## **ECE-420**: Discrete-Time Control Systems Homework 6

Due: Thursday October 24 in class **Exam 2, Friday October 25, 2013** 

1) Consider the discrete-time state variable model

$$x(k+1) = G(T)x(k) + H(T)u(k)$$

where the explicit dependence of G and H on the sampling time T has been emphasized. Here

$$G(T) = e^{AT}$$

$$H(T) = \int_0^T e^{A\lambda} d\lambda B$$

- a) Show that if A is invertible, we can write  $H(T) = [e^{AT} I]A^{-1}B$
- **b)** Show that if A is invertible and T is small we can write the state model as

$$x(k+1) = [I + AT]x(k) + BTu(k)$$

c) Show that if we use the approximation

$$\underline{\dot{x}}(t) \approx \frac{\underline{x}((k+1)T) - \underline{x}(kT)}{T} = Ax(kT) + Bu(kT)$$

we get the same answer as in part  $\mathbf{b}$ , but using this approximation we do not need to assume A is invertible.

**d**) Show that if we use two terms in the approximation for  $e^{AT}$  (and no assumptions about A being invertible), we can write the state equations as

$$\underline{x}(k+1) = \left[I + AT\right]\underline{x}(k) + \left[IT + \frac{1}{2}AT^2\right]Bu(k)$$

- 2) The Matlab script **homework6.m** computes the discrete-time equivalent state variable system from a continuous time system exactly, and then it uses a Taylor series approximation. However, the code is incomplete in that it does not use a Taylor series estimate for G.
- a) Modify the code so that it uses a Taylor series estimate for G
- **b**) Determine the minimum number of terms you think you need to produce a reasonable estimate of G (compared to Matlab's calculation)
- c) Print and turn in the final plot
- **d**) Use the second system (uncomment it), set the sampling interval to 0.01, the final time to 0.5, and repeat parts (a)-(c)

3) For the state variable system

$$\underline{\dot{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \underline{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

a) Show that

$$e^{AT} = \begin{bmatrix} 2e^{2T} - e^{3T} & e^{2T} - e^{3T} \\ 2e^{3T} - 2e^{2T} & 2e^{3T} - e^{2T} \end{bmatrix}$$

- **b)** Derive the equivalent ZOH discrete-time system  $\underline{x}(k+1) = G\underline{x}(k) + Hu(k)$  for T = 0.1 (integrate each entry in the matrix  $e^{A\lambda}$  separately.) Compare your answer with that given by Matlab's **c2d** command, [G,H] = c2d(A,B,Ts).
- 4) For the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- a) Find the eigenvalues and characteristic equation for A.
- **b**) Determine an expression for  $A^2$  in terms of A and I and then show explicitly that the matrix A satisfies its own characteristic equation by using the A matrix and evaluating both sides of the equation.
- **c**) Using the Cayley-Hamilton method (matching on eigenvalues), show that  $e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ te^t & e^t \end{bmatrix}$
- **d**) Compute  $e^{At}$  using the Laplace transform method.
- 5) For the continuous time model

$$\underline{\dot{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \underline{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t - \tau)$$

$$y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \underline{x}(t)$$

derive the equivalent ZOH discrete-time system for sampling interval T

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}([k+1]T) \\ u(kT) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G & H_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}(kT) \\ u([k-1]T) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} u(kT)$$
$$y(kT) = C \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}(kT) \\ u([k-1]T) \end{bmatrix}$$

Specifically, you should show 
$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $H_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(T-\tau)^2}{2} \\ t-\tau \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \tau(T-\frac{\tau}{2}) \\ \tau \end{bmatrix}$ 

**6)** For the continuous time model

$$\underline{\dot{x}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \underline{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t - 0.03)$$

$$y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underline{x}(t)$$

derive the equivalent ZOH (zero order hold, this is our standard method of sampling) discrete-time system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}([k+1]T) \\ u(kT) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G & H_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}(kT) \\ u([k-1]T) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} u(kT)$$
$$y(kT) = C \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}(kT) \\ u([k-1]T) \end{bmatrix}$$

for T = 0.1. Specifically, determine G,  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ , and C. You should do all of the calculations in Matlab using the c2d command and the expm command. Assume we want the system output to remain the same.

- 7) Prove or disprove the following claims: if u, v, and w are linearly independent vectors, then so are
- **a)** u, u + v, u + v + w
- **b)** u + 2v w, u 2v w, 4v
- **c)** u v, v w, w u
- **d)** -u + v + w, u v + w, -u + v w

Note: You must do this for arbitrary vectors. **Do Not** assume u, v, and w are specific vectors.

8) Determine the ranks of the following matrices. Do not use a calculator or computer, look for linearly independent columns or rows.

a) 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 b)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

c) 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 d)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

c) 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 d)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$   
e)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  f)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Answers (scrambled): 3, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2

9) Consider the discrete-time state variable model

$$\underline{x}(k+1) = G\underline{x}(k) + Hu(k)$$

with the initial state x(0) = 0. Let

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, D = 0$$

- a) Determine the corresponding transfer function for the system.
- b) After 1 time step we have x(1) = Hu(0) = Mu(0) so M = H. After 2 time steps we have

$$x(2) = Gx(1) + Hu(1) = GHu(0) + Hu(1) = [GH \ H]\begin{bmatrix} u(0) \\ u(1) \end{bmatrix} = M\tilde{u}(1)$$

so  $M = \begin{bmatrix} GH & H \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{u}(1) = \begin{bmatrix} u(0) & u(1) \end{bmatrix}^T$ . Now assume we want  $x(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ . Can you find an input vector  $\tilde{u}(1)$ , and hence input values u(0) and u(1), to make this happen? If you cannot go from the origin to any possible state in <u>at most</u> n steps, where  $x(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then the system is not controllable. Why at most n steps? See below.....

- c) The <u>Cayley-Hamilton Theorem</u> from Linear Algebra states that *a matrix satisfies its own* characteristic equation. The characteristic equation of a matrix G is found by setting the determinant of W = zI G equal to zero. Show that the characteristic equation for our system is given by  $z^2 1 = 0$  and then verify that  $G^2 I = 0$ .
- d) Now let's look at the third time step

$$x(3) = Gx(2) + Hu(2) = G^2 Hu(0) + GHu(1) + Hu(2)$$

Using the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, we can write  $G^2 = I$ . Show that we can then write

$$x(3) = \begin{bmatrix} GH & H \end{bmatrix} \tilde{u}(2)$$
$$\tilde{u}(2) = \begin{bmatrix} u(1) & u(2) + u(0) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

e) Show that we can write

$$x(4) = \begin{bmatrix} GH & H \end{bmatrix} \tilde{u}(3)$$
$$\tilde{u}(3) = \begin{bmatrix} u(0) + u(2) & u(1) + u(3) \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

At this point, it should be clear that if we cannot find an input to go from the origin to a particular final state in n = 2 steps for a second order system we never will be able to get there, no matter how long we let the system run. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then the *controllability matrix* is defined to be

 $M = \begin{bmatrix} G^{n-1}H & G^{n-2}H & \dots & GH & H \end{bmatrix}$ . For a system to be controllable, this matrix must have rank n, or, equivalently, n linearly independent columns (or rows).

f) Now assume we are using state variable feedback with a <u>prefilter gain</u>  $G_{pf}$ , so  $u(k) = G_{pf}r(k) - Kx(k)$ . Here r(k) is the <u>reference input</u> and  $K = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & k_2 \end{bmatrix}$  is the <u>feedback gain matrix</u>. With this form of state variable feedback, we have the system

$$x(k+1) = Gx(k) + H \left[ G_{pf} r(k) - Kx(k) \right] = [G - HK]x(k) + [HG_{pf}]r(k)$$

or

$$x(k+1) = \tilde{G}x(k) + \tilde{H}r(k)$$

Note that now the system input is the reference input r(k). Show that for D=0 the transfer matrix is given by

$$F(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{R(z)} = C(zI - \tilde{G})^{-1}\tilde{H} = \frac{G_{pf}(z+1)}{(z+k_1)(z+k_2) - (k_1-1)(k_2-1)}$$

- g) Show that if  $G_{pf} = 1$  and  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ , the transfer function reduces to that found in part **a.**
- h) Is it possible to find  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  to place the poles of the closed loop system where ever we want? For example, can we make both poles be zero?

In summary, if the system is controllable

- We can go from the origin to any final state in n steps ( the rank of the controllability matrix M is n)
- We can place the poles of the closed loop system anywhere we want using state variable feedback
- 10) Consider the discrete-time state variable model

$$x(k+1) = Gx(k) + Hu(k)$$

with the initial state x(0) = 0. Let

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, D = 0$$

- a) Determine the corresponding transfer function for the system.
- b) Find the M matrix after two time steps. Now assume we want  $x(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$ . Can you find an input vector  $\tilde{u}(1)$ , and hence input values u(0) and u(1), to make this happen?
- c) Show that the characteristic equation for G is given by  $z^2 2z + 1 = 0$  and verify that  $G^2 = 2G I$ .

d) Show that we can then write

$$x(3) = \begin{bmatrix} GH & H \end{bmatrix} \tilde{u}(2)$$
  
$$\tilde{u}(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 2u(0) + u(1) & u(2) - u(0) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

e) Show that we can write

$$x(4) = [GH \ H]\tilde{u}(3)$$
  
$$\tilde{u}(3) = [3u(0) + 2u(1) + u(2) \ -2u(0) - u(1) + u(3)]^{T}$$

f) Now assume we are using state variable feedback with  $u(k) = G_{pf} r(k) - Kx(k)$ . Show that for D = 0 the transfer matrix is given by

$$F(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{R(z)} = \frac{G_{pf}(z-1)}{(z-1)(z+k_2-1)}$$

- g) Show that if  $G_{pf} = 1$  and  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ , the transfer function reduces to that found in part **a.**
- h) Is it possible to find  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  to place the poles of the closed loop system where ever we want? For example, can we make both poles be zero?
- 11) Consider the discrete-time state variable model

$$\underline{x}(k+1) = G\underline{x}(k) + Hu(k)$$

with the initial state x(0) = 0. Let

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, D = 0$$

- a) Determine the corresponding transfer function for the system.
- b) Find the M matrix after two time steps. Now assume we want  $x(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ . Can you find an input vector  $\tilde{u}(1)$ , and hence input values u(0) and u(1), to make this happen? Now assume we want  $x(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$ . Can you find an input vector  $\tilde{u}(1)$  to make this happen?
- c) Show that the characteristic equation for G is given by  $z^2 z 1 = 0$  and verify that  $G^2 = G + I$ .

d) Show that we can then write

$$x(3) = \begin{bmatrix} GH & H \end{bmatrix} \tilde{u}(2)$$
  
$$\tilde{u}(2) = \begin{bmatrix} u(0) + u(1) & u(0) + u(2) \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

e) Show that we can write

$$x(4) = [GH \quad H]\tilde{u}(3)$$
  
$$\tilde{u}(3) = [2u(0) + u(1) + u(2) \quad u(0) + u(1) + u(3)]^{T}$$

f) Now assume we are using state variable feedback with  $u(k) = G_{pf} r(k) - Kx(k)$ . Show that for D = 0 the transfer matrix is given by

$$F(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{R(z)} = \frac{G_{pf}}{z^2 + (k_2 - 1)z + (k_1 - 1)}$$

- g) Show that if  $G_{pf} = 1$  and  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ , the transfer function reduces to that found in part **a.**
- h) Is it possible to find  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  to place the poles of the closed loop system where ever we want? For example, can we make both poles be zero? If we want the poles to be at  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  show that  $k_2 = 1 (p_1 + p_2)$  and  $k_1 = 1 + p_1 p_2$ .