your code and your graphs.

