

<i>Criteria</i>	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Acceptable</i>	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Unacceptable</i>
Grammar, spelling and clarity	No spelling or grammatical errors; includes a title and authors names; report is neat and legible	Minor spelling or grammatical errors; title page is properly formatted	Some spelling and grammatical errors; tables and figures are not properly labeled	Spelling and grammatical errors are distracting; no title page; no figures
Results	Clearly, logically, and concisely describes strategy, goals, and observations for each experiment, in language written for a reader familiar with biochemistry.	Describes strategy, goals, and observations for each experiment, but is overly long.	Contains a description of the experiments and observations, but contains significant errors or omissions, or lacks any indication of rationale, strategy, or goals.	Lists the experiments performed, without any indication of what was observed.
Figures	Contains a figure or figures that clearly illustrate the chromatography technique used for the purification, with appropriate figure legends that add context to the figures.	Contains a figure that illustrates the chromatography technique used for the purification, but uses $\Delta A/\text{min}$ rather than $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}$ for activity.	Chromatography figure does not add useful information to the description of the procedure, or contains errors, or figures entirely lack legends.	Figures are not used or contradict information presented in the test.
Tables	Contains a properly constructed purification table.	The purification table contains minor errors, or irrelevant information.	The purification table contains major errors, or lacks important data.	No purification table is included.
Discussion	The methods, observations, and interpretations are logically evaluated, and placed into proper context.	The methods, observations, and interpretations are evaluated but not placed in context.	Only portions of the results are evaluated, or the section contains an unsupported assertion of experimental error.	No evaluation of the results is included.
Conclusion	The discussion section ends with a logical conclusion, and includes consideration of broader consequences of the results.	The discussion section ends with a logical conclusion, but does discuss the importance of the results and interpretations.	The discussion section ends with conclusions, but has some logical flaws or is otherwise incomplete.	No conclusions are presented.
References	The report contains proper citations of the scientific literature.			No references are cited.