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### 0.1 Introduction

We've solved the heat (and wave) equations in one space variable on the whole real line (and the half-line). For the next couple weeks we're going to concentrate on solving them on a bounded interval, starting with the heat equation. We want to understand existence, uniqueness, and stability for the problem of finding a function $u(x, t)$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} & =0  \tag{1}\\
u(x, 0) & =f(x)
\end{align*}
$$

for $a<x<b$ and $t>0$, where $f(x)$ is some given initial temperature. As we've seen, we also need boundary conditions. At the left end $x=a$ we can take a Dirichlet condition of the form $u(a, t)=0$, or more generally, $u(a, t)=h(t)$ for some specified function $h(t)$; this corresponds to knowing or controlling the temperature at the left end of the bar. Alternatively, we can take a Neumann boundary condition of the form $u_{x}(a, t)=g(t)$ for some specified function $g(t)(g \equiv 0$ is common); this corresponds to knowing or controlling the rate at which heat energy enters or leaves the left end of the bar. We can make a similar choice or boundary conditions at $x=b$. There are also many other boundary conditions possible; we'll look some later.

As it turns out proving the existence of solutions to the heat equation on a bounded domain is a bit difficult. We'll start by examining the uniqueness and stability properties.

### 0.2 The Maximum Principle

The maximum principle is a remarkable property of the heat equation (other PDE's also have such a property; it usually turns out to be very useful). For simplicity we'll restrict our attention to the interval $0 \leq x \leq 1$, although everything goes through on any other interval. Let $R$ be the rectangle $0 \leq$ $x \leq 1,0 \leq t \leq T$, let $B$ denote the union of the sides $t=0, x=0$, and $x=1$ (all but the top), and let $M$ denote the maximum value of $u$ over $B$. Refer
to the figure below.

The Maximum Principle: If $u(x, t)$ satisfies the heat equation for $0<x<$ 1 and $0<t<T$ then the maximum value of $u$ occurs at $t=0$ (at the initial condition) or for $x=0$ or $x=1$ (at the ends of the rod). More precisely,

$$
\sup _{R} u(x, t)=\sup _{B} u(x, t) .
$$

Note that the boundary conditions aren't even mentioned-it's true regardless. Also, the maximum principle doesn't preclude $u$ also attaining the maximum value away from $B$; it's just that the maximum must also be attained on $B$.

The basic idea of the proof is from calculus, with a slight subtlety. At an interior maximum $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ the first derivative $u_{t}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$. Also, if $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ is a maximum then we must have $u_{x x}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq 0$ (since if $u_{x x}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)>0$ then the function $u\left(x, t_{0}\right)$ of $x$ would be concave up near $x=x_{0}$, and so couldn't have a maximum at $\left.x=x_{0}\right)$. So we must have $u_{x x}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq 0$. A strict inequality $u_{x x}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)<0$ is easy to rule out, for given that $u_{t}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$ we'd then have $u_{t}-u_{x x}>0$ at ( $x_{0}, t_{0}$ ), contradicting the fact that $u$ satisfies the heat equation. But the possibility that $u_{t}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$ isn't so easy to rule out.

So we use a silly little trick to get around this. Define a new function $v(x, t)$ as

$$
v(x, t)=u(x, t)+\epsilon x^{2}
$$

where $\epsilon>0$. You can check that $v_{t}-v_{x x}=-2 \epsilon<0$.
The first claim is that $v(x, t)$ must attain its maximum value on $R$ on one of the sides $t=0, x=0$, or $x=1$. To see this, first note that the maximum cannot be inside $R$, for at that point we'd have $v_{t}=0$ and $v_{x x} \leq 0$ (just as
for $u$ above), violating $v_{t}-v_{x x}=-2 \epsilon<0$ (note that the strict inequality $\epsilon>0$ saves us here). So the maximum for $v$ occurs on one of the four sides, $x=0, x=1, t=0$, or $t=T$. But it can't occur on the top side $t=T$ : suppose it does occur there, at some point $\left(x_{0}, T\right)$. Then again we'd have $v_{x x}\left(x_{0}, T\right) \leq 0$, and also $v_{t}\left(x_{0}, T\right) \geq 0$, for

$$
v_{t}\left(x_{0}, T\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{v\left(x_{0}, T\right)-v\left(x_{0}, T-h\right)}{h} \geq 0
$$

since by assumption $v\left(x_{0}, T\right) \geq v\left(x_{0}, T-h\right)$. But this would imply that $v_{t}\left(x_{0}, T\right)-v_{x x}\left(x_{0}, T\right) \geq 0$, a contradiction to $v_{t}-v_{x x}=-2 \epsilon<0$. Since the maximum can't occur on $t=T$ it must occur on $B$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{R} v(x, t) \leq \sup _{B} v(x, t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from $v(x, t)=u(x, t)+\epsilon x^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{B} v(x, t) \leq \sup _{B} u(x, t)+\sup _{B} \epsilon x^{2}=M+\epsilon . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $u(x, t)=v(x, t)-\epsilon x^{2}$ and $0 \leq x \leq 1$ it's obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{R} u(x, t) \leq \sup _{R} v(x, t) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stringing together inequalities (4), (2), and (3) (in that order) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{R} u(x, t) \leq M+\epsilon \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\epsilon>0$, forcing $\sup _{R} u \leq M$. Of course since $u$ is continuous we have to


By considering the function $-u(x, t)$, the same argument shows that the minimum must also occur on the same portion of the boundary.

### 0.3 Uniqueness and Stability

The maximum principle makes uniqueness and stability easy. We showed that if two solutions to the heat equation have initial conditions which are close in the $L^{2}$ norm then the solutions at any time are close in $L^{2}$. This is also true in the supremum norm. Suppose that $u_{1}(x, t)$ and $u_{2}(x, t)$ are solutions to the heat equation on $(0,1)$, both with boundary conditions $u_{1}(0, t)=$
$u_{2}(0, t)=a(t)$ and $u_{2}(1, t)=u_{2}(1, t)=b(t)$ for some functions $a(t)$ and $b(t)$. Suppose the initial conditions are $u_{1}(x, 0)=f_{1}(x)$ and $u_{2}(x, 0)=f_{2}(x)$, and let $M=\sup _{0<x<1}\left|f_{2}(x)-f_{1}(x)\right|$. Then $\sup _{0<x<1}\left|u_{1}(x, t)-u_{2}(x, t)\right| \leq M$ for all $t>0$. In other words, if $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ start with close initial conditions, they'll stay close for all time. The proof is easy. Let $v=u_{2}-u_{1}$. Then $v$ satisfies the heat equation with zero boundary conditions and initial condition $f_{2}(x)-f_{1}(x)$. By the maximum principle the maximum (and minimum) value of $v$ occurs on $t=0, x=0$, or $x=1$. But on $x=0$ and $x=1$ the function $v$ is identically zero. So the maximum and minimum values of $v$ are either zero, or occur when $t=0$. Either way, $|v(x, t)|$ cannot exceed $\sup _{0<x<1}|v(x, 0)|=\sup _{0<x<1}\left|f_{2}(x)-f_{1}(x)\right|$.

Of course, this immediately implies that the heat equation has a unique solution for given boundary conditions and initial data. If two solutions agree on the three sides $t=0, x=0, x=1$ then the maximum absolute value of their difference over $R$ is zero, i.e., they're the same everywhere.

